Gaussian ERP Kernel Classifier for Pulse Waveforms Classification
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Abstract—While advances in sensor and signal processing techniques have provided effective tools for quantitative research on traditional Chinese pulse diagnosis (TCPD), the automatic classification of pulse waveforms is remained a difficult problem. To address this issue, this paper proposed a novel edit distance with real penalty (ERP)-based \textit{k}-nearest neighbors (KNN) classifier by referring to recent progresses in time series matching and KNN classifier. Taking advantage of the metric property of ERP, we first develop a Gaussian ERP kernel, and then embed it into kernel difference-weighted KNN classifier. The proposed Gaussian ERP kernel classifier is evaluated on a dataset which includes 2470 pulse waveforms. Experimental results show that the proposed classifier is much more accurate than several other pulse waveform classification approaches.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Traditional Chinese pulse diagnosis (TCPD) \cite{1} is a convenient, noninvasive, and effective diagnostic method, where practitioners feel the fluctuations in radial pulse at the styloid processes of wrist classify them into distinct patterns which are related to different syndromes and diseases in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM). TCPD is a skill which requires considerable experience and training, and the diagnosis results may vary for different practitioners. Thus, techniques developed for measuring and analyzing the physiological signals are recently considered in quantitative TCPD research \cite{2, 3, 4} as a way to improve the reliability and consistency of diagnoses.

Although much progress has been made in TCPD quantification research, the automatic classification of pulse waveforms is remained a difficult problem. TCPD recognizes more than 20 kinds of pulse patterns, which are defined according to the criteria such as shape, position, regularity, force, and rhythm \cite{1}. Fig. 1 shows five typical pulse waveforms which differ in their shapes.

![Figure 1. Five pulse patterns classified by shape: (a) moderate, (b) smooth, (c) taut, (d) hollow, and (e) unsmooth.](image1)

Pulse waveform classification suffers from the problems of complicated intra- and inter-class variations. For example, a moderate pulse with unnoticeable tidal wave is similar to a smooth pulse (see Fig. 2). For some taut pulses, the tidal waves are very high and even merged with percussion wave (see Fig. 3). Besides, the time axis distortion and noise also have adverse influence on classification accuracy. For several classification methods which had been developed for pulse waveform classification, e.g., neural networks \cite{5, 7}, and dynamical time warping (DTW) \cite{6}, the reported accuracies are mostly below 90\%, and usually are tested on small datasets.

![Figure 2. Two pulse patterns with similar shapes: (a) A moderate pulse with unnoticeable tidal wave is similar to (b) a smooth pulse.](image2)
The ERP distance satisfies the triangle inequality, \( d_{\text{erp}}(Q,S) \leq d_{\text{erp}}(Q,R) + d_{\text{erp}}(R,S) \).

**Theorem 1** [9] Let \( Q, R, S \) be three time series of arbitrary length. Then it is necessary that ERP satisfies the triangle inequality, \( d_{\text{erp}}(Q,S) \leq d_{\text{erp}}(Q,R) + d_{\text{erp}}(R,S) \).

**Corollary 2** [9] The ERP distance satisfies the triangle inequality and it is a metric.

KDF-WKNN is a kernel-based KNN classifier which could obtain classification performance comparable to or better than several state-of-the-art classification methods [11]. In KDF-WKNN, given an unclassified sample \( x \) and its \( k \)-nearest neighbors \( X^n = [x^n_1, x^n_2, \ldots, x^n_k] \), the weights of \( k \)-nearest neighbors \( W = [w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k]^T \) are defined as a vector corresponding to the constrained optimal reconstruction of \( x \) using \( X^n \) in the feature space \( F : x \rightarrow \Phi(x) \) as,

\[
W = \arg \min \left\| \Phi(x) - \Phi(X^n)W \right\|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \sum_{i=1}^k w_i = 1.
\]

The weights \( W \) can be obtained by solving the following linear equation,

\[
[\mathbf{G} + \eta \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}) \mathbf{I}_k] W = \mathbf{I}_k,
\]

where \( \eta \) is the regularization parameter, \( \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}) \) is the trace of \( \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{I}_k \) is identity matrix, \( \mathbf{I}_k \) is a \( k \times 1 \) vector with all elements equal to 1. The element at the \( i \)th row and the \( j \)th column of \( \mathbf{G} \) is defined as

\[
G_{ij} = k(x^i, x^j) + k(x, x^i) - k(x, x^j) - k(x, x^j),
\]

where \( k(\cdot, \cdot) \) denotes the kernel function.

Finally, the weighted KNN rule is used to assign a class label to sample \( x \). For the detailed description of KDF-WKNN, please refer to [11].

**B. The GEKC method**

Our motivation for developing the GEKC method is to incorporate ERP with Gaussian function to develop a novel Gaussian ERP (GERP) kernel function. Because of the possible emergence of time axis distortion, classical kernel functions [20], such as Gaussian RBF and polynomial, generally would not be suitable for time series classification. To address the adverse influence of time axis distortion, elastic kernels, such as Gaussian DTW kernel, have been proposed for kernel-based classifiers [13, 14]. Gaussian

...
DTW kernel is definitely not PDS kernel [15], and it has been reported that Gaussian DTW kernel classifiers usually cannot guarantee the performance improvement over conventional Gaussian RBF kernel classifiers [15, 16]. Utilizing the metric property of ERP, we expect that the proposed GERP kernel would outperform either Gaussian RBF kernel or Gaussian DTW kernel for time series classification. Using the GERP and KDF-WKNN, we further propose a Gaussian ERP kernel classifier (GEKC) for pulse waveform classification. In the following, we will first introduce the GERP kernel and then describe the detail of GEKC.

The GERP is developed from a kind of kernel function which has the following definition.

**Definition 1** Let the distance function $d(x, x')$ be symmetric, non-negative, and has zero diagonal, i.e. $d(x, x') = 0$, then a kind of kernel $K(x, x')$ can be defined as follows,

$$K(x, x') = \exp(-\gamma d^2(x, x')), \forall \gamma > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Previous work has shown that it is possible to construct PDS kernel by using elastic distance [17, 18]. However, if the elastic distance is non-metric, the kernel function $K(x, x')$ defined in (5) is not PDS [19] and is not admissible to standard kernel machines, e.g., support vector machines. Thus we expect to utilize elastic metric rather than just elastic distance to construct kernel function.

**Definition 2** Given two time series $x$ and $x'$, the Gaussian ERP kernel function $k_{erp}(x, x')$ is defined as,

$$k_{erp} = \exp(-d_{erp}^2(x, x')/\sigma^2).$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the Gaussian function.

Based on the metric property of ERP, GERP kernel may be more suitable for kernel classifiers. Actually, we also cannot guarantee the PDS property of the GERP kernel. To remedy this, we may enforce the PDS property by adding sufficiently small values of the variance [20] or forming mathematically correct kernels based on global alignments [18].

For the pulse waveform classification task, we randomly choose a set of samples and experimentally analyze the PDS property of the GERP kernel. We run the experiment 10 times and do not observe the violation of the PDS property for the GERP kernel. For Gaussian DTW kernel, however, we can observe the violation of the PDS property. In [16], Gudmundsson et al. claimed that several amendments to guarantee the PDS property (e.g., [18]) may make the classifier with poor generalization performance. Thus, for our pulse waveform classification task, we choose the GERP kernel without any amendment in the GEKC classifier.

We directly apply the GERP kernel to KDF-WKNN to construct GEKC by defining the Gram matrix $G_{ERP}$,

$$G_{ERP} = K + I_{kl} - 1_k 1_k^T - k_k^T,$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $K$ is a $k\times k$ matrix with its element at the $i$th row and $j$th column as

$$k_{ij} = \exp(-d_{ERP}^2(x_i, x_j)/\sigma^2),$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

and $k$ is a $k\times 1$ vector with its element

$$k_i = \exp(-d_{ERP}^2(x_i, x_i)/\sigma^2).$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

Once we have the Gram matrix $G_{ERP}$, we can use KDF-WKNN for pulse waveforms classification by solving the linear system of equations defined in (4). As a summary, the details of the GEKC algorithm are provided in Fig. 4.

---

### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In order to evaluate the performance of GEKC, we construct a dataset which includes 2470 pulse waveforms of five pulse patterns, moderate (M), smooth (S), taut (T), hollow (H), and unsmooth (U) pulse. All of the data are collected at Harbin Binghua Hospital from people of 15 to 75 years old. The pulse waveform datasets used in literature [6, 7] only contain less than or about 1000 waveforms, and this dataset may be the largest data set yet used in pulse waveforms classification. Table I lists the number of pulse waveforms for each pulse pattern. We made use of only one period from each pulse and normalized them all to the same length of 150 points by using bilinear interpolation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulse Patterns</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numbers</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>2470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We randomly split the dataset into three parts and applied 10 runs of 3-fold cross-validation to evaluate the classification performance of GEKC method. The hyperparameters, $k$, $\eta$, and $\sigma$ of GEKC, are chosen as $k = 31$, $\eta = 0.01$, $\sigma = 16$ using the stepwise selection strategy described in [11]. The specificity and the sensitivity obtained using GEKC are 78.76% and 91.74%, respectively.

To provide an objective comparison, we independently implemented two pulse waveform classification methods, i.e., IDTW [6] and wavelet network [7], and evaluate their performance on our dataset. The average classification rates...
of these two methods are listed in Table II. Besides, we also compare the proposed method with several related classification methods, i.e., 1NN-Euclidean (1NN-ED), 1NN-DTW, and 1NN-ERP. These results are also listed in Table II. From Table II, one can see that, in term of overall average classification accuracy, our method outperforms all the other methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>82.44</td>
<td>88.31</td>
<td>65.11</td>
<td>87.14</td>
<td>87.50</td>
<td><strong>91.25</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth</td>
<td>81.16</td>
<td>86.31</td>
<td>82.02</td>
<td>85.36</td>
<td>80.38</td>
<td><strong>87.09</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taut</td>
<td>87.85</td>
<td>93.10</td>
<td>95.76</td>
<td>93.76</td>
<td>90.62</td>
<td><strong>96.82</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow</td>
<td>82.44</td>
<td>87.56</td>
<td>86.75</td>
<td>85.63</td>
<td>84.44</td>
<td><strong>89.38</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsmooth</td>
<td>70.81</td>
<td>84.75</td>
<td>84.06</td>
<td>80.63</td>
<td>89.50</td>
<td><strong>86.88</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average accuracy</td>
<td>83.19</td>
<td>89.79</td>
<td>87.36</td>
<td>87.08</td>
<td>88.90</td>
<td><strong>91.74</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a Gaussian ERP kernel and a novel kernel classifier, GEKC, for pulse waveform classification. To evaluate the classification performance of GEKC, we built a dataset of 2470 pulse waveforms. Experimental results show that the proposed method achieves an average recognition accuracy of 91.74%, which is much higher than several other pulse waveforms classification methods.

One potential advantage of the proposed methods is to utilize the low bounds and metric property of ERP for fast pulse waveform classification and indexing [9]. In our future work, we will further investigate accurate and computationally efficient ERP-based classifiers for pulse waveform classification.
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