Small retinal vessels extraction towards proliferative diabetic retinopathy screening
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1. Introduction

Vessel extraction is important in the analysis of digital fundus images since it helps in diagnosing retinal diseases, especially in assessing the severity of the disease in borderline cases. The medical motivation towards the segmentation of blood vessels of retinal images is to suppress the background and accentuate the small vessels so that features such as abnormal branching, tortuosity, entropy, neovascularization become more visually prominent. These clinical markers help ophthalmologists in diagnosing various retinopathies especially diabetic retinopathy (DR), which is a major complication of diabetes. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), more than 220 million people worldwide have diabetes, and deaths due to diabetes are expected to double between 2005 and 2030. Also, the WHO claims that screening for diabetic retinopathy is a cost saving intervention which will help in reducing the burden of diabetes (World Health Organization Media Centre, 2009).

The main problem faced in vessel extraction of low resolution images is the efficient extraction of the smaller vessels. This is because during conventional pre-processing techniques like smoothing and normal histogram equalization, the smaller vessels get averaged out with the background. This results in the fusing of these vessels with the background, making it difficult to segment them out because of the low contrast with the background. Hence small vessel enhancement algorithm is applied to the retinal images for better accurate results. Though the small vessels are enhanced, the accuracy of the results would also depend on the efficiency of the vessel segmentation algorithm. It should be able to remove other structures like the optic disc, fovea centralis, etc. and extract only the retinal vessels, as false detections affect the accuracy of the result.

In the literatures, some attempts have already been made to extract retinal vessels from fundus images. Amongst these, scale-space analysis and region growing, mathematical morphology and curvature estimation, verification-based local thresholding, pixel classification, matched filter methods (Niemeijer, Staal, Van Ginneken, Loog, & Abràmoff, 2009), etc. are useful for vessel extraction in totality, but less attention has been given towards small vessel extraction. Matched filtering approaches such as Odstrčilík, Jan, Gazárek, and Kolář (2009) and Zhang, Li, You, and Zhang (2009) have been recently proposed. Zhang et al. (2009) emphasize on the detection of neovascularizations in case of proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR). In fact, the brightness level varies over different regions within the image viz., optic disc region is significantly brighter than rest. In such situation, global contrast enhancement technique is not a suitable preprocessing method. Furthermore, the brighter regions need to be suppressed so that the vessels of that region become more prominent. In the diagnostics of PDR, it is meaningful to consider the vessels in the optic disc region. In view of this, we here propose a computer-assisted methodology wherein contrast limited adaptive histogram equalization (CLAHE) is used to preprocess the retinal images.

The images and database used are described in Section 2.1. Section 2.2 deals with the framework of our segmentation method based on the 2D-Gabor filter and double-sided thresholding method. In Section 3, results are shown and discussed in order to show its novelty.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Retinal imaging and acquisition

In this study, the fundus images are downloaded from DRIVE database (http://www.isi.uu.nl/Research/Databases/DRIVE/). These retinal photographs were obtained from a diabetic retinopathy screening program in Netherlands. The screening population consisted of 400 diabetic subjects between 25 and 90 years of age. Forty photographs have been randomly selected, 33 do not show any sign of diabetic retinopathy and 7 show signs of mild early diabetic retinopathy. Each image has been JPEG compressed. The images were acquired using a Canon CR5 non-mydriatic 3CCD camera with a 45° field of view (FOV). Each image was captured using 8 bits per color plane at 768 × 584 pixels. The FOV of each image is circular with a diameter of approximately 540 pixels. For this database, the images have been cropped around the FOV. For each image, a mask image is provided that delineates the FOV. Fig. 1 shows a sample of the input image we have used in this paper.

Table 1
The segmentation results using the proposed scheme are provided along with its ground truths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original image</th>
<th>Ground truth vessels</th>
<th>Reconstructed vessels by our scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original image</th>
<th>Ground truth vessels</th>
<th>Reconstructed vessels by our scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Original Image 1" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Ground Truth Vessels 1" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Reconstructed Vessels 1" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Original Image 2" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Ground Truth Vessels 2" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Reconstructed Vessels 2" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Original Image 3" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Ground Truth Vessels 3" /></td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Reconstructed Vessels 3" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Original Image 4" /></td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Ground Truth Vessels 4" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Reconstructed Vessels 4" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Original Image 5" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Ground Truth Vessels 5" /></td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Reconstructed Vessels 5" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Original Image 6" /></td>
<td><img src="image17" alt="Ground Truth Vessels 6" /></td>
<td><img src="image18" alt="Reconstructed Vessels 6" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Methodology

The following flow diagram represents the framework of our segmentation method.

```
Input Image
Color Retinal Fundus Image

Green Channel image

Apply CLAHE, with a high clip limit ($\beta$)
Apply CLAHE, with a low clip limit ($\beta$)
Apply CLAHE, with a low clip limit ($\beta$)

Obtain Gabor Kernels for small vessels by using $\sigma=1.3$
Obtain Gabor Kernels for small vessels by using $\sigma=1.3$
Obtain Gabor Kernels for large vessels by using $\sigma=5.8$

Rotate kernel in 12 different directions with 15° spacing
Rotate kernel in 12 different directions with 15° spacing
Rotate kernel in 12 different directions with 15° spacing

Convolve the kernels separately with the pre-processed image
Convolve the kernels separately with the pre-processed image
Convolve the kernels separately with the pre-processed image

Perform double sided thresholding in x and y direction
Perform double sided thresholding in x and y direction
Perform double sided thresholding in x and y direction

Scan the resultant of each image in x and y direction and choose max response
Scan the resultant of each image in x and y direction and choose max response
Scan the resultant of each image in x and y direction and choose max response

Form a vessel map ($V_{il}$) by choosing max response from each thresholding result.
Form a vessel map ($V_{il}$) by choosing max response from each thresholding result.
Form a vessel map ($V_{il}$) by choosing max response from each thresholding result.

Reconstruction by performing hysteresis thresholding to form small Vessel Map ($V_{il}$) by using ($V_{il}$) as marker and ($V_{il}$) as mask
Reconstruction by performing hysteresis thresholding to form large Vessel Map ($V_{il}$) by using ($V_{il}$) as marker and ($V_{il}$) as mask

Output Image
Extracted Vessels

Fuse the two images to form the Final Vessel Map ($V_{f}$) from $V_{l}$ and $V_{s}$
```

2.2.1. Preprocessing

As we can see in the flow chart, this algorithm is specially designed to extract the smallest vessels from the input image. The green channel image contains the most amount of information since there is a higher contrast between the vessel pixels and the non-vessel pixels (Al-Rawi, Qutaishat, & Arrar, 2009).

Global based contrast enhancement techniques do not produce efficient results as the retinal image has different brightness regions such as the optic disk, macular region, etc. (Alex Stark, 2000; Pang et al., 2009). Hence CLAHE is used for enhancing the retinal vasculature adaptively. The image is divided into 8 × 8 tiles and histogram equalization is applied locally to each of the non-overlapping contextual regions (Fig. 2). Clipping of the local histograms using a clip limit (β) ensures that the local contrast is limited and not increased to maximum.

Since the large vessels are easily differentiated from the background because of their inherent high contrast, we use a low clip limit for the CLAHE. For small vessels, we need to apply a higher clip limit because of their low contrast difference with the background. However, a high clip limit also increases the noise in the image, thus increasing the probability of obtaining false detections. To counter this problem, we use a hysteresis thresholding algorithm proposed in Reza (2004).

2.2.2. Retinal vessel segmentation

After applying the different clip limits to the images, we use the 2D Gabor matched filter approach for segmentation. The matched filter approach was first proposed by Chaudhuri et al. (1989). The retinal vessel is a tube like structure whose cross section can be mathematically correlated to a Gabor response (Zhang, Wu, & Pang, 2009). We have used a truncated 2D Gabor response filter to mathematically correlate to a Gabor response (Zhang, Wu, & Pang, 2009). The matched filter approach was first proposed by Chaudhuri et al. (1989). The matched filter approach for segmentation. The matched filtering algorithm proposed in Reza (2004).

We have

\[
G(x, y) = \exp \left(-\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}\right)\right) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi x_1}{\lambda}\right)
\]

A window size of 15 × 15 was used, which proved to give a precise result with less computation load. Since the images contain vessels of varying width, we have used 2 values of σ, a smaller value for small vessels and a larger value for the large vessels. The thresholding method used in Zhang et al. (2009) has been followed since it can be adapted to the Gabor filter.

(a) Double-sided thresholding

Let ‘r(x)’ denote the peak of the truncated Gabor function. The threshold value ‘T’ is defined at the points \(x = (x + d)\) and \(x = (x - d)\) as in the following inequalities:

\[
R(x) - r(x - d) > T
\]

(5)

\[
R(x) - r(x + d) > T
\]

(6)

Now, ‘d’ is obtained by multiplying ‘σ’ by a constant ‘c’

\[
T = c \times t
\]

(7)

where \(c\) is a constant obtained empirically

\[
t = r(x) - r(x + d) = r(x) - r(x - d)
\]

(8)

\[
t = 1 - \left(\exp \left(-\frac{9}{4}\right)\right) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi d}{\lambda}\right)
\]

(9)

Note that for the extraction of the smaller vessels the threshold value ‘T’ is multiplied by 0.5 (empirical).

Since each convolution result (from each direction) yields in maximum intensity pixels representing vessels in that direction, we compare the pixel values for all the images and choose the maximum intensity value to form the vessel map representing the vessels in all the chosen orientations. We thus have two images for the small vessels and one for the large vessel. In order to deal with the noise in the image, we use the hysteresis thresholding algorithm proposed in Reza (2004).

(b) Hysteresis thresholding

By following this algorithm, first proposed by Canny (1986), we have been able to construct two vessel maps, namely \(V_s\) and \(V_l\) representing the small vessels and large vessels, respectively. To reconstruct \(V_s\), we followed the method used in Zhang et al. (2009), that is, using a lower threshold \(T_s\) which is a scaled down version of the higher threshold \(T_l\). For obtaining the vessel map representing the small vessels \(V_s\), we have used the images \(V_{sl}\) which has been obtained after pre-processing the green channel image with a high clip limit and \(V_{sh}\), which has been obtained after the use of a higher clip limit to emphasize the smaller vessels. The image \(V_{sl}\) contains the smallest vessels and some noise, whereas \(V_{sh}\) has been thresholded to obtain the main small vessels, with the least possible amount of noise. Candidate pixels from \(V_{sl}\) are therefore scanned within their neighborhood and tested if they link to vessels in \(V_{sh}\). The vessel map \(V_s\) is therefore reconstructed. After obtaining the vessel maps, we fuse them by choosing the higher pixel value from each vessel map and putting in the final image \(V_s\).

2.3. Performance evaluation

For testing our results, we have used the DRIVE database ground truth images and mask images to compare with our results. The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were found out by com-
paring the results with the ground truth images. Maximum average accuracy (MAA) over the 20 test images of the DRIVE database was computed and average of those parameters was used to generate the average accuracy for each image. Considering the pixels in the field of view (FOV),

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{\text{Correctly classified vessel pixels}}{\text{No. of vessel pixels in ground truth}}
\]

(10)

\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{\text{Correctly classified background pixels}}{\text{No. of background pixels in ground truth}}
\]

(11)

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{Correctly classified pixels (vessel and background)}}{\text{Total no. of pixels in ground truth}}
\]

(12)

3. Results and discussion

The experiment was performed for the set of 20 test images of the DRIVE database on a 1.66 GHz processor. The time taken for the segmentation process of each image was approximately 20 s. Using the above testing algorithm, we found out the maximum average accuracy (MAA) for each image by varying the threshold parameters for large and small vessels, \(T_v\) and \(T_b\) respectively, and the clip limit \(\beta\) for the small vessels. The clip limit for the larger vessels was kept constant at 0.01. An average of the optimal parameters was computed for the 20 images and by setting \(T_v\), \(T_b\) and \(\beta\) to their corresponding average optimal values, the average accuracy was calculated (see Tables 1 and 2).

The proposed technique for vessel segmentation provides better average accuracy (93.1%) as well as maximum average accuracy (93.4%) as compared to the maximum average accuracy (92.9%) obtained without CLAHE.

4. Conclusion

The main contribution of this technique is in the detection of small vessels using an appropriate preprocessing technique, which proves to be useful in enhancing the contrast without causing an increase in the number of false positives. Compared to other vessel extraction techniques which do not require a supervised method, this technique provides a very high accuracy and sensitivity. Moreover, the accuracy can further be increased by making use of higher resolution images than that of the DRIVE database. Furthermore, the algorithm can be tuned (by changing the vessel widths and threshold values) so as to obtain the desired result, depending on the pathology. This gives us an edge over other supervised techniques towards the diagnosis of PDR wherein neovascularization detection is of great importance. The main drawback of this approach is that for each set of images, the threshold has to be manually set for the best result.
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