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I. INTRODUCTION

Coupled oscillators are frequently encountered in electrical engineering, computational biology, and physics [1]. The applications are found in coupled laser systems, Josephson junctions arrays, electrical circuits, etc. [2–4]. Since the Pecora’s work in 1990 [5], the coupled chaotic oscillators have been a hot spot. Lots of phenomena related to the synchronous chaos have been found in coupled chaotic systems, for example phase synchronization, partial synchronization, riddled basin, kinds of bifurcations of the synchronous chaos, and so on [6–10]. However there are some coupled systems which cannot realize chaos synchronization even when the interaction among the elements are strong enough [9]. It is interesting to see whether such systems may still display rich dynamic behaviors. In this paper, we will investigate such a coupled system where the chaos synchronization is impossible.

The model used in this paper is a coupled Lorenz oscillators. The isolated system in dimensionless form is described as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= \sigma(y-x), \\
\dot{y} &= rx - y - 10xz, \\
\dot{z} &= 2.5xy - bz,
\end{align*}
\]

which is used to describe an analog circuit reported in Ref. [11] where dimensionless parameters \( \sigma = 10.19, b = 2.664, \) and \( r = 28.17 \). When variable \( y \) is coupled to the equation of \( z \), the chaos synchronization is impossible no matter how strong the interaction is [12]. We first explore the dynamics of the coupled system with two identical Lorenz oscillators numerically. We find that the translational symmetry in the system is broken if the interaction becomes strong enough where one element oscillates with large amplitude and the other with small amplitude. Further increasing the strength of the interaction, we find that the small amplitude oscillation collapses to a partial amplitude death state where part of the variables of one oscillator stays at rest. Then we propose a linear stability analysis for the partial amplitude death state.

The paper is organized as following. In Sec. II, we present the numerical results. In Sec. III, we give a linear stability theory for the partial amplitude death. Finally, a brief summary is made in Sec. IV.

II. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The coupled system can be described by the following equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= \sigma(y_1-x_1), \\
\dot{y}_1 &= rx_1 - y_1 - 10x_1z_1, \\
\dot{z}_1 &= 2.5x_1y_1 - bz_1 + \epsilon(y_2 - y_1), \\
\dot{x}_2 &= \sigma(y_2 - x_2), \\
\dot{y}_2 &= rx_2 - y_2 - 10x_2z_2, \\
\dot{z}_2 &= 2.5x_2y_2 - bz_2 + \epsilon(y_1 - y_2).
\end{align*}
\]

The coupled system has translational symmetry between the oscillators 1 and 2. However the reflection symmetry under the transformation \( x \rightarrow -x, y \rightarrow -y, \) and \( z \rightarrow z \) in a single Lorenz system is broken. The fourth-order Runge-Kutta algorithm is used to integrate Eq. (2) with time step of 0.01.

The dynamics of the coupled oscillators is controlled by the coupling constant \( \epsilon \). The phase portraits projected in the \( x-z \) plane for different \( \epsilon \) are shown in Fig. 1. Once the coupling between the oscillators is switched on, the reflection symmetry in any single Lorenz oscillator is broken. With the increase of \( \epsilon \), one wing of the attractor expands [for example, Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)]. However the translational symmetry between the two oscillators are still kept. Further increasing the coupling constant beyond a critical coupling constant, the two wings structure of the attractor disappears: only the expanding one survives. The translational symmetry is broken either and two oscillators stay at different attractors. The process of the transition can be found in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). When the coupling constant is strong enough, an interesting state is found where one of the attractors shrinks to a line parallel to the \( z \) axis in Fig. 1(e). It means that \( x_2 \) becomes independent of time while \( z_2 \) not.

To gain more knowledge about the behaviors described in Fig. 1, we record the time sequence of \( x \). Before the translational symmetry is broken, the two oscillators jump between two wings of the attractor while not in synchronization [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)]. A finding not reflected in Fig. 1 is that the two oscillators with the same attractor behave quite differently for certain range of \( \epsilon \) where one will oscillate with large amplitude if the other oscillates with small amplitude, which is shown in Fig. 2(c). Each oscillator jumps intermittently between the oscillations with the small and large amplitude. With the increase of the coupling constant, the jump between the two kinds of oscillations becomes less frequent and eventually one oscillator stays on the large amplitude oscillation while the other on the small one [for example in Fig. 2(d)].
The disappearance of the intermittency signals the broken translational symmetry in the solutions of Eq. (2). The temporal behavior of the trajectory in Fig. 1(e) is shown in Fig. 2(e) where the small oscillation of variable $x_2$ dies off while $x_1$ continues the oscillation with large amplitude. It is worth noting that the oscillator 2 only stay at rest for the variables $x_2$ and $y_2$ while the variable $z_2$ still oscillates with the amplitude comparable to the oscillator 1 and the value of $z_2$ increases with $\epsilon$ (not shown here). In contrast to the amplitude death found in coupled limit cycles [13,14] where the amplitude death indicates that all elements stay at quenched state, we term the phenomenon of the amplitude death in some dynamical variables as a new type of partial amplitude death. A different partial amplitude death has been mentioned in Ref. [14], which refers to a phenomenon where some of the elements die off while the rest keeps oscillating. Especially, the requirement of the nonidentical elements or the delayed interaction among elements for the amplitude death (or the partial amplitude death) in Refs. [13,14] is not required in this paper. One thing to be noted is that the partial amplitude death with $x_2 = y_2 = 0$ has a partner with $x_1 = y_1 = 0$ according to the translational symmetry of Eq. (2). Depending on the initial condition, the system will evolve into one of them.

Moreover, the bifurcation diagram versus coupling constant $\epsilon$ for each oscillator is presented in Fig. 3 where the partial amplitude death in the variable $x_2$ when $\epsilon > 6.04$ is clear. The inset in Fig. 3(b) shows the amplitude of the small oscillation grows gradually after the partial amplitude death becomes unstable. The spectrum of the Lyapunov exponents shown in Fig. 3(c) confirms the transitions found in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The blowup of the spectrum in the range of $\epsilon \in (6, 6.05)$ shows that the second largest Lyapunov exponent collides with zero at $\epsilon = 6.04$ and keeps negative on both sides of the transition, which indicates that the instability of the partial amplitude death is related to the period-doubling bifurcation.

The discontinuity in the size of the attractor versus $\epsilon$ in Fig. 3 at $\epsilon = 6.01$ indicates a crisis-induced-transition [15] which is responsible for the change of the translational sym-
mtery of solutions in the studied system. The crisis here is a result of the collision between the orbit of the large amplitude oscillation and the stable manifold of the origin \((x_i = y_i = z_j = 0, i = 1, 2)\) which prevents the movement of the oscillator from one wing to the other of the Lorenz attractor for \(\epsilon > 6.01\). Except for the abrupt change in the size of the attractor, the crisis induces intermittency also which can be hinted in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). Strictly, the demonstration of the crisis requires the location of the stable manifold of the origin, however it is difficult to do in a high dimensional phase space. Nevertheless Figs. 4(c) and 4(d) can give us an indirect proof on such collision. The data in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d) is obtained from Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) where one exchange between the states of two oscillators occurs. Figure 4(d) shows that the confinement to one wing by the stable manifold of the origin has been broken and the oscillator with large amplitude jumps to another wing and stay for a while before exiting to the small amplitude oscillation.

III. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

At the first glance, the partial amplitude death seems ambiguous since \(x_2\) and \(y_2\) do not constitute a closed system while the variable \(z_2\) is time-dependent. However in the subsystem of \(x_2\) and \(y_2\), the variable \(z_2\) appeared in the \(y_2\) equation is multiplied by \(x_2\). Therefore, if \(x_2\) goes to zero, \(z_2\) may not have any effects on the behavior of the subsystem. To explain the partial amplitude death, it is necessary to explore its stability. Let us consider the equations of \(x_2\) and \(y_2\) and treat them as a subsystem driven by the signal \(z_2\).

\[
\dot{x}_2 = \sigma(y_2 - x_2), \quad \dot{y}_2 = \sigma x_2 - y_2 - 10x_2z_2. \tag{3}
\]

The subsystem has unique equilibrium \((0,0)\) which represents the partial amplitude death state. The Jacobian matrix at the equilibrium is \(A = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma & 1 \\ r & -10 \end{pmatrix}\) and has two eigenvalues \(\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{\text{Tr}(A) \pm \sqrt{\text{Tr}(A)^2 - 4 \text{Det}(A)}}{2}\) where \(\text{Tr}(A) = -\sigma + 1\), \(\text{Det}(A) = \sigma(11 - 10r + 20)\). With \(\sigma = 10.19\), \(b = 2.664\), and \(r = 28.17\), we have \(\text{Tr}(A) = -11.19 < 0\) and \(\text{Det}(A) = \sigma(10z_2^2 - 27.17)\). The equilibrium is stable only if \(z_2 > (1 - r)/10\). Since \(z_2\) is dependent of time, the instantaneous stability of the equilibrium changes with time. We plot the instantaneous maximum growth rate, \(\Lambda = \max\{\text{Re}(\lambda_1), \text{Re}(\lambda_2)\}\) \([\min\{\text{Re}(\lambda_1), \text{Re}(\lambda_2)\}\) is always negative\], in Fig. 5(a). Three types of the equilibria can be found: the saddle with positive maximum growth rate, the stable node with negative maximum growth rate, and the stable focus with constant growth rate. The virtual stability of the equilibrium \((0,0)\) is determined by the accumulated growth rate during the evolution. In other words, the equilibrium is stable if and only if the area enclosed by the time axis [the dashed line in Fig. 5(a)] and the curve of the maximum growth rate is negative. Such an accumulated growth rate can be quantified by the Lyapunov exponents of the subsystem Eq. (3). The driving signal \(z_2\) in Eq. (3) is obtained by simulating numerically the equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= \sigma(y_1 - x_1), \\
\dot{y}_1 &= r x_1 - y_1 - 10x_1 y_1, \\
\dot{z}_2 &= 2.5x_1 y_1 - b z_2 - e y_1, \\
\dot{z}_2 &= -b z_2 + e y_1. 
\end{align*}
\tag{4}
\]

The Lyapunov exponents of the subsystem \((x_2, y_2)\) are shown in Fig. 5(b). The negative maximum Lyapunov exponent indicates that the partial amplitude death is stable. The onset of the partial amplitude death is in agreement with the direct numerical simulation of the original system.

Since the instability of the partial amplitude death roots at the appearance of the instantaneous saddle, the small amplitude oscillation after the instability is not caused by Hopf bifurcation but a consequence of period-doubling bifurcation as mentioned in the last section. Immediately after the instability of the partial amplitude death, the continuous growing...
of the amplitude from zero with the decrease of the coupling constant keeps the translational symmetry of the system broken. The translational symmetry is restored by the crisis which leads to the jump of the oscillators between the oscillators numerically and theoretically. We find that the partial amplitude death when the interaction is strong. We also find that the translational symmetry is broken when the interaction between the oscillators becomes strong. We also find the partial amplitude death when the interaction is strong enough. The linear stability analysis is presented to explain the existence of the partial amplitude death.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have investigated the dynamics of the coupled Lorenz oscillators numerically and theoretically. We find that the translational symmetry is broken when the interaction between the oscillators becomes strong. We also find the partial amplitude death when the interaction is strong enough. The linear stability analysis is presented to explain the existence of the partial amplitude death.
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