

Note on “ α -admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems”

A. H.ANSARI

Eamil:analsisamirmath2@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, KarajBranch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran

Abstract

In this paper gave a theorem that theorems (4,6,8) of [1], obtain from it.

Keywords: fixed point, invariant set, 1-1-subclass, 1-upclass, α -F-admissible

1-Introduction and Preliminaries

2- Main result

Definition1: we say that $h: R^2 \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is a function of 1-1-subclass if is continuous and

$$x \geq 1, y \geq 1 \Rightarrow h(1, 1, z) \leq h(x, y, z)$$

Example:

(1) $h(x, y, z) = (z + l)^{xy} \quad l > 1$

(2) $h(x, y, z) = (xy + l)^z \quad l > 1$

(3) $h(x, y, z) = xyz$

(4) $h(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)z$

Definition 2: we say that $f: [0, 1] \times R^+ \rightarrow R$ is a 1-upclass with h a 1-1-subclass if is a continuous function such that

$$0 \leq x \leq 1 \Rightarrow f(x, y) \leq f(1, y)$$

$$h(1, 1, z_1) \leq f(x, z_2) \Rightarrow z_1 \leq xz_2$$

Examples:

(1) $h(x, y, z) = (z + l)^{xy} \quad l > 1, f(x, y) = xy + l$

(2) $h(x, y, z) = (xy + l)^z \quad l > 1, f(x, y) = (1 + l)^{xy},$

(3) $h(x, y, z) = xyz, f(x, y) = xy$

(4) $h(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)z, f(x, y) = xy$

Definition3: let $T: X \rightarrow X$, The subset F is invariant under the T if $Tx \in F$ for every $x \in F$.

Definition4: Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha: F \times F \rightarrow R^+$. We say that T is an α -F-admissible mapping if $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1, x, y \in F$.

Note: if in Definition4 let $F = X$ We say that T is an α -admissible mapping, see([2],[1])

Theorem5: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an α -F-admissible mapping and invariant under the T . Assume that there exists a function $\beta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for any bounded sequence $\{t_n\}$ of positive reals, $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and h a 1-1-subclass, f a 1-upclass of h and ψ is altering distance function, F closed subset of X , such that

$$h(\alpha(x, Tx), \alpha(y, Ty), \psi d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f(\beta(d(x, y)), \psi d(x, y)) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in F$. Suppose that either

(a) T is continuous, or

(b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in F such that $x_n \rightarrow x, \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all n , then $\alpha(x, Tx) \geq 1$

If there exists $x_0 \in F$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$, then T has a fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in F$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$, we construct the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \alpha(x_n, Tx_n) \geq 1$, and $\{x_n\}$ CF. Substituting $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(1, 1, \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))\right) &\leq h\left(\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n), \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}), \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))\right) \leq \\ &\leq f(\beta(d(x_n, x_{n-1})), \psi(d(x_n, x_{n-1}))) \end{aligned}$$

And this equal with

$$\frac{\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))}{\psi(d(x_n, x_{n-1}))} \leq \beta(d(x_n, x_{n-1})) \leq 1 \quad (2.2)$$

$$\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))$$

ψ is altering distance function therefore

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \quad (2.3)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is decreasing so that for the nonnegative decreasing sequence $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$, there exists some $r \geq 0$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = r. \quad (2.4)$$

On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.2) implies, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(d(x_n, x_{n-1})) = 1$, and this

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

now prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. If possible, let $\{x_n\}$ be not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ for which we can find subsequences $\{x_{n_k}\}$ and $\{x_{m_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ with $n_k > m_k > k$ such that

$$d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \geq \delta \quad (2.6)$$

Further, corresponding to m_k , we can choose n_k in such a way that it is the smallest integer with $n_k > m_k$ and satisfying (2.6).

Then

$$d(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k}) < \delta \quad (2.7)$$

Then we have

$$0 < \delta \leq d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}) + d(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k}) < \delta + d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}) \quad (2.8)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.6),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = \delta \quad (2.9)$$

Again,

$$d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}) + d(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k-1}) + d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{m_k}),$$

$$d(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k-1}) \leq d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) + d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{m_k}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) &\leq d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}) + d(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k-1}) + d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{m_k}) \leq \\ &\leq 2d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) + 2d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{m_k}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.10) and using (2.5), (2.9), we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k-1}) = \delta. \quad (2.11)$$

Setting $x = x_{m_k-1}$ and $y = x_{n_k-1}$ in (2.1), we obtain

$$h\left(1, 1, \psi\left(d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k})\right)\right) \leq h\left(\alpha(x_{m_k-1}, x_{m_k}), \alpha(x_{n_k-1}, x_{n_k}), \psi\left(d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k})\right)\right) \leq$$

$$\leq f(\beta(d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k-1})), \psi(d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k-1})))$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\psi(d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}))}{\psi(d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k-1}))} \leq \beta(d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k-1})) \leq 1 \quad (2.12)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, utilising (2.11) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k-1}) = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

which is a contradiction if $\delta > 0$

This shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence is convergent in the complete set F .

Let

$$x_n \rightarrow z \in F \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.14)$$

First, we suppose that T is continuous. then

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = T \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = T z.$$

Next, we suppose that (b) holds. Then, $\alpha(z, Tz) \geq 1$. Now, by (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(1, 1, \psi(d(Tz, x_{n+1}))) &\leq h(\alpha(z, x_n), \alpha(x_n, T x_n), \psi(d(Tz, x_{n+1}))) \leq \\ &\leq f(\beta(d(z, x_n)), \psi(d(z, x_n))) \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\psi(d(Tz, x_{n+1})) \leq \beta(d(z, x_n))\psi(d(z, x_n))$$

And this equal with

$$d(Tz, x_{n+1}) \leq d(z, x_n).$$

and so we get

$$d(Tz, z) \leq d(Tz, x_{n+1}) + d(z, x_{n+1}) \leq d(z, x_n) + d(z, x_{n+1}).$$

By taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $d(Tz, z) = 0$, i.e., $z = Tz$. ■

CONSEQUENCE

In theorem (5) let $h(x, y, z) = (z + 1)^{xy}$ $l > 1$, $f(x, y) = xy + l$, $\psi(t) = t$, $F = X$, this trun to theorem (4) of [1].

Corollary6: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an α -admissible mapping. Assume that there exists a function $\beta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for any bounded sequence $\{t_n\}$ of positive real, $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$(d(Tx, Ty) + 1)^{\alpha(x, Tx)\alpha(y, Ty)} \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y) + l$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Suppose that either

(a) T is continuous, or

(b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all n , then $\alpha(x, Tx) \geq 1$

If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$, then T has a fixed point..

In theorem (5) let $h(x, y, z) = (xy + 1)^z$ $l > 1$, $f(x, y) = (1 + l)^{xy}$, $l = 1$, $\psi(t) = t$, $F = X$, this trun to theorem (6) of [1].

Corollary7: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an α -admissible mapping. Assume that there exists a function $\beta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for any bounded sequence $\{t_n\}$ of positive reals, $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$(\alpha(x, Tx)\alpha(y, Ty) + 1)^{d(Tx, Ty)} \leq 2\beta(d(x, y))d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Suppose that either

(a) T is continuous, or

(b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all n , then $\alpha(x, Tx) \geq 1$.
 If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$, then T has a fixed point..

In theorem (5) let $h(x, y, z) = xyz$, $f(x, y) = xy$, $\psi(t) = t$, $F = X$, this trun to theorem (8) of [1].

Corollary8: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an α -admissible mapping. Assume that there exists a function $\beta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for any bounded sequence $\{t_n\}$ of positive reals, $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$\alpha(x, Tx)\alpha(y, Ty)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Suppose that either

(a) T is continuous, or

(b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all n , then $\alpha(x, Tx) \geq 1$.
 If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$, then T has a fixed point..

REFERENCE

[1] N . Hussain, E.Karapinar,P.Salimi,F.Akbar, α -admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013.

[2] Samet, B, Vetro, C, Vetro, P: Fixed point theorem for $\alpha - \psi$ contractive type mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* 75, 2154-2165(2012)