Frequency specific spatial interactions in human electrocorticography: V1 alpha oscillations reflect surround suppression
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A B S T R A C T
Electrical brain signals are often decomposed into frequency ranges that are implicated in different functions. Using subdural electrocorticography (ECoG, intracranial EEG) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), we measured frequency spectra and BOLD responses in primary visual cortex (V1) and intraparietal sulcus (IPS). In V1 and IPS, 30–120 Hz (gamma, broadband) oscillations allowed population receptive field (pRF) reconstruction comparable to fMRI estimates. Lower frequencies, however, responded very differently in V1 and IPS. In V1, broadband activity extends down to 3 Hz. In the 4–7 Hz (theta) and 18–30 Hz (beta) ranges broadband activity increases power during stimulation within the pRF. However, V1 9–12 Hz (alpha) frequency oscillations showed a different time course. The broadband power here is exceeded by a frequency-specific power increase during stimulation of the area outside the pRF. As such, V1 alpha oscillations reflected surround suppression of the pRF, much like negative fMRI responses. They were consequently highly localized, depending on stimulus and pRF position, and independent between nearby electrodes. In IPS, all 3–25 Hz oscillations were strongest during baseline recording and correlated between nearby electrodes, consistent with large-scale disengagement. These findings demonstrate V1 alpha oscillations result from locally active functional processes and relate these alpha oscillations to negative fMRI signals. They highlight that similar oscillations in different areas reflect processes with different functional roles. However, both of these roles of alpha seem to reflect suppression of spiking activity.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Electrical signals arising from synchronized human neural activity are characterized by components oscillating at different frequencies, associated with different aspects of neural processing. This oscillatory activity can result from cyclical interactions of excitatory and inhibitory pools of neurons, but this general description typically covers a large range of possible neural mechanisms (Ermentrout and Kopell, 1998; Jones et al., 2000; Kopell et al., 2000; Traub et al., 1998).

In particular, 9–12 Hz (alpha) oscillations, commonly recorded using electro- and magneto-encephalography (EEG and MEG), may be involved in functionally important computations within the local neural population (Cooper et al., 2003, 2006; Jensen and Mazaheri, 2010; Palva et al., 2005a, 2005b) or may simply reflect large-scale disengagement of task-irrelevant areas (Klimesch, 1996; Klimesch et al., 2007; Pfurtscheller, 2001, 2003; Ray and Cole, 1985). Computations within the local neural population suggest interactions with local neurons, while large-scale disengagement is likely to involve interactions with an inhibitory population in another part of the brain. Distinguishing between these possibilities is hindered by the low spatial resolution of recordings made outside the skull using EEG and MEG.

Here, we measure responses to visual stimuli using fMRI and electrocorticography (ECoG, intracranial EEG) in the same human subject. Both techniques have higher spatial resolution than EEG and MEG, and as such allow measurement of the aggregate neuronal receptive field within each recording site, the population receptive field (pRF) (Dumoulin and Wandell, 2008; Yoshor et al., 2007). We use pRF analysis to determine which stimulus positions elicit responses at the recording site. In early visual cortex, visual stimulation of areas outside the preferred visual field position of the neural population within an fMRI voxel causes decreases in BOLD fMRI signals (Logothetis, 2002; Tajima et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2003; Zenger-Landolt and Heeger, 2003), known as negative BOLD responses (NBR). The NBR are of
neural origin (Shmuel et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2004a). Recently, we extended the pRF model to include suppressive surrounds that capture the NBR (Zuiderbaan et al., 2012). The pRF surround influences signals at the recording site comparably to the suppressive surround of classical RF responses seen in electrophysiology (Carandini, 2004; Cavanaugh et al., 2002; Fitzpatrick, 2000).

We demonstrate that measurements of neural oscillations show a clear signature of surround suppression at alpha frequencies in V1 in the absence of classical receptive field stimulation. This process is likely to be a major source of alpha activity measured on the scalp near the occipital pole. The high spatial resolution of ECoG allows us to measure the local components of this activity, and demonstrate that it is tightly localized. Low frequency oscillations in IPS, including alpha, are more broadband and less local, suggesting that they result from a different process, such as inter-area large-scale disengagement.

Materials and methods

Subject information

The subject was a right-handed 20-year-old man with medically intractable seizures for 5 years. The subject had an aura of right hand tingling and showed speech arrest during seizures. MRI and FDG–PET were negative. ictal EEG suggested a left-sided seizure onset in the parieto-temporo-occipital area. Because of the negative imaging results and the close relation to Wernicke's area, he underwent a subdural implantation of electrode grids covering the area. The imaging stimulation scheme included placement of electrodes to sample from more posterior areas, including IPS and V1.

All candidates for subdural implantation underwent preoperative fMRI for clinical purposes and are asked to participate in ancillary scientific studies that include visual tests during fMRI and ECoG. Two months before electrode placement, BOLD fMRI was used to localize visual areas. After implantation, a computed tomography (CT) scan was aligned to the pre-surgical structural MRI, with compensation for brain shift caused by implantation surgery (Hermes et al., 2010). Clinical analysis determined that the seizures did not originate near the reported electrode sites. After seizure recordings, the subject underwent a left anterior temporal lobectomy and amygdalohippocampectomy and remains seizure-free at almost 1 year of follow-up. The subject gave informed consent and this study was approved by the ethical committee of the University Medical Center Utrecht, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 2008.

fMRI

Stimuli

Visual stimuli were presented by back-projection onto a 15.0 × 7.9 cm screen inside the MRI bore. The subject viewed the display through mirrors and the total distance from the subject’s eyes (in the scanner) to the display screen was 41 cm. Display resolution was 1024 × 768 pixels. Stimuli were constrained to circular area filling the screen's vertical dimension, with any area outside this circle remaining at constant mean luminance. The resulting visual stimulus was the NBR (ROIs) by relation to visual areas. After implantation, a computed tomography (CT) scan was aligned to the pre-surgical structural MRI, with compensation for brain shift caused by implantation surgery (Hermes et al., 2010). Clinical analysis determined that the seizures did not originate near the reported electrode sites. After seizure recordings, the subject underwent a left anterior temporal lobectomy and amygdalohippocampectomy and remains seizure-free at almost 1 year of follow-up. The subject gave informed consent and this study was approved by the ethical committee of the University Medical Center Utrecht, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 2008.

fMRI data acquisition

Anatomical MRI data were acquired on a Philips Achieva 3T scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands) with a Quasar Dual gradient set. T1-weighted anatomical MRI data were acquired at an isotropic resolution of 1 mm3, with a field of view (FOV) of 288 × 288 × 175 mm. Repetition time (TR) was 9.958 ms, echo time (TE) was 4.59 ms, and flip angle was 8°. Functional T2*-weighted 2D echo planar images were acquired on a Philips 7T scanner using a 16 channel head coil (Nova Medical, Wilmington, MA, USA) at a resolution of 2.06 × 2.06 × 2.06 mm, with an FOV of 230 × 175 × 85.1 mm. TR was 1500 ms, TE was 25 ms, and flip angle was 80°. Functional scans were each 168 time frames (252 seconds) in duration, of which the first eight time frames (12 seconds) were discarded to ensure the signal was at steady state. Three repeated scans were acquired within the same session.

Preprocessing of anatomical and functional images

fMRI analysis was performed in the mrVista software package for MATLAB, which is freely available at (http://white.stanford.edu/software/). T1-weighted anatomical scans were automatically segmented using FSL (Smith et al., 2004b) and then hand-edited to minimize segmentation errors (Teo et al., 1997) using ITK-SNAP (Yushkevich et al., 2006). The cortical surface was reconstructed at the gray-white matter border and rendered as a smoothed 3D surface (Wandell et al., 2000). Head movement and motion artifacts between and within functional scans were measured and corrected for Nestares and Heeger (2000). Functional data were then averaged across scans. Functional data were aligned to anatomical scans (Nestares and Heeger, 2000) and interpolated to the anatomical segmentation.

fMRI data-analysis

Population receptive field sizes and positions were estimated from the fMRI data and the visual stimulus position time course as described elsewhere (Dumoulin and Wandell, 2008). Briefly, the response of each recording site was predicted using a two-dimensional Difference of Gaussian (DoG) pRF model (Zuiderbaan et al., 2012). This modeled the center location (x and y parameters), the spread (σx) of the voxels’ most responsive position to the stimulus, and the spread of the suppressive surround (σz). The predicted fMRI time course was calculated by convolution of the modeled pRF, the stimulus sequence and a fit BOLD hemodynamic response function (Harvey and Dumoulin, 2011). The pRF parameters for each voxel were determined by minimizing the sum of squared errors (RSS) between the predicted and observed fMRI time series.

The resulting visual field maps (pRF positions) were rendered onto an inflated cortical surface (Wandell et al., 2000), and the positions of visual field maps were determined and defined as regions of interest (ROIs) by relation to visual field representation (Sereno et al., 1995; Wandell et al., 2007).
ECoG

Stimuli

In ECoG recordings, stimuli were presented to the subject using a 15-inch LCD display (Samsung Syncmaster 214T, Seoul, Korea), measuring 30.5 × 22.9 cm, driven by a Toshiba Tecra S10-101 laptop (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan). Display resolution was 1024 × 768 pixels. Viewed at a distance of 87 cm, the stimulus circle had a radius of 7.5°.

The visual stimuli were identical to the ones used in the fMRI experiment, except that the stimulus was 36% larger than that used in the fMRI experiment (7.5° radius versus 5.5°). Therefore, all visual angle measurements in this stimulus are 36% larger.

ECoG data acquisition

Arrays of ECoG electrodes (AdTech, Racine, WI, USA) were implanted subdurally for localization of seizure foci during the course of epilepsy treatment. These platinum electrodes had an interelectrode spacing of 1 cm and were 2.3 mm in diameter of exposed surface. One day after surgical electrode placement, a high resolution (0.5 × 0.5 × 1 mm) 3D CT scan was made to locate the electrodes (Philips Tomoscan SR 7000). The resulting electrode positions were projected to the nearest cortical surface point in the anatomical MRI (Hermes et al., 2010), which were then located relative to the visual field maps defined by fMRI analysis (Murphey et al., 2009). ECoG data were acquired with a 128 channel recording system (Micromed, Treviso, Italy) with 512 Hz sampling rate and 0.15–134.4 Hz bandpass filter. We localized two subdural electrodes to primary visual cortex (V1) and three electrodes to visual field maps along the medial side of the intraparietal sulcus (IPS2, two electrodes; IPS3, one electrode) (Fig. 1a). We elicited responses from these electrodes by showing the visual stimulus described above.

ECoG data analysis

Electrodes located in the occipital and parietal lobes were selected for analysis. The ECoG time-courses were re-referenced to the common average reference of all intracranial electrodes. A fast Fourier transform (FFT) notch filter was applied between 49 and 52 Hz to remove line noise around 50 Hz.

In line with the fMRI data and stimulus sequence, we divided the ECoG time-course into successive epochs of 1500 ms. Frequency components of each epoch of each electrode channel were determined using EEGLab (Delorme and Makeig, 2004). For each epoch, power spectral density (PSD) was determined at frequencies of 1 to 125 Hz in 0.1 Hz intervals. Epochs were divided into overlapping 500 ms time windows, whose signals were combined using Welch’s averaged periodogram method (Welch, 1967), and a Hamming window to attenuate edge effects.

For most analyses, we grouped a range of frequencies together and determined the mean PSD in each epoch. However, the range of gamma frequencies (30–120 Hz) examined was very large, and the amplitude is very low at higher frequencies. As a result, the difference in amplitude would lead lower frequencies to dominate the mean signal. When we plotted the maximum amplitude at each frequency on a log-log axis, the amplitude was well fit by a straight line with a negative slope, as can be seen in Fig. 3b. A similar relationship has been reported in previous studies (Miller et al., 2009a, 2009b). We therefore normalized the amplitude at each frequency by this relationship, fit over data from all electrodes. Thus, the normalized amplitude was very similar at each frequency (Fig. 1b), which allowed us to average the data together over the entire gamma range with each frequency contributing similarly to the resulting signal.

This mean normalized PSD time course was then used to estimate the pRF properties, as described in for fMRI data. All V1 and IPS electrodes show increased 30–120 Hz power when the contrast-defined

Fig. 1. Electrocorticographic pRF analysis. (a) Electrode locations on the left cortical surface. Inset shows a still frame from the contrast-defined bar stimulus, with gray arrows indicating the movement directions of the checks, and black arrows indicating the step direction of the bar. (b) Normalized power spectral density (PSD) across frequency and time for V1 electrode 1. Icons above indicate the stimulus sequence, showing different bar sweeps and mean luminance blocks (black). PSD amplitudes reveal the eight passes of the contrast-defined bar through the pRF. (c) Average PSD across 30–120 Hz (gamma) frequencies and corresponding pRF fit, shown by black and red lines, respectively. Epochs in which the stimulus fell within the pRF (two standard deviations) are shown along the bottom in red, stimulus positions outside the pRF are shown in blue and baseline stimulus presentation in black.
bar was in a certain visual field position, allowing reliable estimates of pRF properties. For ECoG data, the predicted time course of the gamma frequency band mean power during each epoch was calculated by convolution of the modeled pRF and the stimulus sequence. Data and fits for a representative electrode are shown in Fig. 1c. The pRF properties measured were similar to those previously reported for fMRI and ECoG (Dumoulin and Wandell, 2008; Yoshor et al., 2007).

In a separate analysis (Fig. 3), we classified the epochs into three categories, as shown in Fig. 1c: those when the contrast-defined bar was inside the electrode’s pRF, outside the pRF, or where no contrast-defined bar was shown (baseline). We defined epochs where the bar was inside an electrode’s pRF when any part of the contrast-defined bar was within two standard deviations (2σR) of the pRF center. When a contrast-defined bar was shown, but this was not inside the pRF, the epoch was classified as stimulation outside the pRF. Epochs where no contrast-defined bar was shown (baseline) were determined from the stimulus sequence only. The power at each frequency for the mean epoch in each condition is shown in Figs. 3b and e. The results for the three conditions were compared using a general linear model to determine how well the response at each frequency band was predicted by each stimulus condition (Figs. 3c, f), with a sliding 3 Hz window of frequencies, centered at the frequency given on the x-axis.

When comparing oscillatory power between electrodes (Fig. 4) data were normalized based on the mean power during stimulation inside the pRF (taken as zero for alpha power and one for gamma power) and mean power during stimulation outside the pRF (taken as one for alpha power and zero for gamma power). Normalized alpha and gamma power in each epoch were compared using a paired t-test.

Results

In V1 and IPS gamma oscillations increase during visual stimulation of the preferred visual field locations

All V1 and IPS electrodes show increased 30–120 Hz power when the contrast-defined bar was in a certain visual field position, allowing reliable estimates of pRF properties, as described in the Methods. A representative pRF fit for a V1 electrode is shown in Fig. 1c. The pRF properties measured were similar to those previously reported for fMRI and ECoG (Dumoulin and Wandell, 2008; Yoshor et al., 2007), and are given in Table 1. In both V1 and IPS electrodes, power increased over this whole 30–120 Hz frequency range, with no clear peaks in power at specific frequencies (Figs. 1b, 3b, e). Such broadband changes in power have been reported before (Miller et al., 2009a, 2009b; Ray and Maunsell, 2011) and are referred to as broadband rather than gamma, acknowledging that different processes underlie broadband power changes in the gamma range and changes at specific frequencies. We refer to this activity as gamma to denote this frequency range, though the changes in power here are clearly broadband in nature.

In V1 electrodes, this broadband range extended into the 3–7 Hz (theta) and 18–25 Hz (beta) bands. Therefore, theta, beta and gamma frequency ranges showed significantly increased activity when the pRF was stimulated, giving very similar responses (Figs. 3a–c). However, in IPS electrodes, PSD in the 3–25 Hz frequency range (theta, alpha and beta, Figs. 3d and e) significantly increased during baseline compared to stimulation either inside or outside the pRF (Figs. 3e and f). We also find increases in 3–25 Hz power during baseline in several other IPS electrodes whose gamma range power did not vary with stimulus position, i.e. in electrodes where no pRF could be fit. As such, theta and beta power are greatest during the baseline condition in IPS, but during stimulation within the pRF in V1. Alpha (9–12 Hz) power is also greatest during the baseline condition in IPS.

In V1 but not IPS alpha frequency oscillations increase during visual stimulation outside preferred visual field locations

Using fMRI, visual stimulation outside the pRF in early visual cortex decreases BOLD amplitudes below baseline, a negative BOLD response (Logothetis, 2002; Shmuel et al., 2006; Zuiderbaan et al., 2012). The addition of a surround to the pRF organization can capture these negative BOLD responses (Zuiderbaan et al., 2012). This is clear in fMRI responses at the sites where these electrodes were implanted, shown by the fit response profiles in Fig. 2. In the ECoG data (Fig. 1), however, gamma power in V1 electrodes never drops below baseline when the pRF’s suppressive surround is stimulated. Consequently, we find no influence of surround suppression on pRF estimates derived from gamma activity (Fig. 2). This is true for all frequencies, except the narrow 9–12 Hz range (alpha oscillations, Figs. 3b and c).

To quantify these observations, we compared PSD over a broad range of frequencies during epochs when the contrast-defined bar was inside or outside the pRF or during baseline (Fig. 3c), using a general linear model (GLM) with the stimulus conditions (inside pRF, outside pRF and baseline recording) as regressors. Increases in alpha power were highly significantly predicted by the presence of the contrast-defined bar outside the pRF. The absence of a contrast-defined bar also predicted increased alpha power, though this effect was much less significant. At all other frequencies, stimulation inside the pRF predicted increases in power. We propose that this increase in alpha PSD during stimulation outside the pRF is elicited by stimulation of the suppressive surround. Surround stimulation in IPS electrodes predicts a slight increase in power in the gamma range, which reaches significance in some electrodes at some high frequencies. This may result from an imperfect description of the receptive field extent, particularly considering that pRFs fit from the IPS ECoG data are smaller than those from the fMRI data (Table 1, Fig. 2). We see no negative BOLD response with surround stimulation in fMRI of IPS visual field maps and, unlike in V1, no power increases at low frequencies.

V1 alpha oscillations are highly localized and depend on pRF and stimulus positions

If the changes in gamma and alpha power are associated with center and surround stimulation, they should reflect local processes and nearby cortical locations should show different responses depending on the local pRF properties. On the cortical surface the centers of the two electrodes within V1 were one centimeter apart whereas their visual field representations were partly overlapping. This configuration allowed us to examine responses when the contrast-defined bar was inside and outside both pRFs. These responses were used to normalize the gamma and alpha frequency responses (Figs. 4a and b).

In addition, this configuration allowed us to examine the several epochs where the contrast-defined bar fell inside one V1 electrode’s
Discussion

Summary

Local visual field stimulation increases broadband power at retinotopically corresponding sites in both V1 and IPS visual field maps. As broadband activity is not obscured by rhythmic oscillations that dominate the ECoG signal at lower frequencies, we are able to fit population receptive field (pRF) models in the 30–120 Hz range (gamma and high gamma). When stimulating outside these pRFs, 9–12 Hz power (alpha) increases at sites in V1, but not in IPS. This increase in alpha power is tightly localized: alpha power is uncorrelated between electrodes separated by one centimeter and differs if the stimulus falls inside the pRF of one electrode (low alpha power) but outside the pRF of a nearby electrode (high alpha power). When no stimulus is shown, low frequency power increases at IPS sites over the whole 3–25 Hz range. Here, variations in low-frequency power are highly correlated between sites separated by over two centimeters. During this baseline condition a small alpha-specific power increase is seen in V1.

These results demonstrate that stimulation within the pRF leads to broadband increases in LFP power, associated with spiking activity (Manning et al., 2009; Whittingstall and Logothetis, 2009). Furthermore, V1 alpha oscillations reflect surround suppression, an active and computationally important process, and as such are tightly localized. When visual stimulation is absent, low frequency power increases, including alpha, in IPS suggest a large-scale suppression of activity.

Role of alpha oscillations in resting and suppression

Two dominant functional roles are proposed to underlie alpha (9–12 Hz) oscillations. Both reflect suppression of broadband (spiking) activity. One idea suggests that alpha oscillations reflect functionally important processing at a local scale (Cooper et al., 2003, 2006; Jensen et al., 2010; Palva et al., 2005a, 2005b), whereas the other idea proposes that alpha reflects disengagement of task-irrelevant areas at a larger spatial scale (Klimesch, 1996; Klimesch et al., 2007; Pfurtscheller, 2001, 2003; Ray and Cole, 1985). We find evidence for both proposals.

In V1, variations in alpha oscillation amplitudes are highly localized and vary as a function the stimulus position and the local pRF properties, in particular the surround. This strongly supports the former proposal in V1.

We also find evidence for the latter proposal. During baseline – resting – recording, we find an increase in power over the whole 3–25 Hz range in IPS, even in electrodes whose gamma range activity did not vary with stimulus position. Previous human ECoG work has also demonstrated that low-frequency oscillations in the absence of
stimulate suppress broadband activity (Miller et al., 2010). In line with the idea of large-scale disengagement, we find that the time courses of low-frequency power changes are well correlated between recording sites separated by over two centimeters.

Also during baseline, in V1 we find a peak in oscillatory power specific to the alpha band. This peak in resting V1 alpha power only slightly exceeds the broadband power in the alpha range during pRF center stimulation. However, the frequency-specificity of this peak implicates some specific process, distinct from the broadband increase during stimulation within the pRF. Miller and colleagues suggest that principal component decomposition of the signal would reveal far higher alpha power in the baseline condition (Miller et al., 2009b). In line with this observation, others have shown an increase in low frequency oscillations during baseline in V1 using human brain mapping (Bartolo et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2010) and animal single unit recordings (Okun et al., 2010). Variations in alpha power during baseline recording in V1, unlike in IPS, are not correlated between nearby electrodes, implicating that the same local process generates alpha oscillations during surround stimulation.

Mechanism of suppression in V1

When the stimulus was shown in the surround, there was an increase in alpha power in V1, but no decrease in broadband activity below baseline. As such, there is no direct evidence of suppression of neural activity. In line with the very low baseline spiking activity in V1 (Hubel and Wiesel, 1959), we speculate that broadband activity is already at its minimum in the baseline condition. In line with previous studies (Carandini, 2004; Cavanaugh et al., 2002; Goldman et al., 2002; Goncalves et al., 2006; Miller et al., 2009b, 2010; Zuiderbaan et al., 2012), we interpret this increase in alpha power as the influence of a suppressive mechanism.

There are at least two plausible mechanisms by which surround suppression might lead to an increase in alpha frequencies. First, GABAergic interneurons have been implicated in V1 surround suppression (Fitzpatrick, 2000) and alpha oscillations (Crunelli and Leresche, 1991; Jones et al., 2000; Lopes da Silva et al., 1976; Marshall et al., 2002). The highly local nature of these alpha oscillations implicates intra-cortical connections and/or tightly localized cortico-cortical connections in surround suppression (Schwabe et al., 2006, 2010). Second, recent work in cats suggests that surround suppression may result from decreased non-thalamic excitatory drive rather than increased inhibition (Ozeki et al., 2009). Here the authors suggest that V1 must operate as an inhibition-stabilized network in which excitatory recurrence destabilizes visual responses. This non-inhibitory origin of surround suppression is also a good candidate for the mechanism involved here.

We speculate that the V1 alpha oscillations reflect subthreshold changes in membrane potential of the underlying neural population. Electrophysiological measurements of spiking activity typically report that surround suppression modulates the spiking activity of neurons only when the classical receptive field is stimulated at the same time (Carandini, 2004; Cavanaugh et al., 2002; Fitzpatrick, 2000). Analogous to spiking rates, this interaction of the stimulated receptive field with its suppressive surround seems to produce a modulation of gamma-band oscillations (Gieselmann and Thiele, 2008; Ray and Maunsell, 2011). However, stimulating the surround alone does not decrease spiking rates, most likely due to the low baseline firing rate of neurons in primary visual cortex. Because of this lack of effect on spiking activity without classical receptive field stimulation, surround suppression is often referred to as “extraclassical” and “silent.” Unlike spiking activity, fMRI BOLD signals have significant baseline activity, and decrease below baseline when only stimulating the surround (Zuiderbaan et al., 2012) and may also be driven more by changes in membrane potential rather than spiking rates (Logothetis et al., 2001). Alpha oscillations provide an electrophysiological signature of this “silent” suppression.

Surround suppression is modulated by attention (Muller and Kleinschmidt, 2004). We did not attempt to manipulate our subject’s attention, except giving a simple task at fixation. As such, it is possible that this attentional modulation of surround suppression affects our measurements.

Links between ECoG with fMRI signals

Our results link broadband ECoG oscillations to increases in BOLD responses, and alpha oscillations to decreases (negative) in BOLD responses. Both observations are in line with previous studies.

In all electrodes broadband power in the gamma range allows reliable pRF estimates comparable to previous measurements using fMRI (Dumoulin and Wandell, 2008; Harvey and Dumoulin, 2011; Yoshor et al., 2007). Similar pRF estimates support the finding that increases in BOLD responses are correlated with gamma activity in EEG (Mukamel et al., 2005; Niessing et al., 2005). Broadband power at beta and theta frequencies also allowed similar pRF estimates in V1 but not in IPS. This difference seems to be because the power of rhythmic oscillations during baseline recording in IPS exceeds the increase in broadband power in during stimulation inside the pRF (Miller et al., 2009b). Recent studies suggest that spiking activity generates these broadband power changes (Manning et al., 2009;...
Whittingstall and Logothetis, 2009). This relationship suggests that the broadband power increase we see during stimulation inside the pRF results from an increase in spike rate, which should be evident at the scale of ECoG recordings (Miller, 2010; Okun et al., 2010).

These observations also link ECoG alpha oscillations to negative BOLD, in line with the observations that negative BOLD responses are correlated with alpha activity (Goldman et al., 2002; Goncalves et al., 2006; Mukamel et al., 2005; Scheeringa et al., 2009). Notably, like spiking activity but unlike the BOLD response, broadband power in V1 electrodes never drops below baseline when only the pRF's suppressive surround is stimulated, consistent with reports of local field potentials in macaque V1 (Gieselmann and Thiele, 2008).

Conclusion

This study demonstrates a link between alpha oscillations and surround suppression in V1. These alpha oscillations are highly localized. This provides a clear role for alpha oscillations in local neural computations.

These alpha oscillations suppress broadband (spiking) activity. The same suppressive mechanism also seems to be involved in producing negative (below baseline) BOLD responses in V1.

Low-frequency oscillations in IPS visual field maps also increase during baseline recording, which also seems to be involved in suppression of broadband (spiking) activity. However, the time courses of these oscillations are highly correlated over large areas of the cortical surface, implicating a larger-scale mechanism than operates in V1, and consistent with a role of alpha as a large-scale inhibitor of task-irrelevant areas. This contrasts with the role in local computations in V1. These results suggest that alpha oscillations can be involved in distinct functional roles, but these both involve suppressive mechanisms.
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