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ABSTRACT

Wireless sensor networks (WSN) consist of many nodes that are usually created to identify environmental incidents. Each of these nodes includes sensor, processor, communication components (antenna), small memory, and a source of energy. In wireless sensor networks’ applications, faulty nodes always cause crucial problems and error in the network. For example, failure of some nodes may pull some parts of network into isolation, or in a worse case, the entire network may stop working, or decision about the occurrence of events may be corrupted. This paper proposes a new method to detect faulty nodes in the WSN using fuzzy logic and majority voting technique. By using the attributes of the fuzzy logic like interpretation, etc. in corporate majority voting technique can overcome the problem of faulty nodes, efficiently. In the proposed method, the fuzzy logic uses to identify the ratio of the faulty nodes in the network and in each sub-network. Using the calculated ratio and an effective decision making system such as majority voting is used to detect the faulty node in WSN. Using this effective method increases the percentage of detecting faulty nodes, which resulted in decreasing computational complexity, end to end delay and energy consumption in WSN.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor networks (WSN) consist of many sensor nodes that sense its perimeter amount. A major usage of WSN is to observe and report the incidents of events of interest such as environment temperature, etc. [1][2][3][4]. In different WSN applications, [5] nodes because of physical causes or hardware crash may always have failure, which causes some problems in network functionality thus detecting failure nodes is really important. Because of the behaviour of WSN, the environment should keep out the faulty sensors to guarantee the network QOS [6]. This paper proposes a majority voting system based on fuzzy logic with low energy consumption. In the proposed method if a significant difference between the number of sensed “1” of a node and the number of sensed “1” of its neighbours at a specified interval, then this node is identified as a faulty node.
Three types of sensor faults are usually considered in the existing fault detection methods that sense 0/1 decision predicates. In fault detection type one, a faulty sensor node is frozen to sense a fixed local decision ‘0’ regardless of the real observation which is named stuck-at-zero fault. The second type of fault detection method is stuck-at-one fault that a faulty node always senses a fixed decision ‘1’. In the third type of fault detection method, a faulty sensor node senses “0” or “1” randomly, which does not reflect the reality and it is called random fault [7][8]. Fuzzy logic can be used to detect faulty nodes. In WSNs, fuzzy logic has been used to improve decision-making, reduce resource consumption, and increase performance. Some of the areas it has been applied to are cluster-head election [9, 10], security [11, 12], data aggregation [13], routing [14, 15], MAC protocols [16], and QoS [17, 18]. An overview of fuzzy logic will be done in Section 3. We in this paper propose an efficient method by using fuzzy logic to detect faulty nodes.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the majority voting and fuzzy theory as background. The proposed faulty node detection method is presented in Section 3. The comparison of the proposed method with the other fault detection methods is discussed in Section 4. Finally the conclusion and the future work are discussed in Section 5.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 MAJORITY RULE

Majority voting is a technique to combine decisions of several classifiers or decision makers to improve the recognition process [19],[20]. The fundamental opinion in voting is to get a minimum number of nodes to consent to an operation before obligation [21].

According to majority rule, a node maintains its own measurement only when this result is the majority result within its neighbourhood [22]. It means if a sensor’s treatment is different from the treatment of the majority of the inclosing sensors, it is a faulty sensor. A table for registering the neighbour nodes behaviour is considered. For example if a node is sensed “1” only once in a ten times sensed period rate would be $\frac{4}{10}$. This issue is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Assigning the rate based on the sensed “1”](image)

Figure 1 describes the sensed value of sensors in a specific period. If the sensed value of the specific sensor has a significant difference, its neighbour nodes would be detected as faulty node. Figure 2 shows how the neighbour nodes transmit the number of sensed “1” to $n_1$ (the specific node).
2.2 Fuzzy logic

Fuzzy logic is based on probabilistic logic [26, 27]. This logic is related to the precept of not exact reasoning, with exact reasoning. The part of a fuzzy rule before THEN is called predicate or antecedent, while the part following THEN is referred to as consequent. The combined truth of the predicate is determined by implication rules such as MIN-MAX and bounded arithmetic sums. That is, it finds a single crisp output value from the solution fuzzy space. Common defuzzification techniques are centroid, composite maximum, composite mass, etc.

3. PROPOSED METHOD

As it stated above, the majority of sensed value can determine if the specific node is a faulty node or not. Figure 3 shows the structure of proposed fault detection method using fuzzy logic.

In the proposed fault detection method, the number of sensed “1” by neighbour nodes will be transmitted to specific node. One of the good advantages of this method is that as the rate of sensed “1” by neighbour nodes (Low, Medium, and High) will be transmitted, instead of transmitting all sensed data, energy consumption is reduced, efficiently. The amount of sensed data is in three levels, so 2 bits can transmit it. It means $2^3$ states for transmitting “00”, “01”, “10”, and “11”, as shown in Figure 4.
In the proposed model, the key point is the rate of a neighbour node, which based on the fuzzy logic converts to multi levels. For example, if the rate of neighbour node were 6, it would be placed in low level, medium level, and high level with different membership degrees. The membership function and membership degrees are used to determine each neighbour in which level would be placed. A membership function is a curve that shows how a point in input space will be mapped to the membership value in output space. $\mu$ shows the membership degree and it is a number between 0 and 1. For example, 3 with the membership degree of 0.4 belongs to medium level; with the membership degree of 0.6 belongs to low level; and with the membership degree of 0 belongs to high level, as shown in equation Eq. (1).

$$
\mu_{\text{high}}(x) = 0.0, \quad \mu_{\text{medium}}(x) = 0.4, \quad \mu_{\text{low}}(x) = 0.6
$$

Obviously, the levels with the highest membership degree will be selected as the sensed level of neighbour node. Figure 5 shows the determination of membership degree in the proposed method.

Fuzzification process takes the crisp inputs from each of input variables and determines the degree to appropriate fuzzy sets. Table 1, shows samples of fuzzy inference rules, which used in the fuzzification process. Also, the Mamdani technique is used in the fuzzification process [28].
Table 1: Samples of fuzzy rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>$n_3$</th>
<th>$n_4$</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>VERY HIGH FAULTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>HIGH FAULTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>MEDIUM FAULTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>HIGH FAULTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>LOW FAULTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>LOW FAULTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>VERY LOW FAULTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>LOW FAULTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>VERY HIGH FAULTY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fuzzy inference is a process that maps input variables into output variables using fuzzy logic. Each fuzzy rule is weighted between “0” and “1” then using Mamdani fuzzy inference system and T-Norm operator, the output fuzzy set is generated.

A t-norm is a function $T: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which satisfies the following properties [29, 30]:

- **Commutativity:** $T(a, b) = T(b, a)$
- **Monotonicity:** $T(a, b) \leq T(c, d)$ if $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$
- **Associativity:** $T(a, T(b, c)) = T(T(a, b), c)$
- **The number 1 acts as identity element:** $T(a, 1) = a$

Since inference system is based on the evaluation of all rules, the rules can be combined. The fuzzy inference for the first rule is illustrated in Figure 6. The input for the defuzzification process is the aggregated output fuzzy set and the output is a single crisp number.

![Fig 6: Mamdani fuzzy inference system for the first rule](image)
Figure 7 shows the defuzzification of rules in Matlab software. This figure shows that which rules must be considered and how each member functions impact to the output result.

![Fig 7: Fuzzy inference system using Matlab](image)

Centroid method [31] is used to defuzzify the system output. The overall centroid of N overlapping areas $A_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ is given as equation Eq. (2).

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{A_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i}$$

(2)

Where $A_i$ and $\bar{x}_i$ are the overlapped area and centroid from the triangles achieved in the $i^{th}$ rule, respectively. The centroid and area are calculated for each triangle. This process is repeated for other inference rules where the inputs are referred to obtain an area comprised of overlapped trapeziums. The defuzzification process generates a centroid value that represents the rank of being a node faulty.

4. COMPARISON

Many fault detection methods have been proposed. Each of these methods has the own advantages and disadvantages. Jeng-Yang Wuet al. [4] proposed a method to detect the faulty node according to majority voting that needs fusion center to detecting faulty nodes in each time interval. The deployed sensor network in these applications may need to report its decision at every time step [4]. Considering what was mentioned above, this method cannot be useful in all environments. Our new approach does not have this shortcoming, as it does not need computation of every time step. KuiRenetal. [2] applied majority voting for faulty node detection in a secure event boundary detection scheme (SEBD). This scheme is in fact a scheme to random events boundary detection in a secure mode and uses majority rule to prevent the effect of faulty nodes. In this scheme within the specified period, the number of sensed “1” is divided by the total sensed values, which is rating variable method. If the result has significant difference from the rate variables of neighbouring nodes, this node is defined as faulty node. In this scheme, each node has a table to keep the sensed values of the neighbouring nodes. The energy consumption to complete this table in each node is calculated by the equation Eq. (3).

Energy consumption = $(n \times \lambda) + \lambda$

(3)
Where n is the number of the sent bits. For example, if the time step is eight, n=8 then λ is energy consumption per sending each bit. Energy consumption for the formation of the table in the proposed fuzzy fault detection method is calculated by equation Eq. (4).

Energy consumption\_fuzzy = 2*λ + \lambda = 3\lambda \tag{4}

Figure 8 shows the proposed fuzzy fault detection method has less energy consumption than the other methods.

In the proposed fuzzy fault detection method, the order of computational complexity is O (1). Since, the fuzzy inference engine is used in the proposed method, all rules compare with inputs are in parallel. Thus, the computational complexity is O (1). Computational complexity of the SEBD in terms of theory would not be less than O (n). Therefore, the computational complexity of the proposed fuzzy fault detection method is surprisingly improved, when compared with the other methods, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Comparing proposed fuzzy fault detection method with the other methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>RATHER HIGH</td>
<td>COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>RATHER HIGH</td>
<td>ENERGY CONSUMPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>PRECISION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The proposed fault detection method uses the majority voting technique based on fuzzy logic to detect faulty nodes. In majority voting method, if a node sensed value has a significant difference with its neighbour's values in a specific period; it would be detected as a faulty node. Therefore, using the good characteristics of fuzzy logic can improve the accuracy of detecting faulty nodes in WSN. The proposed fuzzy fault detection method can increase the precision in detecting faulty nodes and decrease computational complexity of calculation, end-to-end delay, and energy consumption, which resulted in network life increasing.

In the real environment, sensor nodes could be compromised and controlled by the attacker [32]. In these types of environment, malicious compromised nodes can exist. The effects of this type of nodes can be caused unexpected network attacks. Under this attack, a compromised node may try to impersonate another node at a different location, and it identifies itself as a neighbour node for voting. The semantic technology can be used to overcome this type of attacks. Ontology is the major element of semantic technology and illustrates and makes clear a vocabulary of terms. With the aid of ontology sensor nodes can be annotated to specify the neighbour relation between nodes. A sample of annotation is as follows.

Subject → Predicate → Object: Node → is one-hop neighbour → Next Node:

\[ n_1 \rightarrow \text{is one-hop neighbour} \rightarrow n_2 \]

In this approach the neighbour relation between nodes will be defined and it will be specify that \( n_1 \) is the neighbour of \( n_2 \). Therefore, voting can be used to detect if \( n_1 \) is a faulty node.
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