Equivalent-Circuit Model for the Thickness-Shear Mode Resonator with a Viscoelastic Film Near Film Resonance
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We derive a lumped-element, equivalent-circuit model for the thickness-shear mode (TSM) resonator with a viscoelastic film. This modified Butterworth–Van Dyke model includes in the motional branch a series LCR resonator, representing the quartz resonance, and a parallel LCR resonator, representing the film resonance. This model is valid in the vicinity of film resonance, which occurs when the acoustic phase shift across the film is an odd multiple of π/2 rad. For low-loss films, this model accurately predicts the frequency changes and damping that arise at resonance and is a reasonable approximation away from resonance. Elements of the parallel LCR resonator are explicitly related to film properties and can be interpreted in terms of elastic energy storage and viscous power dissipation. The model leads to a simple graphical interpretation of the coupling between the quartz and film resonances and facilitates understanding of the resulting responses. These responses are compared with predictions from the transmission-line and Sauerbrey models.

The thickness-shear mode (TSM) resonator consists of a thin disk of AT-cut quartz with metal electrodes deposited on both sides. Mechanical vibrations are excited in the piezoelectric quartz when an alternating potential is applied to the electrodes. Predominantly shear modes are excited in the AT-cut of quartz, with displacement parallel to the quartz surfaces. Resonances are excited at frequencies for which the quartz thickness corresponds to an odd multiple of half the acoustic wavelength. When a film is deposited on the quartz resonator (Figure 1), the oscillating surface interacts mechanically with the layer. Due to the piezoelectric properties of the quartz, this mechanical interaction is reflected in the electrical properties of the quartz. Thus, electrical measurements on the resonator can be used to extract mechanical properties of the layer.

The transmission-line model shown in Figure 2 describes the electrical response of the quartz resonator with a film. The quartz resonator is represented by the Mason model, in which the transmission line (from plane EF to plane IJ) represents acoustic propagation across the quartz; this acoustic signal is coupled to the electrical port (AB) via a transformer (the turns ratio n is proportional to the quartz electromechanical coupling factor, K). In the transmission line, voltage represents shear stress, while current represents shear particle velocity. When the quartz resonator is uncoated, both surfaces are stress free—represented by a short-circuit termination at ports IJ and EF. In this case, the Mason model predicts a very sharp enhancement in admittance (ratio of current flow to applied voltage) at the quartz resonant frequencies; this can be traced to constructive interference between the waves launched electrically (at port CD) and those reflected back by the stress-free quartz surfaces. A viscoelastic film coating the device surface is represented by a lossy transmission line (EF–GH) coupled to an acoustic port of the Mason model. Since the upper surface of the film is stress free (in a gaseous environment), acoustic port GH is terminated in a short. The effect of a film on the resonator response depends on the mechanical impedance (ratio of stress to particle velocity) const.

**Figure 1.** Cross-sectional view of a quartz resonator with a viscoelastic film coating the upper surface. The thickness of the film is exaggerated relative to that of the quartz. The potential, V, creates the shear deformation in the crystal.

The transmission-line model shown in Figure 2 describes the electrical response of the quartz resonator with a film. The quartz resonator is represented by the Mason model, in which the transmission line (from plane EF to plane IJ) represents acoustic propagation across the quartz; this acoustic signal is coupled to the electrical port (AB) via a transformer (the turns ratio n is proportional to the quartz electromechanical coupling factor, K). In the transmission line, voltage represents shear stress, while current represents shear particle velocity. When the quartz resonator is uncoated, both surfaces are stress free—represented by a short-circuit termination at ports IJ and EF. In this case, the Mason model predicts a very sharp enhancement in admittance (ratio of current flow to applied voltage) at the quartz resonant frequencies; this can be traced to constructive interference between the waves launched electrically (at port CD) and those reflected back by the stress-free quartz surfaces. A viscoelastic film coating the device surface is represented by a lossy transmission line (EF–GH) coupled to an acoustic port of the Mason model. Since the upper surface of the film is stress free (in a gaseous environment), acoustic port GH is terminated in a short. The effect of a film on the resonator response depends on the mechanical impedance (ratio of stress to particle velocity) con-

---

causes the phase shift across the film to vary; if $\phi$ reaches $\pi/2$ (or an odd multiple thereof), the film resonant response arises. By application of the proper model, film viscoelastic properties can be extracted from these responses.

In this paper, we derive a lumped-element, equivalent-circuit model that approximates the electrical characteristics of the TSM resonator with a viscoelastic film in the vicinity of resonance. Any material that exhibits both elastic energy storage and viscous power dissipation can be generalized as viscoelastic. The film is represented by a parallel “tank” circuit consisting of a resistor, a capacitor, and an inductor. These elements are explicitly related to the film’s mechanical properties. Since the quartz crystal is considered one resonator and the viscoelastic layer another, the result is the representation of the resonator/film combination as two coupled resonators. The composite response depends on the interaction of these two resonant systems. The simple equivalent-circuit representation elucidates this interaction more clearly than the more complicated transmission-line model, leading to a simple graphical interpretation for the response. It also leads to a prediction of a double-peaked admittance response under certain circumstances.

**THEORY**

Model for the TSM Resonator with a Surface Load. The complex electrical input impedance for the quartz resonator described by the model in Figure 2 is

$$Z = \frac{1}{j \omega C_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{K^2}{\phi_0} \frac{2 \tan(\phi/2) - j \zeta}{2 \tan(\phi/2) - j \zeta} \right]$$

(1)

Here $\omega = 2\pi f$, where $f$ is the excitation frequency; $C_0$ is the resonator static capacitance; $K^2$ is the quartz electromechanical coupling coefficient; $\phi_0$ is the complex acoustic wave phase shift across the quartz; $\zeta = Z_l/Z_0$, where $Z_l$ is the load surface mechanical impedance and $Z_0 = (\rho_0 q)^{1/2}$ is the quartz characteristic impedance, with $\rho_0$ and $q$ being the quartz density and shear stiffness, respectively, and $j = (-1)^{1/2}$.

The electrical impedance in eq 1 can be represented by a static capacitance, $C_m$, in parallel with a motional impedance, $Z_m$, arising from piezoelectrically induced motion: $Z = (j \omega C_0 + 1/Z_m)^{-1}$. Rearranging eq 1 gives the motional impedance term

$$Z_m = \frac{1}{j \omega C_0} \left\{ \frac{1 - j \zeta \cot(\phi_0)}{2 \tan(\phi/2) - j \zeta} \right\}$$

(2)

which can be written as

$$Z_m = \frac{1}{j \omega C_0} \left[ \frac{\phi_0}{2K^2 \tan(\phi/2)} - 1 + \frac{\phi_0}{4K^2 \omega C_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{j \zeta}{2 \tan(\phi/2)} \right]^{-1} \right] = Z_1 + Z_2$$

(3)

where $Z_1$ describes the motional impedance for the unperturbed resonator (i.e., without a film) and $Z_2$ the added motional
The phase shift across the quartz is the complex quantity

\[ \phi_{q} = \omega h_{q}/2 \tan(\phi_{q}/2) \]

Near resonance, when \( \text{Re}(\phi_{q}) = N\pi \), where \( N \) is the resonator harmonic number (odd integer), the following approximation can be used for the tangent function:17

\[ \tan(\phi_{q}/2) \approx \frac{4\phi_{q}}{(N\pi)^2 - \phi_{q}^2} \]

Substituting eq 5 into eq 4 yields

\[ Z_{1} = \frac{1}{j\omega C_{0}} \left[ \frac{(N\pi)^2 - \phi_{q}^2}{8K^2} - 1 \right] \]

The combination of a series-connected resistor, inductor, and capacitor has the impedance

\[ Z_{1} = R_{1} + j\omega L_{1} + \frac{1}{j\omega C_{1}} \]

where \( R_{1}, L_{1}, \) and \( C_{1} \) are the resistance, inductance, and capacitance, respectively. Equation 8 has the same frequency dependence as eq 7 assuming we evaluate the first term of eq 7 at \( \omega = \omega_{s} \), where \( \omega_{s} = 2\pi f \) and \( f \) is the series resonance frequency. This equivalence is appropriate since eq 7 follows from an approximation valid only near crystal resonance. Figure 3b shows the series LCR elements that approximate the impedance of the unperturbed resonator in the vicinity of quartz resonance.

Comparison between eqs 7 and 8 allows identification of the unperturbed circuit element values. Assuming that quartz losses are small, \( \omega\eta_{q} \ll \mu_{q} \), so that \( |\mu_{q}| = \mu_{e0} \), and letting \( \omega = \omega_{s} \), so that \( \omega_{s}/\omega_{e0} = 1 \), gives17

\[ C_{1} = \frac{8K^2C_{0}}{(N\pi)^2 - 8K^2} \]
\[ L_{1} = \frac{h_{q}^2\rho_{q}}{8K^2C_{0}h_{q}^{2}\eta_{q}} \]
\[ R_{1} = \frac{\omega_{s}^2h_{q}^2\rho_{q}h_{q}^{2}}{8K^2C_{0}h_{q}^{2}\eta_{q}} \]

We have used the fact that series resonance occurs when the reactive (imaginary) part of the impedance in eq 8 is zero, i.e., when

\[ \omega_{s}^2 = \frac{1}{L_{1}C_{1}} = \frac{\mu_{e0}[\omega_{s}^2 - 8K^2]}{h_{q}^2\eta_{q}} \]

Contribution from a Viscoelastic Film. From eq 3, the motional impedance contributed by a surface load on the resonator is given by10

\[ Z_{2} = \frac{\phi_{q}^{\infty}}{4K^2\omega C_{0}} \left[ 1 - \frac{j\omega}{2 \tan(\phi_{q}/2)} \right]^{-1} \]

Using the approximation for \( \tan(\phi_{q}/2) \) given by eq 5 and noting that \( \phi_{q} = N\pi \) near a quartz resonance allow eq 11 to be approximated near resonance as

\[ Z_{2} = \frac{4K^2\omega C_{0}}{N\pi^2} + j\omega K^2C_{0} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\omega_{s}}{\omega} \right)^2 \right]^{-1} \]

Since we are interested in the effect of the load on the quartz resonance, we can take \( \omega = \omega_{s} \) (the same degree of approximation

---

used in obtaining eqs 9a–c), eliminating the second term in eq 12, producing

\[
Z_2 = \frac{N \pi c^2}{4K^2 \omega_c C_0} = \frac{N \pi}{4K^2 \omega_c C_0} \left( \frac{Z_1}{Z_0} \right) \tag{13}
\]

An earlier study verified that eq 13 is an adequate representation of eq 11 for the impedance due to a surface load.19

**Film Displacement Profile.** The surface mechanical impedance, \(Z_S\), of a viscoelastic film is found by first determining the shear displacement generated in the film by the oscillating resonator surface and then calculating the shear stress required to generate this motion. The mechanical impedance is the ratio of this shear stress to the particle velocity at the resonator/film interface.20

The oscillating resonator surface generates a shear displacement profile, \(u_s(y)\), across the film (Figure 1) determined by the equation of motion\(^{17}\)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_s}{\partial y^2} = \rho \ddot{u}_s \tag{14}
\]

where \(G\) and \(\rho\) are the film’s shear modulus and density, respectively. For a viscoelastic film undergoing sinusoidal deformation, the shear modulus, \(G\), is represented by the complex quantity\(^{14}\): \(G = G' + jG''\). The real part, \(G'\), represents the component of stress in phase with strain, giving rise to energy storage, and is thus called the “storage modulus”. The imaginary part, \(G''\), represents the component of stress \(\pi/2\) rad out of phase with strain, giving rise to power dissipation, and is thus called the “loss modulus”.

The solution to eq 14 is a superposition of counterpropagating shear waves, one propagating away from the resonator/film interface and one reflected by the film/air boundary:

\[
u_s(y, t) = (c_1 e^{-\gamma y} + c_2 e^{\gamma y}) e^{j\omega t} \tag{15}\]

where \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) are constants and \(\gamma\) is the shear-wave propagation factor (it is understood that displacement \(u_s\) is actually the real part of eq 15); \(\gamma\) is determined by substituting eq 15 into eq 14, yielding

\[
\gamma = j\omega \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{G}} \tag{16}\]

Since \(G\) is complex, \(\gamma\) is also, meaning the acoustic wave experiences both a phase delay and an attenuation while traversing the film.

The constants \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) in eq 15 are determined by applying two boundary conditions that arise at the upper and lower film surfaces (see Figure 1): (1) continuity of particle displacement at the resonator/film interface gives \(u_s(0^-) = u_s(0^+)\); (2) the stress-free boundary at the film/air interface gives \(\partial u_s/\partial y|_{y=h} = 0\), where \(h\) is the film thickness. Applying these two conditions, solving for \(c_1\) and \(c_2\), and inserting these into eq 15 give

\[
u_s(y, t) = \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{\cosh(\gamma(h - y))}{\cosh(\gamma h)} \right] e^{j\omega t} \tag{17}\]

where \(u_s\) is the displacement at the resonator surface (\(u_s\) is the real part of eq 17).

**Surface Mechanical Impedance Due to a Film.** The mechanical impedance at the resonator/film interface is given by\(^{20}\)

\[
Z_L = -\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial y} \mid_{y=0} = -\frac{G}{\omega \rho u_s} \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial y} \mid_{y=0} \tag{18}\]

where \(T_w\) is the shear stress in the \(x\) direction on a \(y\) normal plane and \(u_s\) is the particle velocity in the \(x\) direction. Substituting eq 17 into eq 18 gives the surface mechanical impedance due to a viscoelastic film:\(^9\)

\[
Z_L = \sqrt{\rho G} \tanh(\gamma h) \tag{19}\]

**Lumped-Element Model Near Film Resonance.** Substituting eq 19 into eq 13 gives an expression for the motional impedance contributed by a finite viscoelastic layer:\(^9\)

\[
Z_2 = A \sqrt{\rho G} \tanh(\gamma h) \tag{20}\]

where

\[
A = \frac{N \pi}{4K^2 \omega_c C_0 Z_1} \tag{21}\]

Noting that \(\tanh(x) \approx \tanh(x)\) and invoking eq 5 to approximate the tangent function yield

\[
\tanh(\gamma h) \approx \frac{8 \gamma h}{N' \gamma^2 + (2\gamma h)^2} \tag{22}\]

where \(N'\) is the film harmonic number (odd integer). Equation 22 is valid near film resonance, i.e., when \(\phi = \Im(\gamma h) = N' \pi/2\). Substituting eq 22 into eq 20 gives the following approximation for the motional electrical impedance contributed by a viscoelastic film:

\[
Z_2 \approx \frac{\omega h G'\gamma}{2A^2 G'} + j\omega \left[ \frac{hG'}{2A^2 G'} + \frac{1}{j\omega} \left( \frac{N' \pi}{2A^2 h}\right)^2 \right]^2 \tag{23}\]

A parallel combination of a resistor, capacitor, and inductor has an impedance given by

\[
Z_2 = \left[ \frac{1}{R_2} + j\omega C_2 + \frac{1}{j\omega L_2} \right]^{-1} \tag{24}\]
where $R_2$, $C_2$, and $L_2$ are the resistance, capacitance, and inductance, respectively. Equation 24 has the same frequency dependence as eq 23 if we evaluate the first term of eq 23 at $\omega_f = \omega_0$. This parallel combination of elements thus can be used to represent the frequency dependence of the motional impedance contributed by the viscoelastic film. Comparison between eqs 23 and 24 indicates the appropriate values for $R_2$, $C_2$, and $L_2$:

\[
R_2 = \frac{2A|G|^2}{\omega_f G''} = \frac{N\pi}{2K^2 hG} |G|^2 \quad (25a)
\]

\[
C_2 = \frac{hG'}{2A|G|^2} = \frac{2K^2 \omega_f C_2 Z_q hG'}{|G|^2} \quad (25b)
\]

\[
L_2 = \frac{8A h_p}{(N^{\prime}/\pi)^2} = \frac{2N}{(N^{\prime}/\pi)^2 \pi K^2 \omega_f C_2 h_p} \quad (25c)
\]

Figure 3b shows the complete equivalent-circuit model for the resonator loaded with a viscoelastic film, valid near film resonance. (The change in static capacitance, $C_2$, due to the film has not been considered; this effect should be significant only away from film resonance when the capacitive branch of the equivalent circuit dominates.) As described above, the parallel LCR elements arise from the viscoelastic film. $R_2$ represents viscous dissipation in the film; losses vary as $1/\omega_f$. $C_2$ proportional to $hG'/|G|^2$. (For a lossless film, $G''$ is zero, $R_2 \rightarrow \infty$, and the impedance contribution due to $R_2$ disappears from the model.) $C_2$ represents elastic energy storage in the film and is proportional to $hG'/|G|^2$. $L_2$ represents kinetic energy storage in the film and is proportional to $h_p$.

The electrical impedance contributed by an "ideal mass layer"—a layer with negligible acoustic attenuation and phase shift across the layer—is

\[
Z_{m1} = j\omega h_p \quad (26)
\]

The ideal mass layer is represented in an equivalent-circuit model by a single element—an inductance $L_{m1} = A h_p$. This inductance does not change the impedance magnitude but causes a frequency shift given by the Sauerbrey equation.\(^8\) We note that $L_2$ arising from the film resonance model (eq 25c) is $[8/(N^{\prime}/\pi)]^2$; $L_{m1} = 0.81L_{m1}$ for $N^{\prime} = 1$. This leads to a discrepancy between the Sauerbrey model and the new lumped-element model when $\phi \ll \pi/2$.

Film resonance occurs when the reactive component of the impedance in eq 24 is zero. This occurs at an angular frequency defined by

\[
\omega_f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_2 C_2}} = \frac{N^{\prime}/\pi |G|}{2h\sqrt{\rho G'}} \quad (27)
\]

At this resonant frequency, the film contributes only a real impedance, $R_2$, to the motional branch of the equivalent circuit; this leads to maximum damping of the crystal resonance. Using eq 27 in eq 23 and then dividing by the ideal mass layer contribution (eq 26) give the new expression for the motional impedance contributed by a viscoelastic film near resonance

\[
\frac{Z_2}{Z_{m1}} = \frac{j(\omega_f/\omega)^2}{(N^{\prime}/\pi)^2 (G''/G') + j[1 - (\omega_f/\omega)^2]} \quad (28)
\]

We note that this response depends only on the excitation frequency relative to the film resonant frequency ($\omega_f/\omega$) and the film loss tangent ($G''/G'$).

**DISCUSSION**

Figure 4 shows a plot of the magnitude and phase of $Z_f/Z_{m1}$ vs $\omega_f/\omega$, calculated from eq 28, for $N^{\prime} = 1$ and several values of $G''/G'$. For an acoustically thin film ($\omega_f/\omega \ll 1$), the lumped-element model predicts $|Z_f/Z_{m1}| = 0.81$, as indicated previously, for all values of film loss tangent. While we would not expect the lumped-element model to give good predictions far from film resonance, this is a reasonable approximation. At film resonance ($\omega_f/\omega = 1$), the impedance magnitude varies significantly from that of an ideal mass layer and is strongly dependent on the loss tangent. For low-loss films ($G''/G' \ll 1$), the impedance is enhanced compared to that for the ideal mass layer, while for very lossy films ($G''/G' \gg 1$), the opposite occurs. For $\omega_f/\omega > 1$, the impedance magnitude minimum converges for all loss tangents, only this time to zero since the excitation frequency is now far from the first film resonance ($N^{\prime} = 1$). In the lower curves of Figure 4, the impedance phase angle is shown to lag behind that of the ideal mass layer. The phase angle also depends strongly on the film loss tangent, except at film resonance, where it lags.
behind the ideal mass case by exactly $\pi/2$. Note that, for low-loss films, the phase lag approaches $\pi$ when $\omega f \approx 1$.

**Model Comparisons.** Figure 5 shows a comparison among the transmission-line, lumped-element, and Sauerbrey models. The transmission-line model is the most accurate in all regimes and serves as the standard; the Sauerbrey and lumped-element models are good approximations only in limited regimes. The normalized impedance magnitude and phase are considered for a low-loss film ($G''/G' = 0.2$) and a high-loss film ($G''/G' = 4$). For acoustically thin films, ($\omega f \approx 0.3$), the Sauerbrey model is a good approximation to the transmission-line model; the lumped-element model impedance magnitude is 0.81 that of the ideal mass layer, as discussed previously. Near film resonance, however, the lumped-element model becomes the better approximation. The agreement is quite good for the low-loss film but becomes worse for the high-loss film. Errors arise due to limitations of the approximation (eq 22) upon which the lumped-element model is based. This approximation is good when $\gamma h \approx \pi/2$ (approximations have been given for other regimes$^{22}$) and $\text{Re}(yh) \approx \text{Im}(yh)$. Significant deviations are observed in Figure 5 when $\phi$ deviates significantly from $\pi/2$ ($\omega \approx \omega f$) or film lossiness makes $\text{Re}(yh)$ comparable to or larger than $\text{Im}(yh)$ or $\phi$.

Cernosek et al. have shown that the approximation used to derive the lumped-element model (eq 22) leads to a resonant frequency given, relative to the transmission-line model, by

$$\frac{(\omega f)_{\text{LEM}}}{(\omega f)_{\text{TLM}}} = \left[1 + \sqrt{1 + (G''/G')^2}\right]^{1/2}$$

which depends only on the film’s loss tangent $G''/G'$. From eq 29, we find that the error in resonant frequency determined by the lumped-element model is only 0.5% when $G''/G' = 0.2$ but increases to 60% when $G''/G' = 4$. Equation 29 provides a useful guideline: for resonant frequency to be predicted within 10% $G''/G'$ must be less than unity.

For excitation frequencies much greater than the film’s resonant frequency ($\omega f \approx 1$), large deviations occur between the lumped-element and transmission-line models. Some of these differences are due to the film harmonic resonances predicted by the general transmission-line theory that are not expressed adequately for the lumped-element model in eq 28 with $N' = 1$. Better agreement for one of the higher harmonic resonances can be achieved by an appropriate choice of $N'$ (odd integer); however, model deviations would then occur at all other film resonances.

**Graphical Interpretation of Resonant Response.** The response of the TSM resonator plus viscoelastic film depends on the linear combination of the resonator and film motional impedances. The left side of Figure 6 shows the motional impedance contributions from the quartz resonator ($Z_0$), the viscoelastic film ($Z_f$), and the resonator–film composite ($Z_1 + Z_2$), with progressive deposition of a viscoelastic film.$^{23}$ The right side shows the admittance magnitude responses $|Y_0| = |Z_0 + Z_2^{-1}|$ that result from the composite. The impedance magnitude of the unperturbed resonator ($Z_1$), represented by the series elements $L_1$, $R_1$, and $C_1$ (eq 8), is minimum at resonance and increases linearly away from resonance. The angular resonant frequency of the unperturbed resonator is $\omega f = (L_1C_1)^{-1/2}$. In the graphical example of Figure 6, the series resonant frequency, $f_s$, is fixed at 5 MHz. The impedance magnitude contributed by the film, $Z_f$, represented by the parallel combination of $L_2$, $C_2$, and $R_2$ (eq 24), is maximum at film resonance and varies with a Lorentzian frequency dependence (eq 28) around $\omega f$.

Because the unperturbed resonator elements (series $L_1$, $R_1$, $C_1$) and the film elements (parallel $L_2$, $R_2$, $C_2$) are connected in series, the total motional impedance is the sum of both impedance contributions. The solid curve in Figure 6 is the magnitude of the sum of the impedances ($Z_1 + Z_2$). Due to the limited bandwidth of the resonator, the effect of the film on resonator response does not become pronounced until there is significant overlap between the film and resonator resonances, i.e., until $\omega f$ approaches $\omega f$. Since the film resonant frequency is dependent upon film thickness $h$, modulus $G_0$, and density $\rho$ (eq 27), film resonance can be made to approach, and pass through, the quartz resonant frequency by varying any of these properties. For the example in Figure 6, the film resonant frequency decreases with increasing film thickness. When $\omega f > \omega f$, the composite impedance magnitude $Z_1 + Z_2$ exhibits a resonance at a frequency smaller than $\omega f$ (Figure 6a1). This lower resonance frequency is also reflected in the peak admittance magnitude (Figure 6b1). As $\omega f$ approaches $\omega f$, the composite resonant frequency decreases further and the minimum impedance increases, reflecting increased crystal damping (Figure 6a2). Additionally, a “shoulder” appears in the $Z_1 + Z_2$ curve near $\omega f$ due to the film resonance. The decrease in
resonant frequency and increase in resonance damping appear in the overall admittance response (Figure 6b2). When \( \omega_f = \omega_s \), the composite impedance magnitude \( |Z_1 + Z_2| \) shows two minima, each with relatively high impedance, occurring at frequencies far from \( \omega_s \) (a3). In the corresponding admittance plot (Figure 6b3), this resonance interference produces a split, highly damped response. As \( \omega_f \) decreases below \( \omega_s \), the resonant frequency of the composite is greater than \( \omega_s \) and the damping diminishes (Figure 6a4,a5). The single resonance peak in the admittance magnitude reemerges at a frequency higher than \( \omega_s \) (Figure 6b4,-b5).

The double-peak admittance response (Figure 6b3) is observed only when the film loss is low, so that the film impedance bandwidth is comparable to the width of the quartz motional impedance at the point of overlap. For higher loss films, for which the film impedance peak is very broad, a single admittance peak results. This feature is illustrated in Figure 7, where the resonator and the film impedance magnitudes (upper) and the composite admittance magnitude (lower) are plotted around film resonance for several arbitrary values of the film loss tangent. For the lowest loss film (small \( G''/G' \)), \( |Z_2| \) has a narrow resonance peak achieving a large maximum impedance value. Since the film impedance bandwidth is narrower than the resonator impedance magnitude region \( |Z_1| \), the composite admittance magnitude shows two well-defined maxima. As the film loss increases (increasing \( G''/G' \)), the film impedance magnitude broadens in the region where it overlaps the resonator impedance magnitude and the admittance double peaks diminish in magnitude and move toward the crystal resonant frequency. Eventually, the admittance peaks merge into a single maximum at \( \omega_s \) when the film impedance bandwidth is wider than the resonator impedance overlap region. The double-peak feature is determined solely by the viscoelastic

**Figure 6.** Effect of varying film thickness on impedance magnitudes for a quartz resonator \( |Z_1| \), a viscoelastic film \( |Z_2| \), and the composite \( |Z_1 + Z_2| \). (b) Resulting admittance response. The film parameters are \( \rho = 1 \) g cm\(^{-2} \), \( G' = 10^8 \) dyn cm\(^{-2} \), and \( G''/G' = 0.1 \).
film modulus (both its magnitude and the loss tangent), with transition to a single maximum occurring when \( \log \frac{G''}{G'} \approx \frac{21}{2} (\log \frac{G'}{G} - 2) \).\(^{24}\)

Displacement Profiles. The response of a quartz resonator loaded with a viscoelastic film can be related to its dynamic film behavior. Figure 8 shows shear displacement profiles in the film, calculated from eq 17, using the film thicknesses indicated in Figure 6 and evaluated at the frequencies of peak admittance (Figure 6b). These displacements are normalized to constant power dissipation. When the film phase shift is significantly below resonance, the film displacement is in phase with the driving quartz resonator surface displacement (Figure 8a). The displacement at the film/air interface is actually much greater than that at the resonator surface—overshoot occurs. When \( \phi \) is significantly above resonance (Figure 8f), the film displacement is predominantly \( \pi \) rad out of phase with the driving quartz resonator surface displacement. In the vicinity of film resonance (Figure 8b–e), there is a rapid phase variation between the upper and lower film surfaces. Additionally, due to the greater power dissipation in the film, the quartz displacement is diminished; this leads to the highly damped admittance responses seen in Figure 6b2–b4. Curves c and d in Figure 8 are calculated at the two admittance peaks indicated in Figure 6b3. We note the phase reversal of film displacement between these two peaks.

Resonance Conditions and Modes. We can further understand the dynamic behavior of the quartz resonator plus viscoelas-

\[
2k_q h_q = 2N \pi
\]

where \( k_q \) is the shear-wave propagation factor in quartz: \( k_q = \frac{\omega}{(\rho_q / h_q)^{1/2}} \). Because electrical excitation of the quartz resonator can occur only at the odd harmonics, \( N \) in eq 30 must be an odd integer. The resonance condition of eq 30 is satisfied when \( h_q = N \lambda_s / 2 \), where \( \lambda_s \) is the shear acoustic wavelength in quartz; this corresponds to a phase shift \( \phi_R = N \pi / 2 \). Resonance conditions in the quartz lead to a standing wave, shown in Figure 9a, with antinodes (displacement maxima) at the two surfaces.

On the other hand, the film alone has a high-impedance interface (film/quartz) and a low-impedance interface (film/air). For a shear wave executing a round trip in this film transmission line, the high-impedance surface contributes a reflection phase \( \phi_R = \pi \), while the low-impedance surface has \( \phi_R = 0 \). The resonance condition in the film is then

\[
2\gamma h + \pi = 2N \pi
\]
Again, only the odd harmonics can be excited, so $N'$ must be an odd integer. Equation 31 is satisfied when $h = (2N' - 1)\lambda/4$, where $\lambda$ is the shear acoustic wavelength in the film. This corresponds to a film phase shift $\phi = N'\pi/2$. The resonance conditions in the quartz and film are different since the boundary conditions are different. The standing wave in the film consists of a "virtual" node at the quartz/film interface and an antinode at the film/air interface (Figure 9b).

The quartz resonator and film combination exhibits characteristics of coupled resonant systems: displacement in the resonator/film has both in-phase and out-of-phase modes, with a concurrent splitting of resonant frequency into two corresponding branches. While independently the quartz and film each have a distinct resonant frequency, when the two are coupled, two distinct system resonances arise. This splitting is visible in Figure 6b3 where two admittance peaks are observed. In Figure 9b, for $\phi = (\pi/2)^+$, the upper film displacement is large and in phase with the driving quartz surface. For $\phi = (\pi/2)^-$, the upper film surface is $\pi$ rad out of phase with the driving quartz surface and the resultant frequency is higher than that for the unperturbed quartz resonator.

CONCLUSIONS

A new equivalent-circuit model has been derived that is valid near film resonance and is a reasonable approximation away from resonance. This model consists of a series LCR resonator, representing the quartz impedance near its resonance, and a parallel LCR resonator, representing the viscoelastic film impedance near its resonance. Elements corresponding to the film are explicitly related to the film’s mechanical properties and represent energy storage and power dissipation.

This new model and the Sauerbrey model both approximate the more detailed transmission-line model in various regimes defined by acoustic phase shift across the viscoelastic layer. Each model leads to a simplified analysis and interpretation for sensor applications or material characterizations. The Sauerbrey model works well for acoustically thin films with $\phi \approx \pi/4$. The viscoelastic layer is then treated as an ideal mass layer, with response dependent only on its areal mass density. The new model works well when $\phi \approx \pi/2$ and the film’s losses are relatively low, $G''/G' < 1$. The error in resonant frequency is only 0.5% when $G''/G' = 0.2$ but increases to 60% when $G''/G' = 4$.

The TSM resonator and the viscoelastic film can be considered as coupled resonators. Individually, the resonant conditions for the quartz and film are different, due to the nonsymmetric boundary conditions imposed at the film-to-quartz interface: looking into the film, the quartz sees a low-impedance interface, while looking into the quartz, the film sees a high-impedance interface. The response of the composite resonator (quartz resonator plus film) depends on the interaction of the individual quartz and film resonances. A simple graphical representation involving the series and parallel impedances illustrates the composite resonator response. While independently the quartz and film each have a distinct resonant frequency, when the two are coupled, two system resonances can arise. These correspond to different displacement profiles in the film that are shifted by a $\pi$ phase shift.

The gross features predicted by the new equivalent-circuit model, including frequency changes and increased damping near film resonance, have been observed experimentally. In fact, even polymer films deposited in aqueous solutions produce the film-resonant responses. This may be somewhat surprising, in view of the stress-free boundary assumed at the upper film surface. The film/liquid interface would seem to be too lossy to give rise to a resonant response. However, calculations show that the liquid impedance can be significantly smaller than that of the film so that acoustic reflection at the film/liquid interface is sufficient for film resonance to occur. The present model is a reasonable approximation in this case; a more accurate model is under development that properly accounts for the film/liquid interface.

The fine features predicted by the current model, including the double-peak admittance response when quartz and film resonances coincide, have yet to be conclusively observed. Most likely this is due to the viscoelastic films being too lossy to satisfy the condition required for their emergence. A properly designed experiment, including a low-loss film with the required $\pi/2$ phase shift, may succeed in eliciting this response.
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