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Abstract

In this paper we present the main phases of the BP-M* methodology and its application to a care pathway for patients in the Oncology Division of a large hospital, to evaluate pros and cons of different drug administration modalities and the impact of these modalities to the organizational process. BP-M* has been developed for the manufacturing sector but the relevance of business modeling, analysis and reorganization is not restricted to a specific sector. The aim of this work is to show its application to a real life study of a complex process in the health sector.
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1. Introduction

This paper presents a methodology, called BP-M* (Business Process Methodology*), which is a practical approach for the modeling, the quantitative analysis and the reengineering of business processes. It will be illustrated by means of the patient’s care pathway in the Oncology Division of the largest hospital in Torino (Italy), the Azienda Ospedaliera San Giovanni Battista.

The management of an integrated care department in modern hospitals is not a simple task. Managers have to:

- develop care pathways,
- identify participants and roles in the care process,
- streamline activities, follow progress and respond to actions and events along the care pathways,
- evaluate efficiency and effectiveness of the care process.

For an Oncology Division, the relevant process is the chemotherapy administration. Chemotherapy is the use of extremely powerful drugs to destroy cancer cells; therefore it requires a very careful monitoring of patient conditions during drug infusion. The overall process is very complex and requires coordination among several doctors, nurses, pharmacists, laboratory and clerical personnel, and the patient.

Therefore we need methods that allow a precise definition of the patient care process and provide qualitative and quantitative information about the process, e.g. [1,2]:

- the optimal type and number of resources (staff, rooms, beds, etc.),
- existing anomalies in the process (such as bottlenecks, long waiting times,...),
- suggestions to improve efficiency, i.e., how to use resources in a better way, how to decrease patient length of stay in the department (cycle time),
- type of problems if something new happens (e.g. the workload increases).

In the literature, there is a strong support for the analysis and reengineering of healthcare organizations. Enterprise methodologies originally developed for manufacturing processes are now used to improve the operations and competitiveness of hospitals [3,4].

Both qualitative (e.g. SWOT - Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats) and quantitative (e.g. process evaluation based on discrete event simulation) analysis have been exploited in real life applications [5,6,7].

This paper is structured as follows. The second section presents the BP-M* methodology. The third section illustrates the case study, which aims to improve the efficiency and to optimize the resources management of the target organization. Finally the fourth section presents some preliminary conclusions of our analysis.

2. The BP-M* Methodology

BP-M* is based on M*-COMPLEX, a general-purpose...
open methodology that has been developed to study complex manufacturing systems [8,9]. It is a structured framework which provides a step-by-step strategy ensuring consistent results for the modeling, the quantitative analysis and the reengineering of general business processes. It analyses functional, behavioral, and organizational aspects of the object organization, and it strongly enforces an event-driven process-based approach as opposed to traditional function-based approaches for analyzing and designing computer-supported integrated engineering environments.

BP-M* consists of four logically successive phases (see Figure 1):

2.1. Context Analysis (F1)

Design the strategy of an enterprise is a task of fundamental relevance. The enterprise strategy is the definition of long-term goals, the specification of goal-adequate actions and the assignment of existing and expected resources to these actions. Therefore, the organizational structure has to be oriented closely to the strategy in order to support strategic evolution.

The context analysis phase aims to fix the overall strategic scenario of the enterprise and to determine the organizational components which will be investigated.

2.2. Organizational Analysis and Process Engineering (F2)

At the organization level, the methodology views the world from two orthogonal points of view.

From the first point of view (function viewpoint), an enterprise can be analyzed in terms of organization elements that can be classified as organization units (units for short), which control other units at a subordinated level, and so on. Units at the bottom level are called work centers. Units define areas of responsibilities and authorities and must be analyzed in order to identify their functions, i.e., things to be done and services to be provided. Top-level functions are decomposed at different levels of detail, until the bottom level in which activities are carried on by work centers.

From the process viewpoint, activities are executed by resources, processing or producing different objects (pure information or material objects). They are subject to scheduling or planning and can be coordinated into organization processes. Thus, an enterprise can be seen as a collection of concurrent processes that define the flow of actions and are triggered by stimuli called events. Each process specifies the complex control flow between enterprise activities: it shows which activities should be performed at a time for achieving process objectives.


The Functional Analysis step is a top-down task which provides managers and engineers with an accurate model of the enterprise. Its goal is to understand the overall structure of the organization, i.e., to discover the pyramidal structure of its decision system, identify the different levels of decision-making and identify decision-making centers. Outputs of this step are: 1) a general functional structure (indicating the functions involved in the company, the related roles and their relationships in terms of messages and information exchange), and 2) for each function, the set of activities and the resources which are used to execute them.

The Process Specification step is a bottom-up task that looks for causal relationships between activities and reconstructs business processes starting from external in/out events and/or objects. Processes are then validated with the stakeholder involved in the process, using animation and simulation of their specifications. Output of this step is the set of existing processes, the so called As-Is model. This model provides managers and engineers with an accurate model of the enterprise as it stands, out of which they can make a good assessment of its current status and to make an accurate assessment of available capabilities.

Other than modeling activities and processes, those tasks also suggest how to report current problems concerning the represented enterprise units, new requirements, and how to discover and report potential and unknown problems.
2.3. Process Diagnosis and Reorganization (F3)

The Process Diagnosis task is a step-by-step method for guiding interviews with the users and indicating the kind of questions to be asked in order to point out the potential causes of the current problems reported during the “As-Is” step. Output of this task is a cause/solution matrix that suggests some guidelines to perform the Reorganization task that modifies existing models. Finally, adopted solutions are validated against current problems and new requirements collected during the diagnosis.

The validation step can be easily performed if the process specification language is executable. In this case, process simulation is suitable for viewing process instances behavior and to evaluate the structure of the process “ex-ante”, i.e. prior to implementing the new model.

The goal of the Reorganization task is to specify the so called To-Be model, i.e. the set of restructured processes. Starting from the cause/solution matrix, several modified versions of a selected process can be tested against different scenarios using a process simulator. The simulation approach helps ensure that transformations applied to As-Is processes perform as required. Moreover, it allows an effective “what-if” analysis, checking hypothetical business scenarios, and highlighting workloads, resources (in terms of costs and scheduling), and activities (durations, costs, resource consumption).

2.4. Information System and Workflow Implementation (F4)

When the To-Be enterprise model has been approved, it has to be transmitted to engineers for implementation. In the BP-M* methodology, two implementation aspects are considered: 1) the specification of the Information System environment, and 2) the specification of the Workflow execution environment. These implementation tasks will not be discussed in this paper.

2.5. Supporting Tools and Languages for BP-M*

BP-M* is supported by a set of modeling languages, i.e. a set of concepts and constructs which need to be used and shared both by analysts and business users. The integrated model that has been adopted consists of a functional model and a process model. These models are based, respectively, on the IDEF0 [10] and the BPMN [11] languages.

The IDEF0 language, due to the simplicity and intuitive appeal of its graphical notations, represents the most widespread formalism for the functional modeling and analysis of enterprises.

Complying with business process standards, the BPMN (Business Process Modeling Notation) language has been selected for the description of the process model. BPMN provides a graphical notation easily understandable by all business users (from analysts to business people) that can be used to describe a process in a Business Process Diagram (BPD). It has been specifically designed to coordinate the sequence of processes and the messages that flow between different process participants in a related set of activities [11].

The basic categories of elements in a BPD are Flow Objects, Connecting Objects, Swimlanes and Artifacts (the symbols of core elements are shown in Table 1).

With these elements is possible to construct simple process models. In addition, within each category there is a more extensive list of business process constructors that allows the production of complex or high-level business models.

Moreover, BPMN specifications can be simulated by means of discrete event simulation tools, nowadays available on the market, e.g. the iGrafxProcess tool that has been used in our research [12]. Through simulation, the process analyst can manipulate process diagrams to check their semantic correctness and to see where inefficiencies lie. It is also important to remember that BPMN objects can be mapped to BPEL, the Business Process Execution Language for Web Services [13]. For instance, iGrafxProcess, is able to convert BPMN diagrams into BPEL files that specify the sequence of Web Services to be executed.

3. Case Study: The Patient Care Process in the Oncology Division

In this study we paid attention to the Out-Patients’ Department (OPDept for short) of the Oncology Division. In this division many research activities take place among several medical specialties (e.g., oncology, hematology, endocrinology), diagnostics specialties (molecular

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Core element set in a business process diagram.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BPD core element set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateways</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
biology, tutor immunology, cytogenetic), and radiant treatment. In the OPDept usually antiblastic therapies for the care of all solid tumors are administrated.

Due to space reasons, we will only discuss the two major tasks in the F2 and F3 phases: Process Specification and Reorganization.

3.1. Process Specification

This task is implemented by means of a set of meetings with the department manager and people (medical and nursing staff) in charge of different services. During the meetings people received training sessions on business modeling, process data and requirements were collected, and problems concerning the patient care management inside the OPDept were pointed out. The patient care process in the OPDept can be summarized as follows.

A patient is accepted and is prepared for blood test. Blood test-tubes are sent to the Laboratory (by auxiliary staff), the doctor visits the patient and prepares a draft of the chemotherapy to optimize waiting times.

When the doctor receives test results, he goes on with the study of results, with the aim of customize and fix the therapy. If the results show some problems (i.e. toxicity, fever, and few neutrophils) the doctor could decide to prescribe a support therapy and the chemotherapy is deferred to the next week, otherwise the doctor prints the request of the chemotherapy and sends it to the internal Pharmacy by fax.

Waiting times for Drug preparation and result arrivals take usually a rather long time. As a consequence, the cycle time of a patient in the OPDept is very long.

In Figure 2 the “As-Is” model for the intravenous administration of Navelbina is shown. The process uses 5 nurses and 3 doctors with different schedules, as illustrated in Table 2.

![As-Is analysis: the “Intravenous administration” process.](image-url)
After the As-Is process was modeled, we prepared an observation chart where, for patients that used the Navelbina drug, times related to all activities of the process were collected for several weeks in different situations. For each activity, starting, ending and arrival time of patients, sending fax time, and results and drugs arrival times have been measured. A normal distribution has been used in the event that the Kolmogorov-Smirnov & Shapiro-Wilk test returns positive values. Otherwise, a triangular distribution based on min, max, and mode values of the sample has been selected. Results of this analysis are displayed in Table 3.

By means of simulation of the “As-Is” process, it is possible to obtain some key performance indicators as cycle time (range of time that a patient spends in the OPDept) and resource utilization of the more critical resources (doctors and nurses). In our case, the following results have been obtained:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource utilization</th>
<th>doctor: 57%</th>
<th>nurse: 53%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cycle time</td>
<td>205 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Resources and duration of activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity name</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receive patient</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>UnifDist(1;2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare patient (blood test preparation)</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>TriangleDist(35;41;38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execute blood test</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>UnifDist(2;3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal test-tube transfer</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>UnifDist(1;3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit patient</td>
<td>1 doctor</td>
<td>TriangleDist(5;8;7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare draft therapy</td>
<td>1 doctor</td>
<td>UnifDist(5;7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Send test-tube (send test-tube to Laboratory)</td>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>UnifDist(26;35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Execute tests</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>TriangleDist(37;63;47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate results (evaluation of exams and patient examination)</td>
<td>1 doctor</td>
<td>UnifDist(3;5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define support therapy</td>
<td>1 doctor</td>
<td>TriangleDist(3;7;5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare therapy (prepare support therapy)</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>TriangleDist(2;5;3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy administration</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>TriangleDist(10;20;12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy ending</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>TriangleDist(3;5;4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fix therapy and sending (the therapy is fixed and then will be sent by fax to the Pharmacy)</td>
<td>1 doctor</td>
<td>UnifDist(7;12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze therapy</td>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>UnifDist(4;7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navelbina preparation</td>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>NormDist(52;14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mistake analysis (the doctor settles any problems in the drug preparation)</td>
<td>1 doctor</td>
<td>TriangleDist(5;7;6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient preparation</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>TriangleDist(2;5;3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navelbina administration</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>UnifDist(10;15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy ending</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>TriangleDist(3;5;4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next reservation</td>
<td>1 doctor</td>
<td>UnifDist(3;5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge patient</td>
<td>1 nurse</td>
<td>UnifDist(6;8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It must be pointed out that the resource utilization applies to the particular care process we have studied and not to the whole activity executed in the OPDept. Indeed if we insert in the process any other kind of chemotherapy, all resources turn out to be heavily used.

In analyzing simulation results it must be pointed out that the main problem is related to the long waiting times to obtain drugs from the Pharmacy and exams results of analysis from the Laboratory. Let us analyze these problems separately.

3.1.1. Laboratory
Waiting time to receive results from the Laboratory depends on three factors:

- Test-tube labeling.
- Test-tube transport from OPDept to Laboratory.
- Test result availability notification.

Test-tube labeling is a process that influences the waiting time to obtain exam results. Indeed, bad printing of the label or its wrong positioning on test-tube results in the arrest of the analysis automated line. This requires intervention by a technician to resume the line. In order to prevent this event, robots have been developed to produce test-tubes in which the labels are correctly printed and positioned.

Test-tubes are currently transported from OPDept to Laboratory by auxiliary staff. This process is time consuming (it requires about 30 minutes) and this is a relevant part of the total waiting time. A good solution to this problem would be the employment of a Pneumatic Mail tube system in substitution of the auxiliary staff; this would result in a considerable save in transport time.

Regarding test result notification, at present doctors, in order to know test results, have to repeatedly check the result availability with queries to a software application. A possible solution would be the use of acoustic and visual signals to let the doctors know as soon as test results are ready. This way, waiting time would be reduced from the current 26-35 minutes to about 4-5 minutes.

3.1.2. Pharmacy
Waiting time to receive drugs depends on two factors:

- Transmission of therapy requests.
- Drug preparation and transport.

At present, doctors have to insert a therapy request into the local Information System, print it and then send it by fax to the Pharmacy. It might happen that the doctor decides to make some changes to a therapy on the base of the patient’s condition. Some times the doctor introduces these changes by sending on paper and not using the information system. Since the paper form is the only request form officially accepted in the Pharmacy, the pharmacist has to add further effort to his job, and introduces new manual activities in the procedure. This also introduces in the process an element of risk! A possible solution would be the use of a new certified computerized procedure in place of the fax procedure.

The second factor which influences the waiting time depends on the time that is necessary to prepare the drug and to transport it by means of an auxiliary staff. We have measured it takes about 50 minutes to obtain the drug. A possible corrective action would be the use of the same drug, but administered by oral way instead of intravenous way. Since the OPdept can manage the oral chemotherapy in a local warehouse, inquiry, preparation and delivery times can be eliminated.

3.2. “What-If” Analysis and Reorganization

Starting from the current “Oral administration” process we defined two reorganization scenarios:

- **Scenario A**: In this scenario, the oral administration of the drug has been introduced.
- **Scenario B**: In addition to the oral administration, the corrective actions described above (i.e. the use of a robot for test-tube labeling, the pneumatic mail test-tube system and the certified computerized system to advice doctors) have been introduced in the model.

In the Scenario A, the oral administration of Navelbina implies a significant variation of the interactions between OPDept and Pharmacy. The OPDept has to manage a local warehouse with the oral chemotherapy (Navelbina) supplied by the Pharmacy, but all the steps of drug request, drug preparation and waiting time, and all the backup procedures necessary in case of faulty delivery can be removed. The doctor as soon as receives test results can deliver the oral chemotherapy to the patient.

The oral administration can be conducted according to the care pathway illustrated in Figure 3. The new activities, Fix therapy and Oral administration, are illustrated in Table 4.

The simulation of the “Oral administration” process shows the following results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource utilization</th>
<th>doctor: 47%</th>
<th>nurse: 48%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cycle time</td>
<td>141 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We observe an overall reduction in the patient cycle time of about 31%.

Let’s now study the **Scenario B**. The process is the same as in **Scenario A**, we just have changed the temporal characteristics of the activities that are involved in the adoption of new technologies. The simulation of the new process shows the following results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource utilization</th>
<th>doctor: 43%</th>
<th>nurse: 42%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cycle time</td>
<td>107 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thus the overall reduction in the patient cycle time is about 48%. Based on these results, the Director of the Organization unit approved the implementation of the Scenario A; after a transitory period of time, experimental results were in good accordance with simulation outcome.

4. Conclusions

In this paper we present a methodology that responds to some of the problems organizations are faced with in their process analysis projects. The main objective of the paper is to investigate some potential benefits and outcomes of introducing new processes that could be assessed in advance by using simulation modeling.

A complex process, the patient’s care pathway in the Oncology Division of a large hospital, has been modeled using BP-M* and a process mapping and simulation tool. This approach has been proved to be a very useful tool for business process analysis and design which offers a way to understand the behavior of existing and restructured processes without being involved in costly deployment procedures.

This analysis is still under study and the results obtained are influenced by low cardinality of statistical units analyzed. Nevertheless these results seem to be a good estimation of the reality. The benefits of the restructured process have been analyzed and two different scenarios were compared.

The first solution just changes the way to administrate the therapy, with no changes in the OPdept organization. The second solution is based on the first one, but introduces a set of technological innovations. We observe that both solutions provide relevant improvements with respect to the original process.

Specifically, referring to the patient cycle time (the
overall time a patient spends in the OPDept), the two solutions allow reducing the cycle time of about 31% and 48% respectively.

It must be pointed out that the development trend of pharmaceutical companies is based on investments on new molecules with oral administration that can be delivered at patient home. In the near future we intend to investigate how this trend could impact on the organization of the oncology division and to analyze the benefits of a solution that take into account this new kind of administration.
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