Tracking the dispersion of *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) from wild to cultivated grapevine: use of a novel mark–capture technique

F. Lessio, F. Tota and A. Alma*

Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Italy

Abstract

The dispersion of Scaphoideus titanus Ball adults from wild to cultivated grapevines was studied using a novel mark-capture technique. The crowns of wild grapevines located at a distance from vineyards ranging from 5 to 330m were sprayed with a water solution of either cow milk (marker: casein) or chicken egg whites (marker: albumin) and insects captured in yellow sticky traps placed on the canopy of grapes were analyzed via an indirect ELISA for markers' identification. Data were subject to exponential regression as a function of distance from wild grapevine, and to spatial interpolation (Inverse Distance Weighted and Kernel interpolation with barriers) using ArcGIS Desktop 10.1 software. The influence of rainfall and time elapsed after marking on markers' effectiveness, and the different dispersion of males and females were studied with regression analyses. Of a total of 5417 insects analyzed, 43% were positive to egg; whereas 18% of 536 tested resulted marked with milk. No influence of rainfall or time elapsed was observed for egg, whereas milk was affected by time. Males and females showed no difference in dispersal. Marked adults decreased exponentially along with distance from wild grapevine and up to 80% of them were captured within 30 m. However, there was evidence of long-range dispersal up to 330 m. The interpolation maps showed a clear clustering of marked S. titanus close to the treated wild grapevine, and the pathways to the vineyards did not always seem to go along straight lines but mainly along ecological corridors. S. titanus adults are therefore capable of dispersing from wild to cultivated grapevine, and this may affect pest management strategies.

Keywords: leafhopper vector, dispersal, immunomarking, ELISA, spatial interpolation

(Accepted 7 January 2014)

Introduction

The nearctic leafhopper *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) was introduced into Europe in the

*Author for correspondence Phone: +39 011 6708534 Fax: +39 011 6708535 E-mail: alberto.alma@unito.it late 1950s (Bonfils & Schvester, 1960) and is now widespread in many European countries from Portugal to Bulgaria (COST Action FA0807). This species is a grapevine specialist, and develops on both wild and cultivated grapevine (*Vitis* spp.). It is univoltine and overwinters in the egg stage, which is laid under the bark of wood 2 years of age or more (Vidano, 1964); eggs start to hatch in the middle of May and nymphs (which include five instars) are present until the end of July, whereas adults usually appear at the beginning of July and are observed until the middle of October (Vidano, 1964).

Site	Vin.	Coordinates (°N; E)	Variety	$S_{\rm V}$	Y_P	Y _S	STN	D _{min.}	$N_{\rm V}$	N _{WGV}	N _m	AP
A	A-1	44.965299; 8.252597	Barbera	2780	2004	2010 2011	$0.05 \\ 0.14$	6	29 29	6 4	5* 8*	Jul.–Sept. Iul.–Oct.
	A-2	44.965215; 8.252018	Grignolino	1500	2008	2010 2011	0.01 0.01	14	17 20	6	5* 8*	Jul.–Sept. Jul.–Oct.
В	В	44.946083; 8.247651	Freisa	1800	1970	2010	0.31	6	19	4	5*	Jul.–Sept.
С	C-1	44.970248; 8.252081	Barbera	2800	1981	2010 2011	$0.18 \\ 0.08$	20	23 23	4 3	2* 8*	Aug.–Sept. Iul.–Oct.
	C-2	44.968798; 8.249197	Barbera	2550	2004	2010 2011	0.01 0.03	220	16 20	4	2* 8*	Aug.–Sept. Iul.–Oct.
D	D	44.962938; 8.260826	Barbera, Grignolino, Ruché	8600	2008	2011	0.05	120 110	24	3 2	7* 7**	Jul.–Oct. Jul.–Oct.

Table 1. Main features of the experimental sites and marker applications.

Sites consisted in vineyards and stands of wild grapevine. All vineyards (Vin.) were treated with Thiametoxam (approx. 26 June) and Chlorpirifos-methyl (approx. 25 July), except vin. B that was treated twice with Etofenprox on the same dates; S_V , size of vineyards, in m²; Y_P , year of planting; Y_S , year of study; STN, density of *S. titanus* nymphs/five leaves per plant in the vineyard, calculated with a sequential sampling plan (Lessio & Alma, 2006). D_{min} , minimum distance in meters from stands of wild grapevine (WGV); N_{WGV} , number of traps on stands of WGV (in site D there were two separate stands of WGV); N_V , number of traps in vineyards; $N_{m\nu}$, number of markers' application during the season; *, egg; **, milk; AP, application period of markers during the season.

S. titanus is an important pest, being the main vector of grapevine Flavescence dorée (FD), a disease caused by 16SrV phytoplasmas (subgroups C and D) (Malembic-Maher et al., 2011). Nymphs from the 3rd instar on acquire phytoplasmas by feeding on infected plants (acquisition access period, AAP) and, following a latency access period (LAP) of 4-5 weeks, they become adults and able to transmit FD to healthy plants (IAP) (Bressan et al., 2005). Since FD causes great economic losses, insecticidal sprays against S. titanus are mandatory in Italy: the active ingredients include neonichotinoids, organophosphates, etofenprox, and natural pyrethrum, the last one in organic farming (Lessio et al., 2011a). However, there are still many ecosystems suitable to the survival of S. titanus such as untreated vineyards, organic farming vineyards, abandoned vineyards, and woods or uncultivated areas colonized by wild grapevine (WGV, mainly from overgrown rootstocks: Vitis rupestris, V. riparia × berlandieri, etc.). The easiest way to assess the threat of these areas to viticulture by serving as reservoirs for this leafhopper is to apply mark-release-recapture (MRR) or mark-capture (MC) techniques.

Marking methods used in entomology include fluorescent dusts (Garcia-Salazar & Landis, 1997; Takken et al., 1998; Skovgärd, 2002), radioisotopes (Hagler & Jackson, 2001), and immunomarking (Hagler & Jackson, 2001; Jones et al., 2006; Hagler & Jones, 2010). In MRR experiments, insects (obtained under laboratory conditions or captured in the field) are marked, released at a certain point in the field, and then recaptured, usually by means of traps. However, there are many drawbacks in applying MRR methods, both generally and especially concerning S. titanus. First of all, it is not possible to mark and release a quantity of insects as large as the effective population in the field. Moreover, the number of marked individuals recaptured is generally small, up to 8-10% (Zhou et al., 2003; Lessio et al., 2008). In addition, the marker may affect the insects' flight behavior to some extent. Finally, it is sometimes difficult to obtain a great amount of insects, especially concerning species such as S. titanus that have just one generation per year and an obligatory diapause, and therefore are difficult to rear continuously under laboratory conditions. The application of a marker directly on the host plants overcomes these problems, and it has been possible since the development of immunomarkers detectable with ELISA techniques. The first immunomarking method available was based on vertebrate proteins, such as chicken or rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) (Hagler, 1997; Blackmer et al., 2004, 2006), but it has not been much used because of too expensive. The development of low-cost markers, such as food proteins such as cow milk, sova milk, or chicken egg whites, widened the possibility of using MC techniques in entomology on the large-scale experiments (Jones et al., 2006). A recent study compared the performances of so-called first (IgGs) and second (food proteins) generation markers, and found that egg whites have a longer persistence compared to IgGs, whereas no difference was observed in the insects' mortality (Slosky et al., 2012). For these reasons (large-scale marking of fieldborne insect populations, low-cost, and high reliability of the markers), this novel MC technique was applied in this research to track the dispersion of S. titanus adults from wild to cultivated grapevine in Northwestern Italy. The markers used were cow milk and chicken egg whites.

Materials and methods

Large-scale field marking and sampling of S. titanus

Field studies were conducted during 2010 and 2011 in the district of Portacomaro (province of Asti), Piedmont, Italy. Four experimental sites, called A, B, C, and D, were set up; each site consisted of one or two vineyards (A-1 and A-2 for site A, etc.) which were set from 5 to 330 m far from woods colonized by WGV. Concerning insecticides, vineyard B received two sprays with Etofenprox on 26 June and 25 July, whereas all others were sprayed with Thiamethoxam and Chlorpirifos-methyl on the first and second date, respectively. In the middle of June, before the first spray, the presence of *S. titanus* nymphs was assessed by visual inspection according to a sequential sampling plan with a fixed-precision level of 75%, based on Green's equation (Lessio & Alma, 2006) (table 1).

The markers used were albumin (pasteurized chicken egg whites: Eurovo SRL, S. Maria in Fabiano Lugo, province of Ravenna, Italy, approximate cost 5.00 \in /l), and casein (sterilized ultra-high temperature (UHT) whole fat cow milk: by Centrale del latte di Torino, Italy, approximate cost $0.50 \in /l$), henceforth referred to as egg and milk, which have a greater reliability compared to soya milk (Jones et al., 2006). The markers were used as tap water solutions at a ratio (volume/volume) of 10 and 20% for egg and milk, respectively. No water softener and/or wetting agent were added, as they do not significantly improve insect marking in the field (Boina et al., 2009). The markers were applied every 10–20 days from 8 July to 10 September (table 1) using a hand jet sprayer with a 15-liters tank, at a rate of 4000 liters/ha, directly onto WGV. When two separate WGV stands were present in the same site, a different marker on each of them was applied; otherwise, only egg was applied, which is more detectable than milk (Jones et al., 2006). The daily amount of rainfall (mm) was recorded from a meteorological station set at the same distance (2 km) from each of the experimental sites.

Yellow sticky traps (20 cm × 30 cm) were placed in the vineyards at a distance of $15-20\pm 2m$ from each other on the vine row, and $5-6\pm0.5$ m between rows, depending on the plot size (for larger plots, the distances were increased in order to cover evenly the whole plot size), and directly on stands of WGV, at a distance of $15-20 \pm 2 \text{ m}$ from each other (table 1; figs 3-6) to capture marked S. titanus adults; each trap was georeferenced with a Garmin® GPS receiver and the distance between traps was confirmed by measuring with a graduated tape. Eight to 19 days after each marker application, captured adults were carefully removed from the traps directly in the field using a wooden toothpick (using a new one every time to prevent cross-contamination), placed into sterilized 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes (one insect/tube), and stored at -20° C before analyses. The traps were placed at the beginning of July and replaced after each insect removal up to the middle of October, which represents the window of S. titanus adults' presence in Northwestern Italy (Lessio & Alma, 2004b).

Laboratory analyses

An indirect ELISA was performed to detect protein markers acquired by the leafhoppers; when egg and milk were used in the same sampling site, insects were analyzed so as to detect both markers at once. Commercially available antibodies for chicken egg albumin such as rabbit anti-egg (RAE; C6534, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and bovine casein such as sheep anti-casein (SAC; antibodies-online GmbH, Aachen, Germany) were used. The secondary antibodies used for the chicken egg albumin and bovine casein assays were peroxidase conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (DAR) (31,458; Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA) and peroxidase conjugated rabbit anti-sheep IgG (H+L) (RAS) (31480; Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA), respectively.

Reagents included: Tris-buffered saline (pH 8.0) +0.3 g1⁻¹ sodium ethylenediamine tetra acetate (TBS–EDTA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA); phosphate-buffered saline +20% bovine serum (PBS–BS; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA); phosphate-buffered saline + 20% bovine serum +1300 ppm Silweet L-77 (PBSS–BS 20; Silwet, Chemtura Manufacturing, Manchester, UK); phosphate-buffered saline +30% bovine serum +1300 ppm Silweet L-77 (PBSS–BS 30); phosphate-buffered saline +0.09% Triton X-100 (PBST) (Triton-X-100;

Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA); phosphate-buffered saline $+2.3 \, g \, l^{-1}$ sodium dodecyl sulfate (PBS–SDS); sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) 2N; and immuno-pure ultra TMB substrate (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA).

For the chicken egg assay, the primary antibody was diluted 1:4000 (2µl in 8.0ml) in PBSS-BS20, whereas the secondary one was diluted 1:6000 (1.4 µl in 8.4 ml) in PBSS-BS20. For the casein assay, the primary antibody was diluted 1:500 (16µl in 8.0ml) in PBSS-BS30, whereas the secondary one was diluted 1:1500 (5.4 µl in 8.1 ml) in PBSS-BS20. The following protocol, slightly modified after Jones et al. (2006), was applied: 1ml TBS-EDTA was added to the 1.5ml microcentrifuge tube with the insect, vortexed for 2-4s and left in stand-by mode for 3 min. From each tube, three 80 µl aliquots (replicates) were taken and placed into individual wells of a 96-well microplate (Nunc Polysorp, Nalge Nunc, Naperville, IL, USA) (to minimize contamination during washings, six wells between the last sample and the negative and blank controls were left empty); the micro-plate was then covered with aluminum foil and incubated at 37°C for 2h. (at the end of this step, the leafhoppers were sexed by observing the external genitalia with a stereomicroscope and then discarded). The plate was then emptied and washed five times with 300 µl PBST using a LT-3000 micro-plate washer (Labtech International Ltd., Uckfield, UK). Then 300 µl PBSS-BS (for egg) or 300 µl PBS–BS (for milk) were added, and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Afterwards, it was washed two times with 300 µl PBST, added with 80 µl of the first antibody (RAE for egg and SAC for milk) and incubated at 37° C for 30 min. The plate was then emptied, washed five times with 300 µl PBST, added with 80 µl of the second antibody (DAR for egg and RAS for milk), and incubated at 37°C for 2h. After incubation, the plate was washed three times with 300 µl PBS-SDS and three times with 300 µl PBST. Then 80 µl TMB were added and the plate was incubated at room temperature (25°C) in the dark on a shaker for 10 min. The reaction was then stopped by adding 80µl of 2N H₂SO₄ and the plate was scanned with an LT-4000 micro-plate reader (Labtech International Ltd., Uckfield, UK) at wavelengths of λ =450 and 492 nm (reference standard).

Positive standards consisted in adults of *Euscelidius variegatus* (Kirschbaum) (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) reared on oat (*Avena sativa* L.) under laboratory conditions. Potted plants of either oat or broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) were sprayed with the markers using a hand vaporizer, and then placed into insect-proof cages, made of net and Plexiglas ($20 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm} \times 40 \text{ cm}$), in a climatic chamber ($T=23\pm2^{\circ}\text{C}$, RH=60%, L:D=16:8 h). Afterwards, 90 *E. variegatus* adults were put into each cage; 7 days later, the leafhoppers were removed, killed by freezing, and preserved at -20°C before analyses; some untreated leafhoppers were used as negative controls, and extraction buffer alone served as blank control.

Each sample (=insect) was associated with three values of optical density (ODS) for each wavelength. The mean ODS at 450 was subtracted from the mean at 492: $ODS_{(450-492)}=ODS_{450}-ODS_{492}$; and the same equation was applied to the optical densities of the negative control: $ODN_{(450-492)}=ODN_{450}-ODN_{492}$; and blank: $ODB_{(450-492)}=ODB_{450}-ODN_{492}$; and blank: $ODB_{(450-492)}=ODB_{450}-ODN_{492}$; Finally, the corrected (blanked) optical densities for each sample and for the negative control were obtained as $ODCS=(ODS_{450-492})-(ODB_{450-492})$ and $ODCN=(ODN_{450-492})-(ODB_{450-492})$, respectively. A sample was considered marked when the ODCS was greater than the mean ODCN added plus four times its standard deviation

(SD): ODCS>ODCN+4SD, providing additional protection against false positives (Jones *et al.*, 2006).

Data analyses

The dispersion of S. titanus adults from WGV to the vineyards was studied by fitting an exponential model: N $(r) = a \exp(-br)$, where N is the percentage of marked individuals caught at the minimum distance r from the treated area $(5\pm1.5 \text{ m step})$, weighted by the number of traps displayed at the same distance r (being P_i the number of positive specimens captured on the total number of traps t_i placed at the *i*th minimum distance *r* from the treated WGV, the grand total is $T = \Sigma P_i / t_i$; and, subsequently, $N = P_i / T$ is the percentage of marked individuals per trap at the *i*th distance r); a is a scaling parameter that estimates the number of S. *titanus* collected at r=0; and b is the spatial scale parameter that models the rate of variation in the insects captured. The exponential model was chosen to verify if marked S. titanus would decrease at increasing distances from the source (treated WGV) following an exponential decay pattern. For the same reason, for each regression we calculated the median dispersal index $r_{0.5}$ (i.e., the distance where 50% of the marked individuals are found) using the negative half-life equation: $r_{0.5} = \ln(2)/b$ (Northfield *et al.*, 2009).

In order to assess differences in dispersal between genders, regression equations were obtained separately for females and males and the homogeneity of the regression test was evaluated (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995). The influence of rainfall and time elapsed between the marker's application and insect sampling (independent variables) on the percentage of positive individuals captured on traps placed within the treated points (dependent variable) was studied by applying a weighted least-square (WLS) linear regression, using the total number of insects captured as the weight variable (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995). All regression analyses were carried out with the SPSS 20.0[®] statistical package (http://www.spss.it). All percentage data were previously arcsin square-root transformed.

To detect the pathways of S. titanus adults from WGV to vinevards, spatial interpolation of the marked insects captured was performed by applying Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) and Kernel interpolation with barrier (KB), both available in the ArcMap toolbox of ArcGIS Desktop 10.1 (http:// esri.com). The choice of these two models rather than others was made in order to detect a movement pattern of S. titanus based solely on a line-of-sight distances between sampling points (IDW), to another one that might be influenced by the presence of breaklines (KB). The IDW is a deterministic method, based on the Euclidean distance between sampling points (Bartier & Keller, 1996). It is easy and rapid to use, and is appropriate for aggregated data, as it highlights the hot spots (Tillman et al., 2009). The generic IDW equation is: $\bar{z}_{x,y} = \Sigma z_i w_i / \Sigma w_i$, where $z_{x,y}$ is the value to be estimated, z_i is the control value for the *i*th sample point, and $w_i = (d_{x,y,i})^{-\beta}$ is the weight that states the contribution of each z_i in determining $z_{x,y}$, where *d* is the distance between sampling points $z_{x,y}$ and z_{ii} and β is defined by the user (the greater the value of β , the smaller the reciprocal influence of the sampling points; in this research β =2, which is the most widely used, was chosen). Kernel interpolation is used to determine the 'utilization distribution' (UD) of a resource by an animal (Sheather & Jones, 1991; Benhamou & Cornélis, 2010). The Kernel density estimate f^h of a univariate density f based on a random sample $X_1, ..., X_n$ of size *n* is: $f^h(x) = n^{-1} \Sigma h^{-1} K[h^{-1} (x - X_i)]$, where K is the kernel function and h is the bandwidth, a smoothing parameter (Sheather & Jones, 1991). Kernel interpolation with barriers (KB) is a variant that uses a non-Euclidean distance rather than a line-of-sight approach, so that the shortest distance between two points within the defined search neighborhood is used to connect them; in this case, the exponential equation, which was used during the regression analysis (whereas no transfer function is needed to apply the IDW method) was the Kernel function, whereas the bandwidth was calculated as a default by ArcMap. Barriers were represented by crops or natural vegetation stands between the treated WGV and vineyards; however, they were considered partially open, as some movements within non-grapevine ecosystems may occasionally occur. The interpolation maps obtained were tested for accuracy via cross-validation: the mean prediction error: $ME = [\Sigma_{j=1,n} (x_i^{\uparrow} - x_i)/n]$, and the root-mean-square error: $RMSE = sqrt[\Sigma_{j=1,n} (x_i^{\uparrow} - x_i)^2/n]$, where x_i^{\uparrow} is the predicted value, *x_i* the observed value, and *n* the sample size, were calculated. Both ME and RMSE are given in the same units of measure of the data: an ideal model should have an ME equal 0, and an RSME as small as possible. While RMSE gives an estimate of the error as a whole, ME mainly provides an estimate of the bias: that is, positive and negative ME values indicate that the model over or underestimates the data, respectively (Rhodes et al., 2011).

Results

In total, 1675 and 3901 S. titanus adults were captured in 2010 and 2011, respectively. The flight peak occurred between the first 10 days of August and the beginning of September. 4881 insects were analyzed by detecting egg alone (1664 in 2010 and 3217 in 2011), and 536 were screened for both egg and milk (all in 2011). Without considering differences in sites and position of traps, egg-positive individuals were 32 and 55% in 2010 and 2011, respectively (mean 43%). In 2010, the rate of egg-marked adults captured on WGV and in vineyards ranged from 36 to 44 and 9 to 68%, respectively (fig. 1A). However, the minimum value of 9% refers to vineyard C-2, placed at a minimum distance of 220 m from the treated WGV, where few insects were captured. In vineyard B (minimum distance from WGV: $D_{\min} = 6 \text{ m}$), although many insects were captured, few of them resulted marked (<25%) (table 1). In 2011, egg-marked adults in WGV and vineyards were 46-78 and 38-68%, respectively (fig. 1B). Milk was only used in site D in 2011 in one stand of WGV ($D_{min.} = 110 \text{ m}$), whereas a second stand $(D_{\min} = 120 \text{ m})$ was sprayed with egg: 97 (18%) of the 536 tested leafhoppers were milk-positive, and 82 of them were captured on milk-sprayed WGV; 206 (38%) were eggpositive, and 131 were captured on egg-treated WGV (fig. 1B); finally, 58 (11%) of them were positive for both egg and milk at the same time. The ODS values of positive specimens calculated on five plates chosen at random (mean ± SE) were 0.67 ± 0.09 for egg, and 0.56 ± 0.19 for milk; positive reference standards (E. variegatus maintained on treated broad bean or oat) scored 2.26 ± 0.03 for milk and 2.28 ± 0.06 for egg, whereas negative controls (untreated *E. variegatus*) were 0.01 ± 0.00 .

Rainfall occurred eight times both in 2010 (min. 1.4 mm, max. 35 mm, total amount 125 mm), and 2011 (min. 0.4 mm, max. 31 mm, total amount 67 mm). Neither rainfall nor time between applications influenced the rate of egg-marked *S. titanus;* on the other hand, milk-marked specimens were negatively related to time (table 2).



Fig. 1. Captures of *Scaphoideus titanus* adults on stands of wild grapevine (WGV) and in vineyards within the different experimental sites, and rate of marked specimens (*, egg; **, milk). (A) 2010; (B) 2011.

Marker	Year	Ν	Т	Independent variable	b	SE	t	Р
Egg	2010	5	24	Intercept Time Rainfall	$0.83 \\ -0.01 \\ -0.00$	0.13 0.01 0.01	6.27 - 0.63 - 0.91	0.00 0.54 0.38
	2011	8	17	Intercept Time Rainfall	$1.06 \\ -0.01 \\ -0.01$	0.14 0.01 0.01	$7.47 \\ -0.69 \\ -0.70$	0.00 0.52 0.51
Milk	2011	7	2	Intercept Time Rainfall	$-0.15 \\ 0.04 \\ -0.01$	0.13 0.01 0.01	-1.21 2.99 -0.94	0.29 0.04 0.40

Table 2. Results of weighted least-square (WLS) regression of marked *S. titanus* as a function of rainfall and time.

Dependent variable, rate of marked *S. titanus* (previously arcsin square root transformed) collected on traps placed on wild grapevine (WGV) at each observation, without considering differences between experimental sites; *N*, number of observations during the season; *T*, number of traps observed; independent variables, rainfall occurred (mm) and time elapsed (days) between marker's application on WGV and insects' collection; weight variable, total insects captured (marked + unmarked) on traps placed on WGV at each observation.

Table 3. Sex ratios observed, and homogeneity test for exponential regression of marked *S. titanus* males and females captured at different distance from wild grapevine (WGV).

Year	Site	Males		Females		Sex ratio (M/F)		Homogeneity of regressions		
		Total	Marked	Total	Marked	Total	Marked	F	df	Р
2010	A*	276	115	549	188	0.50	0.61	1.10	1,21	0.31
	B*	255	85	4065	86	0.06	0.99	0.05	1,7	0.83
	C*	12	4	151	51	0.08	0.08	0.81	1,21	0.38
2011	A*	755	455	1377	739	0.55	0.62	0.17	1,21	0.68
	C*	298	197	761	406	0.39	0.49	1.88	1,23	0.18
	D*	150	92	386	171	0.39	0.54	0.18	1,11	0.68
	D**	150	25	386	72	0.39	0.35	2.84	1,11	0.12

Dependent variable, rate of marked *S. titanus* males and females (marked/total) previously arcsin square-root transformed; independent variable: distance from treated WGV. *, egg; **, milk; df, degrees of freedom.

Table 4. Results of exponential regression of marked *S. titanus* adults as a function of minimum distance from wild grapevine (WGV).

Year	Site	Intercept	Slope	R^2	Р	<i>r</i> _{0.5}
2010	A*	8.27	0.05	0.56	<0.05	13.86
	B*	9.51	0.03	0.48	<0.05	23.10
	C*	73.43	0.04	0.61	<0.05	17.33
2011	A*	55.69	0.05	0.80	<0.05	13.86
	C*	4.19	0.02	0.84	<0.05	34.66
	D*	29.13	0.01	0.34	<0.05	69.31
	D**	6.2	0.01	0.12	<0.05	69.31

Dependent variable, percentage of marked *S. titanus* captured during the whole season at the same minimum distance from treated wild grapevine (WGV), weighted by the number of traps placed at the same distance; independent variable, minimum distance from treated WGV (see text for details). *, egg; **: milk; $r_{0.5}$, mean dispersal index (in m).

The sex ratio (M/F) was generally female biased, both for total (0.39–0.55) and marked (0.35–0.99) individuals; site C in 2010 represents an exception; it has been investigated only since the first week of August, and the sex ratio was 0.08 for both total and marked insects. Egg-marked specimens ranged from 33 to 66% for males, and 18 to 54% for females; whereas milk-marked males and females were 17 and 19% of the total captured, respectively. The homogeneity of regression test between the distribution of marked males and females as a function of distance of capture from the treated point was never significant within different experimental sites and years (table 3). Therefore, the exponential models were fitted to the experimental data (and the subsequent median dispersal indexes calculated) without taking gender into account.

Exponential regression analyses provided a significant fit of marked *S. titanus* adults as a function of the minimum distance from the treated point, although in site D low R^2 values were obtained; the subsequent median dispersal indexes ranged from 14 to 70m within the different experimental plots (table 4). The cumulative distribution functions show how the main captures (80%) occurred within 20–30m from WGV (fig. 2A, B); however, there was also evidence of longrange dispersal up to 320m (fig. 2C, D). In site A, captures decreased asymptotically after 25–30m, although a slight increase was observed between 65 and 70m (fig. 2A), whereas in site B (investigated only during 2010) they were almost constant with increasing distance (fig. 2B). In site C, in 2010 there was a clear point break (increase) at a distance of 30 m, and thereafter captures did not increase anymore; but this site has only been observed since the beginning of August in 2010. In the second vineyard (C-2), further from the treated zone, only a single marked specimen was captured. In 2011, the trend was smoother with a constant decrease in captures up to 60 m (maximum distance of the first vineyard, C-1, from WGV); up to 10% of the total marked insects were found in the second vineyard (C-2) (fig. 2C). In site D, 70% of the eggmarked adults were captured on treated WGV and a cumulative 30% in the vineyard, 120–160 m far, without any clear break point; on the other hand, only 60% of the milk-marked specimens were captured at the treated point, and 40% were found in the vineyard at a distance of 100–220 m (fig. 2D).

On the whole, both IDW and KB interpolation methods indicated a clear clustering of marked adults on the edges of the experimental vineyards. In many cases, when WGV was distributed along two edges, the clustering was much more evident if the European grapevine rows were parallel rather than perpendicular to the edge, e.g., sites A (fig. 3), and C, concerning the first vineyard (C-1) close to WGV (fig. 4). Site B, only studied in 2010, showed almost the same pattern (fig. 5); however, these results should be considered carefully because of the small size of the vineyard. In site D, egg and milkmarked individuals showed almost the same pattern independent of the interpolation method used (fig. 6). On the other hand, in site C, the long-distance dispersal from the WGV to vineyard C-2 had a different pattern depending upon the interpolation method used: IDW produced a more uniform map, whereas KB showed how the possible ecological corridors are displaced along the rows (fig. 4). On the whole, the cross-validation results showed lower RMSE values for KB rather than for the IDW (with the exception of sites B and D, concerning egg-marked specimens), indicating a better interpolation power of the first model compared to the second. The ME was generally positive for KB (overestimation) and negative (underestimation) for IDW; however, KB always had a lower absolute value (the only exception was represented by egg-marked specimens in site D) (table 5). Insects marked with both egg and milk were too few in number to perform a cross-validation.

Discussion

The marking method proposed, used in large-scale application on *S. titanus*, was quite reliable with egg, as up to 78% of the insects captured on the traps placed into the treated WGV were marked; on the other hand, milk had a



Fig. 2. Frequencies (*F*) and cumulative frequencies (CF) of marked *Scaphoideus titanus* adults as a function of minimum distance (D_{min}) from treated stands of wild grapevine (WGV) in the different experimental sites: (A) site A (vineyards A-1 and A-2 +1 WGV); (B) site B (vineyard B +1 WGV); (C) site C (vineyards C-1 and C-2 +1 WGV close to C-1); (D) site D (vineyard D +2 WGV); *, egg; **, milk.



Fig. 3. Interpolation maps of marked *Scaphoideus titanus* captures in site A. IDW, inverse distance weighting; KB, kernel interpolation with barriers. (A) IDW, 2010; (B) IDW, 2011; (C) KB, 2010; (D) KB, 2011. Dots represent the position of yellow sticky traps (sampling points).

poorer performance (22%). These data are in accord with Jones *et al.* (2006), who obtained roughly 70 and 23% of marked *Cydia pomonella* L. in apple orchards treated with egg and milk, respectively; whereas Boina *et al.* (2009) obtained higher rates of *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama marked with egg (88%) and milk (80%). In this research, one of the main problems was to

treat properly the WGV canopy, as it develops up to 6 m above ground level in certain places and is sometimes very dense and difficult to reach. In order to study the movement of *S. titanus* during the entire period of the adults' presence in the field, the markers were applied constantly but sometimes with a longer time lapse between application and the insects' removal from



Fig. 4. Interpolation maps of marked *Scaphoideus titanus* captures in site C, IDW, inverse distance weighting; KB, kernel interpolation with barriers. (A) IDW, 2010; (B) IDW, 2011; (C) KB, 2010; (D) KB, 2011. Dots represent the position of yellow sticky traps (sampling points).

traps; otherwise, it would get too time-consuming. A higher rate of positive individuals was found in 2011, probably because of a lower rainfall. However, concerning egg, there was no influence of rainfall or time after the marker's application on the rates of positive individuals. On the other hand, the time between application and removal did affect the rate of milk-marked *S. titanus*. In other researches, the rate of marked individuals decreased along with time after application and the amount of (simulated) rainfall (Jones *et al.*, 2006; Boina *et al.*, 2009). Under laboratory conditions, 68–100 and 27–88% of true bugs retained the albumin marker when exposed to plants containing a 10- and 11–20-day-old residual treatment, respectively (Hagler & Jones, 2010).

In addition, direct egg treatment of *Hippodamia convergens* Guérin-Méleville allowed the detection of egg proteins on 100% of the individuals up to 26 days after marking (Slosky *et al.*, 2012). The problem with marking plants is that insects must get into contact with the marker before it dries up or is washed off. In addition, direct marking of *S. titanus* adults would not be reliable because of the difficulty in obtaining a very big number of specimens, and this leafhopper may not be released into vineyards as it is subject to compulsory pest management. However, the data set obtained (30–50% of eggmarked specimens out of more than 5000 captured) seemed big enough to analyze and interpret the movement patterns of this leafhopper vector.

S. titanus adults are therefore capable of both short and long-range dispersal from wild (WGV) to cultivated grapevine. This behavior was previously theorized both in Italy (Pavan et al., 2012) and in the USA (Beanland et al., 2006) by comparing captures in traps placed at different distances from potential S. titanus sources: the results of the present MC experiments clearly demonstrate how these movements actually occur. Most of the individuals seem to cover short distances: when WGV is close to the edge of the vinevards, up to 80% of the marked individuals are captured within 30m. However, long-distance flight is also possible: S. titanus captures on the local scale are spatially related up to 200 m, whereas at greater distances they seem to depend on local factors, mainly pest management strategies (Lessio et al., 2011b). The results of this research confirm this aspect, as some movements occurred up to over 200m. In vineyard B, although many insects were captured, there were a few marked specimens (<25%) probably because of a high residential population of S. titanus; in fact, pest management in this site was different from (and probably less effective with respect to) the others. Concerning site D, in the vineyard, the majority of marked adults was captured in the Northwest corner, suggesting how the infestation may have mainly originated from the second uncultivated area, treated with milk; however, this area may also have recruited adults from other areas, as suggested by the double-marked individuals,



Fig. 5. Interpolation maps of marked *Scaphoideus titanus* captures in site B. IDW, inverse distance weighting; KB, kernel interpolation with barriers. (A) IDW, 2010; (B) KB, 2010. Dots represent the position of yellow sticky traps (sampling points).

and milk-marked adults being captured in the egg-treated zone and vice versa. On the whole, the Kernel with barriers (KB) interpolation method showed smaller errors (RMSE and absolute ME values) compared to IDW: the first model, which derives partially from the exponential regression (used as a transfer function in the Kernel interpolation process) is therefore more accurate than the latter (due to lower RMSE values), and its overestimation of observed data (ME>0) has a lower absolute value than the underestimation given by IDW (ME < 0). These differences suggest how the movement patterns of S. titanus adults may not depend solely on their distance from sources but also on ecological corridors or natural barriers. It seems therefore that this leafhopper is less likely to perform direct long-distance flights, whereas it rather moves along more roundabout pathways. S. titanus adults have a crepuscular flight activity, which makes them not rely on the wind for dispersal (Lessio & Alma, 2004b), and this may be in accord with an active wandering movement rather than a passive wind-borne transport. Moreover, marked adults were generally clustered along the same row of cultivated grapevine rather than on different rows; this is in accord with the fact that they move mainly along the same row, and captures on the same row are more spatially related (Lessio et al., 2009b). Males and females showed no differences in dispersal from wild to cultivated grapes. Usually, males of S. titanus start to fly earlier than females; however, in the late part of the season the presence and flight activity of females increases, whereas males tend to decrease (Lessio *et al.*, 2009*a*). This long-range dispersion of females may have a consequence during the next year, resulting in a higher population of *S. titanus* in vineyards because of egg-laying.

As WGV may also host 16SrV phytoplasmas (Lessio et al., 2007), incoming S. titanus adults may also be capable of transmitting FD to cultivated grapevine: in fact, symptomatic grapes are often clustered at the edges, consistent with S. titanus coming in from outside (Pavan et al., 2012). Within this frame, pest management strategies against S. titanus in NW Italy should be revisited, as the main problem seems to be adults entering the vineyards in the late part of the season; at present, PM focuses on a first spray against nymphs at the end of June, a second one against adults at the middle-end of July, and a further one after harvest if necessary (Lessio et al., 2011a). It is perhaps necessary to change this calendar, using a more persistent active ingredient in the late part of the season to protect grapes from inoculation; for instance, neonicotinoids are much more efficient than organophosphates in preventing transmission (Saracco et al., 2008).

Other strategies should be directed toward avoidance: the first action to be applied should be to erase WGV as a source of *S. titanus;* however, such an action must not be done when adults (both males and females) are present, as it may cause an increase of their movement onto European grapevine. The same problem occurs when dealing with *Hyalesthes obsoletus* Signoret, the vector of *Stolbur phytoplasmas* causing Bois Noir



Fig. 6. Interpolation maps of marked *Scaphoideus titanus* captures in site D, obtained with Inverse distance weighting (IDW) or kernel interpolation with barriers (KB). (A) IDW, egg, 2011; (B) IDW, milk, 2011; (C) IDW, egg + milk, 2011; (D) KB, egg, 2011; (E) KB, milk, 2011; (F) KB, egg + milk, 2011. Dots represent the position of yellow sticky traps (sampling points).

Table 5. Results of cross-validation analysis on the interpolation maps of marked *S. titanus* adults.

Year	Site	Interpolation method	ME	RMSE
2010	A*	IDW	-1.27	7.85
	A*	KB	0.70	6.51
	B*	IDW	-1.06	5.58
	B*	KB	0.70	5.73
	C*	IDW	-0.72	1.51
	C*	KB	0.22	1.20
2011	A*	IDW	-4.48	42.90
	A*	KB	-0.88	14.23
	C*	IDW	-2.38	14.12
	C*	KB	0.31	12.71
	D *	IDW	-1.54	15.26
	D *	KB	2.32	19.26
	D **	IDW	-0.39	6.18
	D **	KB	0.21	2.70

*, egg; **, milk; IDW, inverse distance weighting; KB, kernel interpolation with barriers; ME, mean error; RMSE, root-mean-square error.

(Weber & Maixner, 1998), which lives on weeds and only occasionally feeds on grapes as an adult (Alma *et al.*, 1987): if weeds are erased, adults are compelled to move onto

grapevine; for example, in Israel, where *H. obsoletus* has two generations per year, the second generation is more likely to move to grapes if its host plant is harvested or dries up because of summer heat (Orenstein et al., 2003). Another means of preventing leafhoppers from entering the vineyard may be the use of insect-proof fences (nets). These devices were successfully used in Israel against some Diptera (Vernon & MacKenzie, 1998; Päts & Vernon, 1999; Bomford et al., 2000). A 5m high screen barrier was successfully evaluated in Californian citrus orchards and nurseries against Homalodisca vitripennis (=coagulata) (Say), a vector for Xilella fastidiosa causing Pierce's disease (Blua et al., 2005). Such a protective device against *S. titanus* should be at least 2.5 m, as high as the flight boundary layer of this leafhopper (Lessio & Alma, 2004a). Moreover, the screen should be provided with an overhang to avoid insects crossing it by walking on it (Bomford et al., 2000). On the other hand, plantation of trees had inconsistent effects in limiting invasion into vineyards by Graphocephala atropunctata (Signoret), another vector for X. fastidiosa (Daugherty et al., 2012).

In conclusion, the presence of wild grapevines in grapevine-growing areas must be addressed with an integrated pest management strategy that includes: area-wide sprays and use of suitable active ingredients to prevent such a transmission as much as possible; avoidance of new grapevine plantations in regions with a high presence of WGV; destruction of WGV whenever possible, which would decrease the pathways available to this leafhopper; and the development of new tools such as physical barriers to avoid the entrance of *S. titanus* adults into vineyards from outside.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Edoardo Sala and Francesca Martina for the help given in field collections and laboratory analyses. Meteorological data were kindly provided by 'Regione Piemonte Direzione Agricoltura, Settore Fitosanitario – Sezione Agrometeorologica'. This work was realized within the frame of the 'FLADO' research project, supported by 'Regione Piemonte, Servizi di Sviluppo Agricolo'.

References

- Alma, A., Arnò, C., Arzone, A. & Vidano, C. (1987) New biological reports on Auchenorrhyncha in vineyards. pp. 509– 516 in Proceedings of the sixth Auchenorrhyncha meeting, Turin, 7–11 September 1987, University of Turin, Italy.
- Bartier, P.M. & Keller, C.P. (1996) Multivariate interpolation to incorporate thematic surface data using inverse distance weighting (IDW). *Computers and Geosciences* 22, 795–799.
- Beanland, L., Noble, R. & Wolf, T.K. (2006) Spatial and temporal distribution of North American grapevine yellows disease and of potential vectors of the causal phytoplasmas in Virginia. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 35, 332–344.
- Benhamou, S. & Cornélis, D. (2010) Incorporating movement behavior and barriers to improve kernel home range space use estimates. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 74, 1353–1360.
- Blackmer, J.L., Hagler, J.R., Simmons, G.S. & Cañas, L.A. (2004) Comparative dispersal of *Homalodisca coagulata* and *Homalodisca liturata* (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). *Environmental Entomology* 33, 88–99.
- Blackmer, J.L., Hagler, J.R., Simmons, G.S. & Henneberry, T.J. (2006) Dispersal of *Homalodisca vitripennis* (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) from a point release site in citrus. *Environmental Entomology* 35, 1617–1625.
- Blua, M.J., Campbell, K., Morgan, D.J.W. & Redak, R.A. (2005) Impact of a screen barrier on dispersion behaviour of *Homalodisca coagulata* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 98, 1664–1668.
- Boina, D.R., Meyer, W.L., Onagbola, E.O. & Stelinski, L.L. (2009) Quantifying dispersal of *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Psyllidae) by immunomarking and potential impact of unmanaged groves on commercial citrus management. *Environmental Entomology* 38, 1250–1258.
- Bomford, M.K., Vernon, R.S. & Päts, P. (2000) Importance of collection overhangs on the efficacy of exclusion fences for managing cabbage flies (Diptera: Anthomyidae). *Environmental Entomology* 29, 795–799.
- Bonfils, J. & Schvester, D. (1960) Les Cicadelles (Homoptera Auchenorrhyncha) dans leur rapports avec la vigne dans le Sud-Ouest de la France. *Annales Epiphyties* 11, 325–336.
- Bressan, A., Spiazzi, S., Girolami, V. & Boudon-Padieu, E. (2005) Acquisition efficiency of Flavescence dorée phytoplasma by *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball from infected tolerant or susceptible grapevine cultivars or experimental host plants. *Vitis* 44, 143–146.
- COST Action FA0807. Integrated management of phytoplasma epidemics in different crop systems: phytoplasma diseases and vectors in Europe and surroundings. Available online at http://www.costphytoplasma.ipwgnet.org/WG2/

Phytoplasma%20Vectors%20and%20Diseases%20in%20 Europe%20and%20Surroundings.pdf (accessed 23 April 2013).

11

- Daugherty, M.P., Gruber, B.R., Almeida, R.P.P., Anderson, M. M., Cooper, M.L., Rasmussen, Y.D. & Weber, E.A. (2012) Testing the efficacy of barrier plantings for limiting sharpshooter spread. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture* 63, 139–143.
- Garcia-Salazar, C. & Landis, D. (1997) Marking *Trichogramma brassicae* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) with fluorescent marker dust and its effect on survival and flight behavior. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **90**, 1546–1550.
- Hagler, J.R. (1997) Field retention of a novel mark-release-recapture method. *Environmental Entomology* 26, 1079–1086.
- Hagler, J.R. & Jackson, C.G. (2001) Methods for marking insects: current techniques and future prospects. *Annual Review of Entomology* 46, 511–543.
- Hagler, J.R. & Jones, V.P. (2010) A protein-based approach to mark arthropods for mark-capture type research. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 135, 177–192.
- Jones, V.P., Hagler, J.R., Brunner, J.F., Baker, C.C. & Wilburn, T. D. (2006) An inexpensive immunomarking technique for studying movement patterns of naturally occurring insect populations. *Environmental Entomology* 35, 827–836.
- Lessio, F. & Alma, A. (2004a) Dispersal patterns and chromatic response of *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball (Homoptera: Cicadellidae), vector of the phytoplasma agent of grapevine flavescence dorée. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 6, 121–127.
- Lessio, F. & Alma, A. (2004b) Seasonal and daily movement of *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball (Homoptera Cicadellidae). *Environmental Entomology* 33, 1689–1694.
- Lessio, F. & Alma, A. (2006) Spatial distribution of nymphs of Scaphoideus titanus Ball (Homoptera Cicadellidae) in grapes, and evaluation of sequential sampling plans. Journal of Economic Entomology 99, 578–582.
- Lessio, F., Tedeschi, R. & Alma, A. (2007) Presence of Scaphoideus titanus on American grapevine in woodlands, and infection with "flavescence dorée" phytoplasmas. Bulletin of Insectology 60, 373–374.
- Lessio, F., Chiusano, P. & Alma, A. (2008) Rilascio e cattura di Scaphoideus titanus Ball per lo studio della dispersione. Petria 18, 232–233.
- Lessio, F., Tedeschi, R., Pajoro, M. & Alma, A. (2009a) Seasonal progression of sex ratio and phytoplasma infection in *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 99, 377–383.
- Lessio, F., Borgogno Mondino, E. & Alma, A. (2009b) Spatial correlation of *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball adults on European grapevine at a plot scale: a case study. pp. 166–167 in *Extended abstracts 16th meeting of ICVG, Dijon, 31 August–4 September 2009.* Dijon, INRA.
- Lessio, F., Albertin, I., Lombardo, D.M., Gotta, P. & Alma, A. (2011a) Monitoring *Scaphoideus titanus* for IPM purposes: results of a pilot-project in Piedmont (NW Italy). *Bulletin of Insectology* 64(Supplement), 269–270.
- Lessio, F., Borgogno Mondino, E. & Alma, A. (2011b) Spatial patterns of *Scaphoideus titanus* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae): a geostatistical and neural network approach. *International Journal of Pest Management* 57, 205–216.
- Malembic-Maher, S., Salar, P., Filippin, L., Carle, P., Angelini, E.
 & Foissac, X. (2011) Genetic diversity of European phytoplasmas of the 16SrV taxonomic group and proposal of 'Candidatus Phytoplasma rubi'. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 61, 2129–2134.

- Northfield, T.D., Mizell, R.F. III, Paini, D.R., Andersen, P.C., Brodbeck, B.V., Riddle, T.C. & Hunter, W.B. (2009) Dispersal, patch leaving, and distribution of *Homalodisca* vitripennis (Hemiptera: Cicadelldae). Environmental Entomology 38, 183–191.
- Orenstein, S., Zahavi, T., Nestel, D., Sharon, R., Barkalifa, M. & Weintraub, P.G. (2003) Spatial dispersion of potential leafhopper and planthopper (Homoptera) vectors of phytoplasma in wine vineyards. *Annals of Applied Biology* 142, 341–348.
- Päts, P. & Vernon, R.S. (1999) Fences excluding cabbage maggot flies and tiger flies (Diptera: Anthomyidae) from large planting of radish. *Environmental Entomology* 28, 1124–1129.
- Pavan, F., Mori, N., Bigot, G. & Zandigiacomo, P. (2012) Border effect in spatial distribution of *Flavescence dorée* affected grapevines and outside source of *Scaphoideus titanus* vectors. *Bulletin of Insectology* 65, 281–290.
- Rhodes, E.M., Liburd, O.E. & Grunwald, S. (2011) Examining the spatial distribution of flower thrips in southern highbush blueberries by utilizing geostatistical methods. *Environmental Entomology* 40, 893–903.
- Saracco, P., Marzachì, C. & Bosco, D. (2008) Activity of some insecticides in preventing transmission of chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma ("Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris") by the leafhopper *Macrosteles quadripunctulatus* Kirschbaum. *Crop Protection* 27, 130–136.
- Sheather, S.J. & Jones, M.C. (1991) A reliable data-based bandwidth selection method for kernel density estimation. *Journal* of the Royal Statistical Society 53, 683–690.
- Skovgärd, H. (2002) Dispersal of the filth fly parasitoid Spalangia cameroni (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) in a swine facility using fluorescent dust marking and sentinel pupal bags. Environmental Entomology 31, 425–431.

- Slosky, L.M., Hoffmann, E.J. & Hagler, J.R. (2012) A comparative study of the retention and lethality of the first and second generation arthropod protein markers. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 144, 165–171.
- Sokal, R.R. & Rohlf, F.J. (1995) Assumption of analysis of variance. pp. 392–450 in Sokal, R.R. & Rohlf, F.J. (Eds) Biometry: the Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research. New York, Freeman & co.
- Takken, W., Charlwood, J.D., Billingsley, P.F. & Gort, G. (1998) Dispersal and survival of Anopheles funestus and A. gambiae s.l. (Diptera: Culicidae) during the rainy season in southeast Tanzania. Bulletin of Entomological Research 88, 561–566.
- Tillman, P.G., Northfield, T.D., Mizell, R.F. & Riddle, T.C. (2009) Spatiotemporal patterns and dispersal of stink bugs (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) in peanut-cotton farmscapes. *Environmental Entomology* 38, 1038–1052.
- Vernon, R.S. & MacKenzie, J.R. (1998) The effect of exclusion fences on the colonization of rutagabas by cabbage flies (Diptera: Anthomyidae). *Canadian Entomologist* 130, 153–162.
- Vidano, C. (1964) Scoperta in Italia dello Scaphoideus littoralis Ball cicalina americana collegata alla "Flavescence dorée" della vite. L'Italia Agricola 88, 1031–1049.
- Weber, A. & Maixner, M. (1998) Survey of populations of the planthopper *Hyalesthes obsoletus* Sign. (Auchenorrhyncha, Cixiidae) for infection with the phytoplasma causing grapevine yellows in Germany. *Journal of Applied Entomology* **122**, 375–381.
- Zhou, L., Hoy, C.W., Miller, S.A. & Nault, L.R. (2003) Marking methods and field experiments to estimate aster leafhopper (*Macrosteles quadrilineatus*) dispersal rates. *Environmental Entomology* 32, 1177–1186.