Gevrey regularity in time for generalized KdV type equations

Heather Hannah, A. Alexandrou Himonas and Gerson Petronilho^{*}

Abstract

Given $s \ge 1$ we present initial data that belong to the Gevrey space G^s for which the solution to the Cauchy problem for the generalized $mk\ell$ -KdV equation does not belongs to G^s in the time variable. Also, for the KdV, in the periodic case, we show that the solution to the Cauchy problem withanalytic initial data (Gevrey class G^1) belongs to G^3 in time.

1 Introduction

For $k, \ell \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \cdots\}$ and $m \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, \cdots\}$, we consider the Cauchy problem for the generalized $mk\ell$ -KdV type equation

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x^m u + u^k \partial_x^\ell u, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(x,0) = \varphi(x), \ x \in \mathbb{T} \text{ or } x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (1.2)

where φ is an appropriate function in Gevrey space G^s , $s \ge 1$. If we let m = 3 and $\ell = 1$, and replace t with -t then we obtain the generalized KdV equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u^k \partial_x u = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

for which it was shown in [GH1] that for appropriate analytic initial data one can construct non-analytic in time solutions. The purpose of this work is to extend to equation (1.1) the results obtained in [GH1]. Also, using the estimates obtained in [GH2], for proving analyticity in the space variable for KdV solutions, we show that these solutions belong in the Gevrey 3 space in the time variable.

^{*}The second author was partially supported by the NSF under grant number DMS-9970857, and the third author was partially supported by CNPq.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35Q53.

Key words and phrases. Generalized KdV equation, Cauchy problem, Gevrey spaces, regularity.

Analytic and Gevrey regularity properties for KdV-type equations have been studied extensively by many authors in the literature. For example, in [T], Trubowitz showed that the solution to the periodic initial value problem for the KdV with analytic initial data is anallytic in the space variable (see also [GH2] for another proof based on billinear estimates). For the non-periodic case we refer the reader to T. Kato [K], T. Kato and Masuda [KM], and K. Kato and Ogawa [KO]. For further results, we refer the reader to Bercovici, Constantin, Foias and Manley [BCFM], Bona and Grujić [BG], Bona, Grujić and Kalisch [BGK], Foias and Temam [FT], De Bouard, Hayashi and Kato [DHK], Grujić and Kukavica [GK], and Hayashi [H]. Another motivation for studying regularity properties for KdV-type equations is to contrast them with the Camassa-Holm equation (see [CH] and [FF]) which has been shown in [HM] that the solution map is analytic in time at time zero.

2 Periodic case

The main result of this section is given by the following

Theorem 2.1 Given $s \geq 1$ the solution to the mkl-KdV initial value problem (1.1)-(1.2) with initial data in the Gevrey space $G^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ may not be in $G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ in time variable t. More precisely, if

$$\varphi(x) = i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) e^{inx}, \qquad (2.1)$$

where $\widehat{\psi}(n) = e^{-n^{1/s}}$, then the solution u to the initial value problem (1.1)-(1.2) is not in $G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ in t.

Observe that the initial data $\varphi(x)$ belong in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, for any *s*, and therefore the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) is well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$ for *s* large enough when m = 3 and $\ell = 1$ (see Bourgain [B], Kenig, Ponce and Vega [KPV], Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao [CKSTT1], [CKSTT2] and the references therein).

Before starting the proof of Theorem 2.1, we show the following lemma, which is crucial in estimating the higher-order derivatives of a solution with respect to t.

Lemma 2.2 If u is a solution to (1.1)-(1.2) then for every $j \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ we have

$$\partial_t^j u = \partial_x^{mj} u + \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{|\alpha| + (m-\ell)q = mj} C^q_\alpha(\partial_x^{\alpha_1} u) \cdots (\partial_x^{\alpha_{qk+1}} u), \qquad (2.2)$$

where $C^q_{\alpha} \geq 0$.

Proof. We prove this by induction. For j = 1, relation (2.2 holds since it is nothing else but equation (1.1). Next, we assume that (2.2) holds for $j \ge 1$ and we show that it holds for j + 1. Differentiating (2.2) with respect to t and using (1.1) we obtain

$$\partial_t^{j+1}u = \partial_x^{m(j+1)}u + \partial_x^{mj}(u^k\partial_x^\ell u) + \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell)q=mj} C^q_\alpha \partial_t \left((\partial_x^{\alpha_1}u) \cdots (\partial_x^{\alpha_{qk+1}}u) \right).$$

$$(2.3)$$

Using Leibniz rule, the term $\partial_x^{mj}(u^k\partial_x^\ell u)$ can be written as the sum of terms of the form

$$C_{\alpha}(\partial_x^{\alpha_1}u)(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)\cdots(\partial_x^{\alpha_{k+1}}u),$$

with $C_{\alpha} \ge 0$, and $|\alpha| = mj + \ell$. Therefore, we have $|\alpha| + (m - \ell) \cdot 1 = m(j + 1)$. Now each term in the sum of (2.3) is of the form

$$\partial_t \left((\partial_x^{\alpha_1} u) \cdots (\partial_x^{\alpha_{qk+1}} u) \right) = (\partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_t u) (\partial_x^{\alpha_2} u) \cdots (\partial_x^{\alpha_{qk+1}} u) + \cdots + (\partial_x^{\alpha_1} u) \cdots (\partial_x^{\alpha_{qk}} u) (\partial_x^{\alpha_{qk+1}} \partial_t u).$$

Substituting $\partial_t u = \partial_x^m u + u^k \partial_x^\ell u$ in each term above yields terms which order of the derivatives, $|\gamma|$, satisfies either $|\gamma| + (m-\ell)q = m(j+1)$ or $|\gamma| + (m-\ell)(q+1) = m(j+1)$. For example, the first term becomes

$$(\partial_x^{\alpha_1}\partial_t u)(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)\cdots(\partial_x^{\alpha_{qk+1}}u) = (\partial_x^{\alpha_1}(\partial_x^m u + u^k \partial_x^\ell u))(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)\cdots(\partial_x^{\alpha_{qk+1}}u)$$
$$= (\partial_x^{\alpha_1+m}u)(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)\cdots(\partial_x^{\alpha_{qk+1}}u) + (\partial_x^{\alpha_1}(u^k \partial_x^\ell u))(\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u)\cdots(\partial_x^{\alpha_{qk+1}}u),$$

where in the first term we have qk + 1 terms of the type $\partial_x^{\ell} u$ and the order of derivatives satisfies $|\gamma| + (m-\ell)q = m(j+1)$, where $\gamma = (\alpha_1 + m, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_{qk+1})$. In the second term, using Leibniz rule, we have (q+1)k + 1 terms of the type $\partial_x^{\ell} u$ and the order of the derivatives is given by $|\gamma| = |\alpha| + \ell = mj - (m-\ell)q + \ell$, which can be written as $|\gamma| + (m-\ell)(q+1) = m(j+1)$, where we have used $\gamma = (\alpha_1 + \ell, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_{qk+1})$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Now, we are in the position to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: We assume that the initial data is given by (2.1) and we shall prove that the solution to the $mk\ell$ -KdV initial value problem (1.1)-(1.2) is not in $G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ in time t.

Differentiating (2.1) with respect to x we obtain that

$$\partial_x^q u(x,0) = i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) (in)^q e^{inx}.$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_x^q u(0,0) = i^{q + \frac{m-\ell}{k}} A_q,$$

where

$$A_q \doteq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) n^q > 0.$$
(2.4)

For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t}^{j} u(0,0) &= i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+mj} A_{mj} + \sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell)q=mj} C_{\alpha}^{q} i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+\alpha_{1}} A_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+\alpha_{qk+1}} A_{\alpha_{qk+1}} \\ &= i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+mj} A_{mj} + \sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell)q=mj} C_{\alpha}^{q} A_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots A_{\alpha_{qk+1}} i^{|\alpha|+\frac{m-\ell}{k}(qk+1)} \\ &= i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+mj} A_{mj} + \sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell)q=mj} C_{\alpha}^{q} A_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots A_{\alpha_{qk+1}} i^{|\alpha|+(m-\ell)q+\frac{m-\ell}{k}} \\ &= i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+mj} A_{mj} + \sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell)q=mj} C_{\alpha}^{q} A_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots A_{\alpha_{qk+1}} i^{mj+\frac{m-\ell}{k}} \\ &= i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+mj} \left(A_{mj} + \sum_{q=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell)q=mj} C_{\alpha}^{q} A_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots A_{\alpha_{qk+1}} i^{mj+\frac{m-\ell}{k}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left|i^{\frac{m-\ell}{k}+mj}\right| = 1$ and $C^q_{\alpha} \ge 0$ it follows from the last equality and (2.4) that

$$\left|\partial_t^j u(0,0)\right| \ge A_{mj} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) n^{mj}.$$
(2.5)

We now are going to divide the proof in two cases.

First case: $1 \le s < m$.

In this case we notice that

$$A_{mj} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) n^{mj} > \widehat{\psi}(mj) (mj)^{mj} = e^{-(mj)^{1/s}} (mj)^{mj}.$$
 (2.6)

Thanks to the fact that $(mj)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq mj$ for all $s \geq 1$ and $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ it follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\left|\partial_t^j u(0,0)\right| \ge e^{-(mj)} (mj)^{mj}.$$
 (2.7)

Since $(mj)^{mj} > (j!)^m$ it follows from (2.7) that

$$\left|\partial_t^j u(0,0)\right| \ge \left(\frac{1}{e^m}\right)^j (j!)^m.$$
(2.8)

Recall now that a function g(t) is in $G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ if $g(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and for every compact subset K of \mathbb{R} there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|g^{(j)}(t)| \le C^{j+1}(j!)^s, \ j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \text{ and } t \in K.$$
 (2.9)

Taking $K = \{0\}$ and using estimates (2.8) and (2.9) we conclude that $u(0, \cdot) \notin G^s(\mathbb{R})$ in the case $1 \leq s < m$.

Second case: $s \ge m$.

In this case we shall use [s] to represent the greatest integer that is less than or equal to s. We also notice that

$$A_{mj} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n) n^{mj} > \widehat{\psi}(j^{[s]}) (j^{[s]})^{mj} = e^{-(j^{[s]})^{1/s}} (j^{[s]})^{mj}.$$
(2.10)

Since $[s] \leq s$ we have $\frac{[s]}{s} \leq 1$ and therefore

$$j^{[s]/s} \le j$$
, for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots$. (2.11)

It follows from (2.11) that

$$e^{-(j^{[s]})^{1/s}} = e^{-(j^{[s]/s})} \ge e^{-j}$$
, for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots$. (2.12)

Since $[s] \ge s - 1$ we have $m[s] \ge ms - m$. Thanks to the fact the $s \ge m$ we can conclude that $ms - m \ge (m - 1)s$. It follows from this that

$$(j^{[s]})^{mj} = j^{mj[s]} = (j^j)^{m[s]} \ge (j!)^{m[s]} \ge (j!)^{ms-m} \ge (j!)^{(m-1)s}$$
(2.13)

where we have used the inequality $j^j \ge j!$ and the fact that $j! \ge 1$.

It follows from (2.5), (2.10), (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\partial_{t}^{j}u(0,0)\right| &\geq A_{mj} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\psi}(n)n^{mj} \\ &> \widehat{\psi}(j^{[s]})(j^{[s]})^{mj} = e^{-(j^{[s]})^{1/s}}(j^{[s]})^{mj} \\ &\geq e^{-j}(j!)^{(m-1)s}, \end{aligned}$$
(2.14)

which implies that $u(0, \cdot) \notin G^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, since $m \geq 3$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 Non-periodic case

In the non-periodic case we consider analytic initial data and we show that the solution is not analytic in time.

Theorem 3.1 The solution to the $mk\ell$ -KdV initial value problem (1.1)-(1.2) with initial data an analytic function may not be analytic in the t variable. More precisely, if

$$u(x,0) = (i-x)^{-\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}},$$
(3.1)

with $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k < 2m - 2\ell + 8p$, then $u(0, \cdot)$ is not analytic near t = 0.

Observe that for any given s > 0 we can choose p large enough so that the initial data u(x,0) belong in the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) is well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$ when m = 3 and $\ell = 1$ (see Kenig, Ponce and Vega [KPV], Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao [CKSTT1], [CKSTT2] and the references therein).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We have

$$\partial_x^n u(x,0) = \frac{4p+m-\ell}{k} \left(\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+n-1\right) (i-x)^{-(n+\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k})}.$$

It follows from this and from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\partial_t^j u(0,0) = \frac{4p+m-\ell}{k} (\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+1) \cdots (\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+mj-1)(i)^{-(mj+\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k})} + \sum_{q=1}^j \sum_{|\alpha|+(m-\ell)q=mj} C_{\alpha}^q \left[\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k} (\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+1) \cdots (\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+1) \cdots (\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+\alpha_1-1) \right] \cdots \left[\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k} (\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+1) \cdots (\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+\alpha_{qk+1}-1) \right] (i)^{-(|\alpha|+\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}(qk+1))}.$$

Since $|\alpha| = mj - (m - \ell)q$ and $i^{4pq} = 1$ we may factor, in the last equality, the term $(i)^{-(mj + \frac{4p+m-\ell}{k})}$ and therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^j u(0,0)| &\geq \frac{4p+m-\ell}{k} (\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+1) \cdots (\frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}+mj-1) \\ &\geq \frac{4p+m-\ell}{k} (mj-1)! \\ &\geq (mj-1)! C_1 \end{aligned}$$
(3.2)

where $C_1 = \frac{4p+m-\ell}{k}$.

Since $mj - 1 \ge (m - 1)j$, for $j \ge 1$, we have $(mj - 1)! \ge ((m - 1)j)!$. By using the inequality $(\ell + n)! \ge \ell!n!$ it follows from the last inequality that $(mj - 1)! \ge (j!)^{m-1}$. Thus, from this and (3.2) we obtain

$$|\partial_t^j u(0)| \ge C_1(j!)^{m-1}$$

which shows that $u(0, \cdot)$ cannot be analytic near t = 0.

4 G^3 regularity in time for the KdV

Next we shall focus our attention to the periodic initial value problem for the KdV equation

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x^3 + u \partial_x u \tag{4.1}$$

$$u(x,0) = \varphi(x), \tag{4.2}$$

when $\varphi(x)$ is analytic on the torus T. As we have mentioned before, this problem is well-posed (see, for example, [B], [KPV] and [CKSTT1]) and its solution u(x, t)is analytic in the spatial variable (see [T] and [GH2]). Here we shall use the analyticity estimates obtained in [GH2] to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.1 The solution u(x,t) to the KdV initial value problem (4.1)-(4.2) belongs to G^3 in the time variable t.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By the work in [GH2] u(x,t) is analytic in x for all t near zero. More precisely, there exist C > 0 and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_x^k u(x,t)| \le C^{k+1} k!, \ k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \ t \in (-\delta, \delta), \ x \in \mathbb{T}.$$
(4.3)

In order to prove Theorem 4.1 it is enough to prove the following

Lemma 4.2 For $k = 0, 1, \cdots$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ the following inequality holds true

$$\left|\partial_t^j \partial_x^k u(x,t)\right| \le C^{k+j+1} (k+3j)! (C^2 + C/2)^j, \tag{4.4}$$

for $t \in (-\delta, \delta), x \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. We will prove it by using induction on j. For j = 0 inequality (4.4) holds for all $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ since it is nothing else but inequality (4.3). For j = 1 and $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ it follows from (4.1) that

$$\partial_t \partial_x^k u = \partial_x^{k+3} u + \partial_x^k (u \partial_x u)$$

= $\partial_x^{k+3} u + \sum_{p=0}^k \binom{k}{p} \partial_x^{k-p} u \partial_x^{p+1} u.$ (4.5)

First, from (4.3) we obtain that

$$|\partial_x^{k+3}u(x,t)| \le C^{k+3+1}(k+3)! \le C^{k+1+1}(k+3\cdot 1)!C^2, \ t \in (-\delta,\delta), \ x \in \mathbb{T}.$$
(4.6)

Now we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{p=0}^{k} \binom{k}{p} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u \partial_{x}^{p+1} u| &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{p!(k-p)!} C^{k-p+1}(k-p)! C^{p+1+1}(p+1)! \\ &= C^{k+3} k! \sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+1) = C^{k+3} k! (k+1)(k+2)/2 \\ &= C^{k+3}(k+2)!/2 = C^{k+1+1}(k+2)! C/2 \leq C^{k+1+1}(k+3)! C/2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.7)$$

for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $x \in \mathbb{T}$, where we have used the fact that

$$\sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+1) = (k+1)(k+2)/2.$$

It follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$|\partial_t \partial_x^k u(x,t)| \le C^{k+1+1}(k+3.1)!(C^2 + C/2),$$

for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $x \in \mathbb{T}$, which complete the proof in this case.

We now suppose that (4.4) holds for all derivatives in t of order $\leq j$ and $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and we shall prove that (4.4) holds for j+1 and $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

We have from (4.1) that

$$\partial_{t}^{j+1}\partial_{x}^{k}u = \partial_{t}^{j}\partial_{x}^{k+3}u + \partial_{t}^{j}\partial_{x}^{k}(u \cdot \partial_{x}u)$$

$$= \partial_{t}^{j}\partial_{x}^{k+3}u + \partial_{t}^{j}\left(\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p}\partial_{x}^{k-p}u\partial_{x}^{p+1}u\right)$$

$$= \partial_{t}^{j}\partial_{x}^{k+3}u + \sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p}(\partial_{t}^{j}\partial_{x}^{k-p}u)(\partial_{x}^{p+1}u)$$

$$+ \sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{k}{p}(\partial_{x}^{k-p}u)(\partial_{t}^{j}\partial_{x}^{p+1}u)$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1}\sum_{p=0}^{k}\binom{j}{\ell}\binom{k}{p}(\partial_{t}^{j-\ell}\partial_{x}^{k-p}u)(\partial_{t}^{\ell}\partial_{x}^{p+1}u).$$
(4.8)

By using the induction assumption we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^j \partial_x^{k+3} u| &\leq C^{k+3+j+1} (k+3+3j)! (C^2+C/2)^j \\ &= C^{k+(j+1)+1} (k+3(j+1))! (C^2+C/2)^j C^2, \end{aligned}$$
(4.9)

for $t \in (-\delta, \delta), x \in \mathbb{T}$.

For the second term in the formula (4.8), by using the induction assumption, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{p=0}^{k} \binom{k}{p} (\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u) (\partial_{x}^{p+1} u) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{p! (k-p)!} C^{k-p+j+1} (k-p+3j)! (C^{2}+C/2)^{j} C^{p+1+1} (p+1)! \\ &= C^{k+j+3} (C^{2}+C/2)^{j} k! \sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+1) (k-p+1) (k-p+2) \cdots (k-p+3j) \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^{2}+C/2)^{j} k! \sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+1) (k+1) (k+2) \cdots (k+3j). \end{aligned}$$

By using again the fact that $\sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+1) = (k+1)(k+2)/2$ it follows from the last inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{p=0}^{k} \binom{k}{p} (\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{k-p} u) (\partial_{x}^{p+1} u) \right| \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^{2} + C/2)^{j} (k+3j)! (k+1) (k+2)/2 \qquad (4.10) \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^{2} + C/2)^{j} (k+3j)! (k+3j+1) (k+3j+2)/2 \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^{2} + C/2)^{j} (k+3(j+1))! \frac{1}{2(k+3(j+1))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} C^{k+(j+1)+1} (C^{2} + C/2)^{j} (k+3(j+1))! C/2. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term in the formula (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{p=0}^{k} \binom{k}{p} (\partial_{x}^{k-p} u) (\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{p+1} u) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{p! (k-p)!} C^{k-p+1} (k-p)! C^{p+1+j+1} (p+1+3j)! (C^{2}+C/2)^{j} \\ &= C^{k+j+3} (C^{2}+C/2)^{j} k! \sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+1) (p+2) \cdots (p+1+3j) \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^{2}+C/2)^{j} k! \sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+1) (k+2) \cdots (k+1+3j). \end{aligned}$$

As in (4.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{p=0}^{k} \binom{k}{p} (\partial_{x}^{k-p} u) (\partial_{t}^{j} \partial_{x}^{p+1} u) \right| \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^{2} + C/2)^{j} k! (k+2) \cdots (k+1+3j) (k+1) (k+2)/2 \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^{2} + C/2)^{j} (k+3j+1)! (k+2)/2 \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^{2} + C/2)^{j} (k+3j+1)! (k+3j+2)/2 \\ &\leq C^{k+(j+1)+1} (C^{2} + C/2)^{j} (k+3(j+1))! C/2 \frac{1}{k+3(j+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} C^{k+(j+1)+1} (C^{2} + C/2)^{j} (k+3(j+1))! C/2. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the last term in (4.8) we shall recall that for $\ell \leq j$ and $p \leq k$ we have the following inequality

$$\binom{j}{\ell}\binom{k}{p} \leq \binom{j+k}{\ell+p},$$

(see [DHK, Lemma 2.8]).

By using it and the induction assumption we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} {j \choose \ell} {k \choose p} (\partial_t^{j-\ell} \partial_x^{k-p} u) (\partial_t^{\ell} \partial_x^{p+1} u) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} {j+k \choose \ell+p} C^{k-p+j-\ell+1} [(k-p+3(j-\ell))]! (C^2+C/2)^{j-\ell} \\ &\times C^{p+1+\ell+1} (p+1+3\ell)! (C^2+C/2)^{\ell} \\ &= C^{k+j+3} (C^2+C/2)^j \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} \frac{(k+j)!}{(\ell+p)! (k+j-\ell-p)!} \\ &\times [k-p+3(j-\ell)]! (p+1+3\ell)! \\ &= C^{k+j+3} (C^2+C/2)^j (k+j)! \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+\ell+1) (p+\ell+2) \dots (p+\ell+1+2\ell) \end{aligned}$$

×
$$(k+j-\ell-p+1)(k+j-\ell-p+2)....(k+j-\ell-p+2j-2\ell).$$

We now notice that for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(p+\ell+\nu) \le (k+j+\nu-1)$$

since $p \leq k$ and $\ell \leq j - 1$, and

$$(k+j-\ell-p+\nu) \le (k+j+\nu-1)$$

since the maximum value is given when p = 0 and $\ell = 1$.

It follows from these inequalities and from (4.12) that

$$\left| \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} {j \choose \ell} {k \choose p} (\partial_t^{j-\ell} \partial_x^{k-p} u) (\partial_t^{\ell} \partial_x^{p+1} u) \right|$$

$$\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^2 + C/2)^j (k+j)! \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+\ell+1)(k+j+1). \cdots . (k+j+2\ell)$$

$$\times (k+j)(k+j+1). \cdots . (k+j+2j-2\ell-1). \tag{4.13}$$

Since $k + j + \nu \le k + j + \nu + 2\ell + 1$, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from this and (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} {j \choose \ell} {k \choose p} (\partial_t^{j-\ell} \partial_x^{k-p} u) (\partial_t^{\ell} \partial_x^{p+1} u) \right| \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^2 + C/2)^j (k+j)! \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+\ell+1)(k+j+1). \cdots . (k+j+2\ell) \\ &\times (k+j+2\ell+1)(k+j+2\ell+2). \cdots . (k+3j) \\ &= C^{k+j+3} (C^2 + C/2)^j (k+3j)! \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+\ell+1). \end{aligned}$$
(4.14)

Since

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} (p+\ell+1) = (k+1)(j-1)(k+j+2)/2,$$

it follows from this and (4.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{p=0}^{k} {j \choose \ell} {k \choose p} (\partial_t^{j-\ell} \partial_x^{k-p} u) (\partial_t^{\ell} \partial_x^{p+1} u) \right| \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^2 + C/2)^j (k+3j)! (k+j+2) (k+1) (j-1)/2 \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^2 + C/2)^j (k+3j+1)! (k+1) (j-1)/2 \\ &\leq C^{k+j+3} (C^2 + C/2)^j (k+3j+1)! (k+1) (j-1)/2 \\ &\leq C^{k+(j+1)+1} (C^2 + C/2)^j (k+3j+1)! C/2 (k+1) (j-1) \\ &\leq C^{k+(j+1)+1} (C^2 + C/2)^j (k+3(j+1))! C/2 \frac{(k+1)(j-1)}{(k+3j+2)(k+3j+3)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} C^{k+(j+1)+1} (C^2 + C/2)^j (k+3(j+1))! C/2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $k + j + 2 \le k + j + 2j + 1 = k + 3j + 1$ since $1 \le j$ implies that $2 \le 2j \le 2j + 1$ and we also have used that $k + 3j + 2 \ge k + 1$ and $k + 3j + 3 \ge 3j + 3 = 3(j + 1) \ge 3(j - 1)$.

Finally by using (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.15) we obtain

$$|\partial_t^{j+1}\partial_x^k u(x,t)| \le C^{k+(j+1)+1}[k+3(j+1)]!(C^2+C/2)^{j+1}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2, and therefore it also completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\hfill \Box$

References

- [BCFM] H. Bercovici, P. Constantin, C. Foias and O. Manley, Exponential decay of the power spectrum of turbulence, J. Statist. Phys. 80 (1995) 579–602.
- [BG] J. Bona and Z. Grujić, Spatial analyticity properties of nonlinear waves, Dedicated to Jim Douglas, Jr. on the occasion of his 75th birthday, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 13, (2003), 345–360.
- [BGK] J. Bona, Z. Grujić and H. Kalisch, Algebraic lower bounds for the uniform radius of spacial analyticity for the generalized KdV equation, *preprint*.
- [B] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. Part 2: KdV equation, Geom. Funct. Anal. 3, (1993), 209–262.
- [CH] R. Camassa and D. Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993), 1661–1664.
- [CKSTT1] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao, Sharp global well-posedness for KdV and modified KdV on ℝ and T , J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16, No. 3, (2003), 705–749.
- [CKSTT2] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, and T. Tao, Multilinear estimates for periodic KdV equations, and applications, J. Funct. Anal. 211, (2004), no. 1, 173–218.
- [DHK] A. De Bouard, N. Hayashi and K. Kato, Gevrey regularizing effect for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation and nonlinear Schrdinger equations, Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linaire 12 (1995) 673–725.
- [FT] C. Foias and R. Temam, Approximation of attractors by algebraic or analytic sets, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 25 (1994) 1269–1302.
- [FF] A. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner, Symplectic structures, their Bäklund transformations and hereditary symmetries, *Phys. D* 4 (1981/82) 47–66.
- [GH1] J. Gorsky and A. Himonas, Construction of non-analytic solutions for the generalized KdV equation, to appear in JMAA.

[GH2]	J. Gorsky and A. Himonas, On analyticity in space variable of solu- tions to the KdV equation, Contemporary Mathematics of AMS 368 , (2005), 233–247.
[GK]	Z. Grujić and I. Kukavica, A remark on time-analyticity for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, <i>Nonlinear Anal.</i> 52 (2003) 69–78.
[H]	N. Hayashi, Analyticity of solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, <i>SIAM J. Math. Anal.</i> 22 , (1991), 1738–1743.
[HM]	A. Himonas and G. Misiołek, Analyticity of the Cauchy problem for an integrable evolution equation, <i>Math. Ann.</i> 327 (2003) 575–584.
[K]	T. Kato, On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation, Adv. Math. Suppl. Studies 8 (1983), 93–128.
[KM]	T. Kato and K. Masuda, Nonlinear evolution equations. I, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare. Anal. non-lineaire, 3 , (1986), 455–467.
[KO]	K. Kato and T. Ogawa, Analyticity and smoothing effect for the Korteweg-de Vries equation with a single point singularity, Math. Ann. 316 , (2000), 577–608.
[KPV]	C. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, A Bilinear Estimate with applications to the KdV Equation, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 573–603.

[T] E. Trubowitz, *The inverse problem for periodic potentials*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1977), 321–337.

Heather Hannah, Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556-5683, E-mail: hhannah@nd.edu

A. Alexandrou Himonas, Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556-5683, E-mail: himonas.1@nd.edu

Gerson Petronilho, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, São Carlos, SP 13565-905, Brasil, E-mail: gerson@dm.ufscar.br