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#### Abstract

Given $s \geq 1$ we present initial data that belong to the Gevrey space $G^{s}$ for which the solution to the Cauchy problem for the generalized $m k \ell-\mathrm{KdV}$ equation does not belongs to $G^{s}$ in the time variable. Also, for the KdV, in the periodic case, we show that the solution to the Cauchy problem withanalytic initial data (Gevrey class $G^{1}$ ) belongs to $G^{3}$ in time.


## 1 Introduction

For $k, \ell \in\{1,2,3,4,5 \cdots\}$ and $m \in\{3,4,5,6, \cdots\}$, we consider the Cauchy problem for the generalized $m k \ell$-KdV type equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} u=\partial_{x}^{m} u+u^{k} \partial_{x}^{\ell} u  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, 0)=\varphi(x), x \in \mathbb{T} \text { or } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is an appropriate function in Gevrey space $G^{s}, s \geq 1$. If we let $m=3$ and $\ell=1$, and replace $t$ with $-t$ then we obtain the generalized KdV equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}^{3} u+u^{k} \partial_{x} u=0, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which it was shown in [GH1] that for appropriate analytic initial data one can construct non-analytic in time solutions. The purpose of this work is to extend to equation (1.1) the results obtained in [GH1]. Also, using the estimates obtained in [GH2], for proving analyticity in the space variable for KdV solutions, we show that these solutions belong in the Gevrey 3 space in the time variable.

[^0]Analytic and Gevrey regularity properties for KdV-type equations have been studied extensively by many authors in the literature. For example, in [T], Trubowitz showed that the solution to the periodic initial value problem for the KdV with analytic initial data is anallytic in the space variable (see also [GH2] for another proof based on billinear estimates). For the non-periodic case we refer the reader to T. Kato [K], T. Kato and Masuda [KM], and K. Kato and Ogawa [KO]. For further results, we refer the reader to Bercovici, Constantin, Foias and Manley [BCFM], Bona and Grujić [BG], Bona, Grujić and Kalisch [BGK], Foias and Temam [FT], De Bouard, Hayashi and Kato [DHK], Grujić and Kukavica [GK], and Hayashi [H]. Another motivation for studying regularity properties for KdV-type equations is to contrast them with the Camassa-Holm equation (see $[\mathrm{CH}]$ and $[\mathrm{FF}])$ which has been shown in $[\mathrm{HM}]$ that the solution map is analytic in time at time zero.

## 2 Periodic case

The main result of this section is given by the following
Theorem 2.1 Given $s \geq 1$ the solution to the $m k \ell-K d V$ initial value problem (1.1)-(1.2) with initial data in the Gevrey space $G^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ may not be in $G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ in time variable $t$. More precisely, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) e^{i n x}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\psi}(n)=e^{-n^{1 / s}}$, then the solution $u$ to the initial value problem (1.1)-(1.2) is not in $G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ in $t$.

Observe that the initial data $\varphi(x)$ belong in the Sobolev space $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$, for any $s$, and therefore the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) is well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ for $s$ large enough when $m=3$ and $\ell=1$ (see Bourgain [B], Kenig, Ponce and Vega [KPV], Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao [CKSTT1], [CKSTT2] and the references therein).

Before starting the proof of Theorem 2.1, we show the following lemma, which is crucial in estimating the higher-order derivatives of a solution with respect to $t$.

Lemma 2.2 If $u$ is a solution to (1.1)-(1.2) then for every $j \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}^{j} u=\partial_{x}^{m j} u+\sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell) q=m j} C_{\alpha}^{q}\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} u\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{q k+1}} u\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\alpha}^{q} \geq 0$.

Proof. We prove this by induction. For $j=1$, relation ( 2.2 holds since it is nothing else but equation (1.1). Next, we assume that (2.2) holds for $j \geq 1$ and we show that it holds for $j+1$. Differentiating (2.2) with respect to $t$ and using (1.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}^{j+1} u=\partial_{x}^{m(j+1)} u+\partial_{x}^{m j}\left(u^{k} \partial_{x}^{\ell} u\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell) q=m j} C_{\alpha}^{q} \partial_{t}\left(\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} u\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{q k+1}} u\right)\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Leibniz rule, the term $\partial_{x}^{m j}\left(u^{k} \partial_{x}^{\ell} u\right)$ can be written as the sum of terms of the form

$$
C_{\alpha}\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} u\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{2}} u\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{k+1}} u\right),
$$

with $C_{\alpha} \geq 0$, and $|\alpha|=m j+\ell$. Therefore, we have $|\alpha|+(m-\ell) \cdot 1=m(j+1)$.
Now each term in the sum of (2.3) is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t}\left(\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} u\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{q k+1}} u\right)\right) & =\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial_{t} u\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{2}} u\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{q k+1}} u\right)+\cdots \\
& +\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} u\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{q k}} u\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{q k+1}} \partial_{t} u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $\partial_{t} u=\partial_{x}^{m} u+u^{k} \partial_{x}^{\ell} u$ in each term above yields terms which order of the derivatives, $|\gamma|$, satisfies either $|\gamma|+(m-\ell) q=m(j+1)$ or $|\gamma|+(m-\ell)(q+1)=$ $m(j+1)$. For example, the first term becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial_{t} u\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{2}} u\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{q k+1}} u\right)=\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\partial_{x}^{m} u+u^{k} \partial_{x}^{\ell} u\right)\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{2}} u\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{q k+1}} u\right) \\
= & \left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}+m} u\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{2}} u\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{q k+1}} u\right)+\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}}\left(u^{k} \partial_{x}^{\ell} u\right)\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{2}} u\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{x}^{\alpha_{q k+1}} u\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the first term we have $q k+1$ terms of the type $\partial_{x}^{\ell} u$ and the order of derivatives satisfies $|\gamma|+(m-\ell) q=m(j+1)$, where $\gamma=\left(\alpha_{1}+m, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{q k+1}\right)$. In the second term, using Leibniz rule, we have $(q+1) k+1$ terms of the type $\partial_{x}^{\ell} u$ and the order of the derivatives is given by $|\gamma|=|\alpha|+\ell=m j-(m-\ell) q+\ell$, which can be written as $|\gamma|+(m-\ell)(q+1)=m(j+1)$, where we have used $\gamma=\left(\alpha_{1}+\ell, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{q k+1}\right)$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.
Now, we are in the position to prove Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.1: We assume that the initial data is given by (2.1) and we shall prove that the solution to the $m k \ell-\mathrm{KdV}$ initial value problem (1.1)-(1.2) is not in $G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ in time $t$.

Differentiating (2.1) with respect to $x$ we obtain that

$$
\partial_{x}^{q} u(x, 0)=i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n)(i n)^{q} e^{i n x} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\partial_{x}^{q} u(0,0)=i^{q+\frac{m-\ell}{k}} A_{q},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{q} \doteq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) n^{q}>0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t}^{j} u(0,0) & =i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+m j} A_{m j}+\sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell) q=m j} C_{\alpha}^{q} i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+\alpha_{1}} A_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+\alpha_{q k+1}} A_{\alpha_{q k+1}} \\
& =i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+m j} A_{m j}+\sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell) q=m j} C_{\alpha}^{q} A_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots A_{\alpha_{q k+1}} i^{|\alpha|+\frac{m-\ell}{k}(q k+1)} \\
& =i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+m j} A_{m j}+\sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell) q=m j} C_{\alpha}^{q} A_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots A_{\alpha_{q k+1}} i^{|\alpha|+(m-\ell) q+\frac{m-\ell}{k}} \\
& =i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+m j} A_{m j}+\sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell) q=m j} C_{\alpha}^{q} A_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots A_{\alpha_{q k+1}} i^{m j+\frac{m-\ell}{k}} \\
& =i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+m j}\left(A_{m j}+\sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell) q=m j} C_{\alpha}^{q} A_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots A_{\alpha_{q k+1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+m j}\right|=1$ and $C_{\alpha}^{q} \geq 0$ it follows from the last equality and (2.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{t}^{j} u(0,0)\right| \geq A_{m j}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) n^{m j} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now are going to divide the proof in two cases.
First case: $1 \leq s<m$.
In this case we notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m j}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) n^{m j}>\widehat{\psi}(m j)(m j)^{m j}=e^{-(m j)^{1 / s}}(m j)^{m j} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to the fact that $(m j)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq m j$ for all $s \geq 1$ and $j=1,2, \cdots$ it follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{t}^{j} u(0,0)\right| \geq e^{-(m j)}(m j)^{m j} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(m j)^{m j}>(j!)^{m}$ it follows from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{t}^{j} u(0,0)\right| \geq\left(\frac{1}{e^{m}}\right)^{j}(j!)^{m} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall now that a function $g(t)$ is in $G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ if $g(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and for every compact subset $K$ of $\mathbb{R}$ there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{(j)}(t)\right| \leq C^{j+1}(j!)^{s}, j=0,1,2, \cdots \text { and } t \in K \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $K=\{0\}$ and using estimates (2.8) and (2.9) we conclude that $u(0, \cdot) \notin$ $G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ in the case $1 \leq s<m$.
Second case: $s \geq m$.
In this case we shall use $[s]$ to represent the greatest integer that is less than or equal to $s$. We also notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m j}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) n^{m j}>\widehat{\psi}\left(j^{[s]}\right)\left(j^{[s]}\right)^{m j}=e^{-\left(j^{[s]}\right)^{1 / s}}\left(j^{[s]}\right)^{m j} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $[s] \leq s$ we have $\frac{[s]}{s} \leq 1$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{[s] / s} \leq j, \text { for all } j=1,2, \cdots \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\left(j^{[s]}\right)^{1 / s}}=e^{-\left(j^{[s] / s}\right)} \geq e^{-j}, \text { for all } j=1,2, \cdots \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $[s] \geq s-1$ we have $m[s] \geq m s-m$. Thanks to the fact the $s \geq m$ we can conclude that $m s-m \geq(m-1) s$. It follows from this that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(j^{[s]}\right)^{m j}=j^{m j[s]}=\left(j^{j}\right)^{m[s]} \geq(j!)^{m[s]} \geq(j!)^{m s-m} \geq(j!)^{(m-1) s} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the inequality $j^{j} \geq j$ ! and the fact that $j!\geq 1$.
It follows from (2.5), (2.10), (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\partial_{t}^{j} u(0,0)\right| & \geq A_{m j}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) n^{m j} \\
& >\widehat{\psi}\left(j^{[s]}\right)\left(j^{[s]}\right)^{m j}=e^{-\left(j^{[s]}\right)^{1 / s}}\left(j^{[s]}\right)^{m j}  \tag{2.14}\\
& \geq e^{-j}(j!)^{(m-1) s},
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $u(0, \cdot) \notin G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, since $m \geq 3$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

## 3 Non-periodic case

In the non-periodic case we consider analytic initial data and we show that the solution is not analytic in time.

Theorem 3.1 The solution to the mk $-K d V$ initial value problem (1.1)-(1.2) with initial data an analytic function may not be analytic in the $t$ variable. More precisely, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=(i-x)^{-\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k<2 m-2 \ell+8 p$, then $u(0, \cdot)$ is not analytic near $t=0$.
Observe that for any given $s>0$ we can choose $p$ large enough so that the initial data $u(x, 0)$ belong in the Sobolev space $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) is well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ when $m=3$ and $\ell=1$ (see Kenig, Ponce and Vega [KPV], Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao [CKSTT1], [CKSTT2] and the references therein).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We have
$\partial_{x}^{n} u(x, 0)=\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}\left(\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}+1\right) \cdots\left(\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}+n-1\right)(i-x)^{-\left(n+\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}\right)}$.
It follows from this and from Lemma 2.2 that
$\partial_{t}^{j} u(0,0)=\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}\left(\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}+1\right) \cdots\left(\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}+m j-1\right)(i)^{-\left(m j+\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}\right)}+$
$\sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell) q=m j} C_{\alpha}^{q}\left[\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}\left(\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}+1\right) \cdots\left(\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}+\alpha_{1}-1\right)\right] \cdots$
$\left[\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}\left(\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}+1\right) \cdots\left(\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}+\alpha_{q k+1}-1\right)\right](i)^{-\left(|\alpha|+\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}(q k+1)\right)}$.
Since $|\alpha|=m j-(m-\ell) q$ and $i^{4 p q}=1$ we may factor, in the last equality, the term $(i)^{-\left(m j+\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}\right)}$ and therefore we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\partial_{t}^{j} u(0,0)\right| & \geq \frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}\left(\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}+1\right) \cdots\left(\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}+m j-1\right) \\
& \geq \frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}(m j-1)!  \tag{3.2}\\
& \geq(m j-1)!C_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}=\frac{4 p+m-\ell}{k}$.

Since $m j-1 \geq(m-1) j$, for $j \geq 1$, we have $(m j-1)!\geq((m-1) j)$ !. By using the inequality $(\ell+n)!\geq \ell!n!$ it follows from the last inequality that $(m j-1)!\geq(j!)^{m-1}$. Thus, from this and (3.2) we obtain

$$
\left|\partial_{t}^{j} u(, 0)\right| \geq C_{1}(j!)^{m-1}
$$

which shows that $u(0, \cdot)$ cannot be analytic near $t=0$.

## $4 G^{3}$ regularity in time for the $K d V$

Next we shall focus our attention to the periodic initial value problem for the KdV equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} u=\partial_{x}^{3}+u \partial_{x} u  \tag{4.1}\\
u(x, 0)=\varphi(x), \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

when $\varphi(x)$ is analytic on the torus $\mathbb{T}$. As we have mentioned before, this problem is well-posed (see, for example, [B], [KPV] and [CKSTT1]) and its solution $u(x, t)$ is analytic in the spatial variable (see [T] and [GH2]). Here we shall use the analyticity estimates obtained in [GH2] to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.1 The solution $u(x, t)$ to the $K d V$ initial value problem (4.1)-(4.2) belongs to $G^{3}$ in the time variable $t$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By the work in [GH2] $u(x, t)$ is analytic in $x$ for all $t$ near zero. More precisely, there exist $C>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{x}^{k} u(x, t)\right| \leq C^{k+1} k!, k=0,1,2, \cdots, t \in(-\delta, \delta), x \in \mathbb{T} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove Theorem 4.1 it is enough to prove the following
Lemma 4.2 For $k=0,1, \cdots$ and $j=0,1,2, \cdots$ the following inequality holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k} u(x, t)\right| \leq C^{k+j+1}(k+3 j)!\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in(-\delta, \delta), x \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. We will prove it by using induction on $j$. For $j=0$ inequality (4.4) holds for all $k \in\{0,1,2, \cdots\}$ since it is nothing else but inequality (4.3). For $j=1$ and $k \in\{0,1,2, \cdots\}$ it follows from (4.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \partial_{x}^{k} u & =\partial_{x}^{k+3} u+\partial_{x}^{k}\left(u \partial_{x} u\right) \\
& =\partial_{x}^{k+3} u+\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u \partial_{x}^{p+1} u \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

First, from (4.3) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{x}^{k+3} u(x, t)\right| \leq C^{k+3+1}(k+3)!\leq C^{k+1+1}(k+3 \cdot 1)!C^{2}, t \in(-\delta, \delta), x \in \mathbb{T} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u \partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right| \leq \sum_{p=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} C^{k-p+1}(k-p)!C^{p+1+1}(p+1)! \\
= & C^{k+3} k!\sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+1)=C^{k+3} k!(k+1)(k+2) / 2  \tag{4.7}\\
= & C^{k+3}(k+2)!/ 2=C^{k+1+1}(k+2)!C / 2 \leq C^{k+1+1}(k+3)!C / 2
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \in(-\delta, \delta), x \in \mathbb{T}$, where we have used the fact that

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+1)=(k+1)(k+2) / 2
$$

It follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$
\left|\partial_{t} \partial_{x}^{k} u(x, t)\right| \leq C^{k+1+1}(k+3.1)!\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)
$$

for $t \in(-\delta, \delta), x \in \mathbb{T}$, which complete the proof in this case.
We now suppose that (4.4) holds for all derivatives in $t$ of order $\leq j$ and $k \in\{0,1,2, \cdots\}$ and we shall prove that (4.4) holds for $j+1$ and $k \in\{0,1,2, \cdots\}$.

We have from (4.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t}^{j+1} \partial_{x}^{k} u & =\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k+3} u+\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k}\left(u \cdot \partial_{x} u\right) \\
& =\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k+3} u+\partial_{t}^{j}\left(\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u \partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right) \\
& =\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k+3} u+\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
& +\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right) \\
& +\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{j}{\ell}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{t}^{j-\ell} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{t}^{\ell} \partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By using the induction assumption we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k+3} u\right| & \leq C^{k+3+j+1}(k+3+3 j)!\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} \\
& =C^{k+(j+1)+1}(k+3(j+1))!\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} C^{2} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \in(-\delta, \delta), x \in \mathbb{T}$.
For the second term in the formula (4.8), by using the induction assumption, we obatin

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right)\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{p=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} C^{k-p+j+1}(k-p+3 j)!\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} C^{p+1+1}(p+1)! \\
= & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} k!\sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+1)(k-p+1)(k-p+2) . \cdots .(k-p+3 j) \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} k!\sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+1)(k+1)(k+2) \cdots .(k+3 j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using again the fact that $\sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+1)=(k+1)(k+2) / 2$ it follows from the last inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right)\right| \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3 j)!(k+1)(k+2) / 2  \tag{4.10}\\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3 j)!(k+3 j+1)(k+3 j+2) / 2 \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3(j+1))!\frac{1}{2(k+3(j+1))} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{3} C^{k+(j+1)+1}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3(j+1))!C / 2 .
\end{align*}
$$

For the third term in the formula (4.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right)\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{p=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} C^{k-p+1}(k-p)!C^{p+1+j+1}(p+1+3 j)!\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} \\
= & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} k!\sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+1)(p+2) \cdots(p+1+3 j) \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} k!\sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+1)(k+2) \cdots(k+1+3 j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in (4.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right)\right| \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} k!(k+2) \cdots(k+1+3 j)(k+1)(k+2) / 2 \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3 j+1)!(k+2) / 2  \tag{4.11}\\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3 j+1)!(k+3 j+2) / 2 \\
\leq & C^{k+(j+1)+1}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3(j+1))!C / 2 \frac{1}{k+3(j+1)} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{3} C^{k+(j+1)+1}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3(j+1))!C / 2
\end{align*}
$$

In order to estimate the last term in (4.8) we shall recall that for $\ell \leq j$ and $p \leq k$ we have the following inequality

$$
\binom{j}{\ell}\binom{k}{p} \leq\binom{ j+k}{\ell+p},
$$

(see [DHK, Lemma 2.8]).
By using it and the induction assumption we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{j}{\ell}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{t}^{j-\ell} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{t}^{\ell} \partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{j+k}{\ell+p} C^{k-p+j-\ell+1}\left[(k-p+3(j-\ell)]!\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j-\ell}\right. \\
& \times C^{p+1+\ell+1}(p+1+3 \ell)!\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{\ell} \\
&= C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j} \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} \frac{(k+j)!}{(\ell+p)!(k+j-\ell-p)!}  \tag{4.12}\\
& \times \quad[k-p+3(j-\ell)]!(p+1+3 \ell)! \\
&= C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+j)!\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+\ell+1)(p+\ell+2) . \cdots .(p+\ell+1+2 \ell) \\
& \times(k+j-\ell-p+1)(k+j-\ell-p+2) . \cdots .(k+j-\ell-p+2 j-2 \ell) .
\end{align*}
$$

We now notice that for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
(p+\ell+\nu) \leq(k+j+\nu-1)
$$

since $p \leq k$ and $\ell \leq j-1$, and

$$
(k+j-\ell-p+\nu) \leq(k+j+\nu-1)
$$

since the maximum value is given when $p=0$ and $\ell=1$.
It follows from these inequalities and from (4.12) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{j}{\ell}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{t}^{j-\ell} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{t}^{\ell} \partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right)\right| \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+j)!\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+\ell+1)(k+j+1) . \cdots .(k+j+2 \ell) \\
\times & (k+j)(k+j+1) \cdots .(k+j+2 j-2 \ell-1) . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $k+j+\nu \leq k+j+\nu+2 \ell+1$, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from this and (4.13) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{j}{\ell}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{t}^{j-\ell} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{t}^{\ell} \partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right)\right| \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+j)!\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+\ell+1)(k+j+1) . \cdots .(k+j+2 \ell) \\
\times & (k+j+2 \ell+1)(k+j+2 \ell+2) \cdots .(k+3 j)  \tag{4.14}\\
= & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3 j)!\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+\ell+1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}(p+\ell+1)=(k+1)(j-1)(k+j+2) / 2
$$

it follows from this and (4.14) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{j}{\ell}\binom{k}{p}\left(\partial_{t}^{j-\ell} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u\right)\left(\partial_{t}^{\ell} \partial_{x}^{p+1} u\right)\right| \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3 j)!(k+j+2)(k+1)(j-1) / 2 \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3 j)!(k+3 j+1)(k+1)(j-1) / 2 \\
\leq & C^{k+j+3}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3 j+1)!(k+1)(j-1) / 2  \tag{4.15}\\
\leq & C^{k+(j+1)+1}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3 j+1)!C / 2(k+1)(j-1) \\
\leq & C^{k+(j+1)+1}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3(j+1))!C / 2 \frac{(k+1)(j-1)}{(k+3 j+2)(k+3 j+3)} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{3} C^{k+(j+1)+1}\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j}(k+3(j+1))!C / 2,
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used that $k+j+2 \leq k+j+2 j+1=k+3 j+1$ since $1 \leq j$ implies that $2 \leq 2 j \leq 2 j+1$ and we also have used that $k+3 j+2 \geq k+1$ and $k+3 j+3 \geq 3 j+3=3(j+1) \geq 3(j-1)$.

Finally by using (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.15) we obtain

$$
\left|\partial_{t}^{j+1} \partial_{x}^{k} u(x, t)\right| \leq C^{k+(j+1)+1}[k+3(j+1)]!\left(C^{2}+C / 2\right)^{j+1}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2, and therefore it also completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.
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