

The Journal of Rheumatology

The Journal of Rheumatology

Volume 40, no. 10

abbvie

Genetic Interactions Between *BANK1* and *BLK* in Chinese Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

XU-JIE ZHOU, YUAN-YUAN QI, FA-JUAN CHENG and HONG ZHANG

J Rheumatol 2013;40;1772-1773 http://www.jrheum.org/content/40/10/1772

- 1. Sign up for our monthly e-table of contents http://www.jrheum.org/cgi/alerts/etoc
- 2. Information on Subscriptions http://jrheum.com/subscribe.html
- 3. Have us contact your library about access options Refer_your_library@jrheum.com
- 4. Information on permissions/orders of reprints http://jrheum.com/reprints.html

The Journal of Rheumatology is a monthly international serial edited by Earl D. Silverman featuring research articles on clinical subjects from scientists working in rheumatology and related fields.

Genetic Interactions Between *BANK1* and *BLK* in Chinese Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

To the Editor:

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a complex autoimmune disease with strong genetic components, with over 40 susceptibility loci identified at present. These SLE susceptibility loci are predominantly common variants that have been confirmed among multiple ancestries, suggesting shared mechanisms in disease etiology¹. However, genetic heterogeneity was also suggested, as some genetic polymorphisms are restricted to specific ethnic populations. Recent descriptions of gene-gene interactions, or epistasis, may explain some of the genetic heterogeneity and missing heritability in SLE. We previously reported potential epistasis between BLK and TNFSF4 in both Chinese and white populations, suggesting that unbalanced functions of B cell and T cell signaling may be involved synergistically in the pathogenesis of SLE². Another large-scale association study confirmed the genetic interactions between BANK1 and BLK in Europeans, indicating B cell activity and a B cell-specific pathway were crucial in lupus pathogenesis³. No further replications were conducted in Chinese subjects or other populations with independent sets of cases and controls. Of note, remarkably different frequency distributions of risk alleles of BANK1 and BLK were observed in Chinese and Europeans: for example, the risk alleles of BLK were minor alleles in Europeans but major alleles in Chinese². We thus aimed to determine whether the genetic epistatic interaction between BANK1 and BLK polymorphisms could be confirmed in a Chinese population.

A total of 1000 Chinese with Han ethnicity living in Beijing were enrolled, including 500 patients with SLE (mean age 31.9 ± 11.2 yrs, female/male ratio 6:1) and 500 ethnically and geographically matched healthy blood donor controls (mean age 40.0 ± 8.6 yrs). All the patients met the revised SLE criteria of the American College of Rheumatology without selection⁴. The study was approved by the medical ethics committee of Peking University; all patients gave informed consent. The reported single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) with top association signals of interactions were selected without optional discrimination³. Genotyping was undertaken as reported². Genetic associations were determined by chi-square test. Genetic interactions were determined using a classical 2×2 factorial design². For replications, no further corrections were applied. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 12.0 (SPSS Inc.). A 2-tailed p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The call rates for rs10516487 and rs2736340 were both 99.7%. BLK rs2736340 T showed a significant association with susceptibility to SLE $(p = 3.27 \times 10^{-3}, OR \ 1.36, 95\% CI \ 1.11-1.67; Table \ 1)$, whereas *BANK1* rs10516487 G showed a marginal association (p = 0.06). The risk allele frequency was high in this Chinese population, similar to that previously reported⁵. In the gene interaction analysis, because few homozygous protective genotypes were observed, genotypes were grouped under recessive models. An epistatic interaction between BLK rs2736340 T and BANK1 rs10516487 G was detected. Only individuals carrying both the BANK1 rs10516487 GG genotype and the BLK rs2736340 TT genotype were susceptible to SLE (p = 1.21×10^{-3} , OR 2.13, 95% CI 1.34–3.38), whereas individuals carrying 1 or no risk genotype factor did not show such an association (Table 2). However, because of possible underpowering of our sample size to detect associations of subphenotypes, we did not observe any correlations between genotype combinations and subphenotypes of SLE.

A recent study observed similar epistasis in rheumatoid arthritis^{6,7}. The proteins of these 2 genes interact physically and work in concert during B cell signaling. And a more recent work suggested that the kinase activity of BLK enhanced BANK1-PLC γ 2 (phospholipase C γ 2) binding, further supporting the role of these 2 genes in basic B cell physiology and immune-related diseases⁸. Previous genetic studies also revealed *BLK* rs2736340 has an expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) effect⁹; and the nonsynonymous SNP rs10516487 (G>A; R61H) showed a dual nature by influencing mRNA splicing and consequently the quantity of protein, and by producing a risk variant-containing protein isoform with increased potential for multimerization¹⁰. However, future functional analysis focusing on combination effects of these 2 SNP are needed.

Our study confirms evidence for epistasis between *BLK* and *BANK1* in SLE from a Chinese population for the first time. The data illustrate that the genetic interaction of B cell SLE-associated genes may represent a shared common pathway among different ethnic populations.

XU-JIE ZHOU, PhD; YUAN-YUAN QI, MD; FA-JUAN CHENG, PhD; HONG ZHANG, MD, PhD, Renal Division, Peking University First Hospital; Peking University Institute of Nephrology; Key Laboratory of

Table 1. Replications of association between BANK1 and BLK polymorphisms with systemic lupus erythematosus.

Gene	Chromosome	SNP	Risk Allele	Freque	ency (%)	р	OR (95% CI)
				Case	Control		
BANK1	4	rs10516487	G	89.56	86.87	0.06	1.30 (0.99–1.71)
BLK	8	rs2736340	Т	78.31	72.65	3.27×10^{-3}	1.36 (1.11–1.67)

SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism.

Table 2. Genetic interaction analysis between *BANK1* and *BLK* polymorphisms with systemic lupus erythematosus. The attributable proportion due to interaction (AP) was 0.36; and the relative excess risk due to interation (RERI) was 0.17.

BANK1	BLK			
rs10516487 AA+AG	rs2736340 CC+CT	rs2736340 TT		
No. cases/controls	35/56	61/67		
р	_	0.18		
OR (95% CI)	1.0 (reference)	1.46 (0.84-2.52)		
rs10516487 GG				
No. cases/controls	156/191	246/185		
р	0.27	1.21×10^{-3}		
OR (95% CI)	1.31 (0.82-2.10)	2.13 (1.34-3.38)		

Personal non-commercial use only. The Journal of Rheumatology Copyright © 2013. All rights reserved.

Renal Disease, Ministry of Health of China; and Key Laboratory of Chronic Kidney Disease Prevention and Treatment (Peking University), Ministry of Education, Beijing, China. Address correspondence to Prof. H. Zhang, Renal Division, Peking University First Hospital, Peking University Institute of Nephrology, No. 8 Xi Shi Ku Street, Xi Cheng District, Beijing 100034, China. E-mail: hongzh@bjmu.edu.cn Supported by grants from the Major State Basic Research Development Program of China (973 program, no. 2012CB517700), National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81200524), Research Fund of Beijing Municipal Science and Technology for the Outstanding PhD Program (20121000110), The Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (20120001120008), and Natural Science Fund of China to the Innovation Research Group (81021004).

REFERENCES

- Rullo OJ, Tsao BP. Recent insights into the genetic basis of systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72 Suppl 2:ii56-61.
- Zhou XJ, Lu XL, Nath SK, Lv JC, Zhu SN, Yang HZ, et al. Gene-gene interaction of BLK, TNFSF4, TRAF1, TNFAIP3, and REL in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2012;64:222-31.
- Castillejo-Lopez C, Delgado-Vega AM, Wojcik J, Kozyrev SV, Thavathiru E, Wu YY, et al. Genetic and physical interaction of the B-cell systemic lupus erythematosus-associated genes BANK1 and BLK. Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:136-42.
- 4. Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 1997;40:1725.

- Han JW, Zheng HF, Cui Y, Sun LD, Ye DQ, Hu Z, et al. Genome-wide association study in a Chinese Han population identifies nine new susceptibility loci for systemic lupus erythematosus. Nature Genet 2009;41:1234-7.
- Genin E, Coustet B, Allanore Y, Ito I, Teruel M, Constantin A, et al. Epistatic interaction between BANK1 and BLK in rheumatoid arthritis: results from a large trans-ethnic meta-analysis. PLoS One 2013;8:e61044.
- Deshmukh HA, Maiti AK, Kim-Howard XR, Rojas-Villarraga A, Guthridge JM, Anaya JM, et al. Evaluation of 19 autoimmune disease-associated loci with rheumatoid arthritis in a Colombian population: evidence for replication and gene-gene interaction. J Rheumatol 2011;38:1866-70.
- Bernal-Quiros M, Wu YY, Alarcon-Riquelme ME, Castillejo-Lopez C. BANK1 and BLK act through phospholipase C gamma 2 in B-cell signaling. PLoS One 2013;8:e59842.
- Hom G, Graham RR, Modrek B, Taylor KE, Ortmann W, Garnier S, et al. Association of systemic lupus erythematosus with C8orf13-BLK and ITGAM-ITGAX. N Engl J Med 2008;358:900-9.
- Kozyrev SV, Bernal-Quiros M, Alarcon-Riquelme ME, Castillejo-Lopez C. The dual effect of the lupus-associated polymorphism rs10516487 on BANK1 gene expression and protein localization. Genes Immun 2012;13:129-38.

J Rheumatol 2013;40:10; doi:10.3899/jrheum.130477