COMPLEX PATTERNS ON THE PLANE: DIFFERENT TYPES OF BASIN FRACTALIZATION IN A TWO-DIMENSIONAL MAPPING
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Basins generated by a noninvertible mapping formed by two symmetrically coupled logistic maps are studied when the only parameter $\lambda$ of the system is modified. Complex patterns on the plane are visualized as a consequence of the basins’ bifurcations. According to the already established nomenclature in the literature, we present the relevant phenomenology organized in different scenarios: fractal islands disaggregation, finite disaggregation, infinitely disconnected basin, infinitely many converging sequences of lakes, countable self-similar disaggregation and sharp fractal boundary. By use of critical curves, we determine the influence of zones with different number of first rank preimages in the mechanisms of basin fractalization.
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First, we give a glossary, which introduces the specific vocabulary used in this paper.

Glossary

INVARIANT: A subset of the plane is invariant under the iteration of a map if this subset is mapped exactly onto itself.

ATTRACTION: An invariant subset of the plane is attracting if it has a neighborhood, every point of which tends asymptotically to that subset or arrives there in a finite number of iterations.

CHAOTIC AREA: An invariant subset that exhibits chaotic dynamics. A typical trajectory fills this area densely.

CHAOTIC ATTRACTION: A chaotic area, which is attracting.

BASE: The basin of attraction of an attracting set is the set of all points, which converge towards the attracting set.

IMMEDIATE BASE: The largest connected part of a basin containing the attracting set.

ISLAND: Nonconnected region of a basin, which does not contain the attracting set.

LAKE: Hole of a multiply connected basin. Such a hole can be an island of the basin of another attracting set.

HEADLAND: Connected component of a basin bounded by a segment of a critical curve and a segment of the immediate basin boundary of another attracting set, the preimages of which are islands.

BAY: Region bounded by a segment of a critical curve and a segment of the basin boundary, the
successive images of which generate holes in this basin, which becomes multiply connected.

COAST: Basin boundary.

SEA: An open domain of divergent iterated sequences.

ROADSTEAD: The coast situation obtained after a bifurcation opening a lake in a sea.

DEGREE OF A NONINVERTIBLE MAP: Maximum of rank-one preimages generated by the map.

CONTACT BIFURCATION: Bifurcation involving the contact between the boundaries of different regions. For instance, the contact between the boundary of a chaotic attractor and the boundary of its basin of attraction or the contact between a basin boundary and a critical curve $LC$.

AGGREGATION: The situation obtained after two or more disconnected components of a basin form a single connected component.

EXTERNAL BOUNDARY: Boundary of the immediate basin (and other basin components if they exist) obtained by taking out the lakes.

CUSP POINT: (1) Point on the critical curve $LC$ where three first rank preimages coincide. (2) Repelling node belonging to the external basin boundary, the eigenvalues, $\eta_1, \eta_2$, of which satisfy $\eta_1 > 1, \eta_2 < -1$ and $|\eta_2| > |\eta_1|$.

SHARP FRACTAL BOUNDARY: Basin boundary, the external boundary of which is made up of arcs having a fractal structure containing an arborescent sequence of preimages of a cusp point. Thus infinitely many cusp points belong to the boundary.

1. Introduction

Mappings are simple models that have been extensively studied as independent objects of interest [Mira, 1987] or as ingredients of other more complex systems [Kapral, 1985; Crutchfield & Kaneko, 1987]. The unimodal one-dimensional case is well understood and the main results are summarized in [Collet & Eckmann, 1980] and in [Mira, 1980, 1987]. Two-dimensional endomorphisms are often obtained in different fields and, up to now, they have been mainly investigated by numerical simulations and analytical approach [Mira et al., 1996].

Attractors and time evolution in two-dimensional mappings can be analyzed by a set of measures such as Lyapunov exponents, power spectrum, invariant measures and fractal dimensions [Parker & Chua, 1989]. In the past years, an analytical instrument, furnished by the critical curves, has been introduced [Gumowski & Mira, 1980, and references therein]. This tool allows to study the bifurcations and some geometrical properties of basins, particularly the phenomenon of fractalization [Mira et al., 1996; Abraham et al., 1997].

Basins constitute an interesting object of study by themselves. If a color is given to the basin of each attractor, we obtain a colored figure, which is a phase-plane visual representation of the asymptotic behavior of the points of interest. The strong dependence on the parameters of this colored figure generates a rich variety of complex patterns on the plane and gives rise to different types of basin fractalization as a consequence, for instance, of contact bifurcations between a critical curve segment and the basin boundary. Taking into account the complexity of the matter and its nature, the study of these phenomena can be carried out only via the association of numerical investigations guided by fundamental considerations that can be found in [Mira et al., 1996].

Different models of two-dimensional coupled maps are scattered in the literature of several fields (physics, engineering, biology, ecology and economics). See, for example [Kaneko, 1983; Yuan et al., 1983; Hogg & Huberman, 1984; Van Biskirk & Jeffries, 1985; Schult et al., 1987; De Sousa Vieira et al., 1991] and [Aicardi & Invernizzi, 1992]. In these works the symmetries, dynamics, bifurcations and transitions to chaos are investigated and interpreted within the standards of the theory of dynamical systems. The role played by critical curves in determining the properties and global bifurcations of basins of a double logistic map has been studied in [Gardini et al., 1994].

In this paper, we explain the basin behavior of a two-dimensional mapping proposed initially as a coupled pair of logistic oscillators [López-Ruiz & Pérez-Garcia, 1991]. There, its dynamics, stability and attractors were presented for some range of the parameter in parallel with the study of two other models built under similar insights. The metric and statistical properties of that system were also computed and explained in [López-Ruiz & Pérez-Garcia, 1992]. It is our objective in the present work to continue the investigation of that system and to analyze the fractalization and parameter dependence of basins by using the technique of critical curves.
The plan is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the recalls of symmetry properties and local stability for the parameter range of interest. In Sec. 3 some notions concerning the critical curves and their application to the present case are given. In Sec. 4 we explain the different kinds of fractalization that are found in our system. The last section contains the discussion and conclusion.

2. Symmetry and Bifurcations

A logistic map whose parameter \( \mu_n \) is not fixed, \( x_{n+1} = \mu_n x_n (1 - x_n) \), but itself follows a dynamics forced to remain in the interval \([1, 4]\) has a specific dynamics [López-Ruiz, 1991]. The existence of a nontrivial fixed point at each step \( n \) ensures the nontrivial evolution of the system. Thus, the different choices of \( \mu_n \) give a wide variety of dynamical behaviors. For instance, the application of this idea produces the on–off intermittence phenomenon when \( \mu_n \) is chosen random [Platt et al., 1993] or the adaptation to the edge of chaos when \( \mu_n \) is a constant with a small time perturbation [Melby et al., 2000]. Other systems built under this mechanism are models (a)–(c) presented in [López-Ruiz, 1991]. In these cases \( \mu_n \) is forced to follow a logistic dynamics expanded to the whole interval \([1, 4]\). The result corresponds to three different two-dimensional endomorphisms of coupled logistic maps.

We will concentrate our attention in model (b). This application can be represented by \( T_\lambda : R^2 \to R^2, T_\lambda(x_n, y_n) = (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \). It takes the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x_{n+1} &= \lambda(3x_n + 1)y_n(1 - y_n), \\
  y_{n+1} &= \lambda(3y_n + 1)x_n(1 - x_n),
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

where \( \lambda \) is a real and adjustable parameter. In the following, we shall write \( T_\lambda \) instead of \( T \) as the dependence on the parameter \( \lambda \) is understood.

In this section, some results on the behavior of \( T \) gathered in [López-Ruiz & Pérez-Garcia, 1991] are recalled and some new bifurcation and dynamical aspects not collected in that publication are explained. The nomenclature is maintained.

2.1. Symmetry

This model has reflection symmetry \( P \) through the diagonal \( \Delta = \{(x, x), x \in R\} \). If \( P(x, y) = (y, x) \) then \( T \) commutes with \( P \):

\[ T[P(x, y)] = P[T(x, y)] \]

Note that the diagonal is \( T \)-invariant, \( T(\Delta) = \Delta \). In general, if \( \Omega \) is an invariant set of \( T \), \( T(\Omega) = \Omega \), so is \( P(\Omega) \) due to the commutativity property: \( T[P(\Omega)] = P[T(\Omega)] = P(\Omega) \). It means that if \( \{p_i, i \in N\} \) is an orbit of \( T \), so is \( \{P(p_i), i \in N\} \). In fact, if some bifurcation happens in the half plane below the diagonal, it remains in the above half plane, and vice versa. The dynamical properties of the two halves of phase space separated by the diagonal are interconnected by the symmetry. Also if the set \( \Gamma \) verifies \( P(\Gamma) = \Gamma \), so does \( T(\Gamma) \). Then the \( T \)-iteration of a reflection symmetrical set continues to keep the reflection symmetry through the diagonal.

2.2. Fixed points, 2-cycles and closed invariant curves

We focus our attention on bifurcations playing an important role in the dynamics, those happening in the interval \(-1.545 < \lambda < 1.0843\). In this range, there exist stable attractors for each value of \( \lambda \) and it makes sense to study their basins of attraction.

The restriction of \( T \) to the diagonal is a one-dimensional cubic map, which is given by the equation \( x_{n+1} = \lambda(3x_n + 1)x_n(1 - x_n) \). Thus the solutions of \( x_{n+1} = x_n \) are the fixed points on the diagonal,

\[
\begin{align*}
  p_0 &= (0, 0), \\
  p_3 &= \frac{1}{3} \left\{ 1 - \left( 4 - \frac{3}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, 1 - \left( 4 - \frac{3}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \\
  p_4 &= \frac{1}{3} \left\{ 1 + \left( 4 - \frac{3}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, 1 + \left( 4 - \frac{3}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

For \(-1 < \lambda < 1 \), \( p_0 \) is an attractive node. For all the rest of parameter values, \( p_0 \) is a repelling node. If \( \lambda < 0 \), the fixed points \( p_{3,4} \) are repelling nodes. For \( 0 < \lambda < 0.75 \), \( p_{3,4} \) are not possible solutions. When \( \lambda = 0.75 \) a saddle-node bifurcation on the diagonal generates \( p_{3,4} \). For \( 0.75 < \lambda < 0.866 \), \( p_3 \) is a saddle point and \( p_4 \) is a node. In this parameter interval, the whole diagonal segment between \( p_3 \) and \( p_4 \) is the locus of points belonging to heteroclinic trajectories connecting the two fixed points.

When \( \lambda = \sqrt{3}/2 \cong 0.866 \) the point \( p_4 \) changes its stability via a pitchfork bifurcation generating
two new stable fixed points $p_{5,6}$ outside the diagonal. These points are obtained by solving the quadratic equation $\lambda(4\lambda + 3)x^2 - 4\lambda(\lambda + 1)x + 1 + \lambda = 0$. The solutions are:

$$p_5 = \left( \frac{2\lambda(\lambda + 1) + \sqrt{\lambda(\lambda + 1)(4\lambda^2 - 3)}}{\lambda(4\lambda + 3)} , \frac{2\lambda(\lambda + 1) - \sqrt{\lambda(\lambda + 1)(4\lambda^2 - 3)}}{\lambda(4\lambda + 3)} \right),$$

$$p_6 = \left( \frac{2\lambda(\lambda + 1) - \sqrt{\lambda(\lambda + 1)(4\lambda^2 - 3)}}{\lambda(4\lambda + 3)} , \frac{2\lambda(\lambda + 1) + \sqrt{\lambda(\lambda + 1)(4\lambda^2 - 3)}}{\lambda(4\lambda + 3)} \right).$$

For $\lambda = 0.957$, these two symmetric points lose stability via a Neimark–Hopf bifurcation. Each point $p_{5,6}$ gives rise to a stable closed invariant curve. The size of these symmetric invariant curves grow when $\lambda$ increases into the interval $0.957 < \lambda < 1$, and, for some values of $\lambda$, frequency locking windows are obtained.

The period two cycles of the form $(x, 0) \leftrightarrow (0, y)$ play an important role when $\lambda < 0$, and are found by solving the cubic equation: $\lambda^2x^3 - 2\lambda^2x^2 + (\lambda^3 + \lambda^2)x + 1 - \lambda^2 = 0$. The solutions are

$$p_1 = \left( \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}, 0 \right) \leftrightarrow p_2 = \left( 0, \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \right),$$

$$p_7 = \left( \frac{(\lambda + 1) - \sqrt{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 3)}}{2\lambda}, 0 \right) \leftrightarrow p_8 = \left( 0, \frac{(\lambda + 1) + \sqrt{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 3)}}{2\lambda} \right),$$

$$p_9 = \left( \frac{(\lambda + 1) + \sqrt{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 3)}}{2\lambda}, 0 \right) \leftrightarrow p_{10} = \left( 0, \frac{(\lambda + 1) - \sqrt{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 3)}}{2\lambda} \right).$$

Observe that the restriction of the map $T^2$ to the axes is invariant and reduces to the double logistic map $x_{n+1} = f^2(x_n)$ with $f(x) = \lambda x(1 - x)$, so that its dynamics is completely known and it gives rise to a known cyclic behavior on the axes for the map $T$.

The 2-cycle $(p_1, p_2)$ exists for every parameter value and is unstable for every value of $\lambda$.

The pair of 2-cycles $(p_7, p_8)$ and $(p_9, p_{10})$ has a limited existence to the parameter intervals: $\lambda > 3$ or $\lambda < -1$. For $\lambda = 3$, they appear simultaneously by a pitchfork bifurcation from the period 2 orbit $(p_1, p_2)$. They are always unstable for $\lambda > 3$. In the range $-1.45 < \lambda < -1$, they are stable. This pair of 2-cycles grows from the origin $p_0$ when $\lambda = -1$. It is the result of a codimension-two bifurcation giving rise also to a repelling 2-cycle in the direction of the invariant diagonal.

### 2.3. Transition to chaos

We follow the presentation of the former section. Remark that the considered system presents two different routes to chaos depending on if $\lambda > 0$ or if $\lambda < 0$.

$\lambda > 0$: For $\lambda$ slightly larger than 1, the two closed invariant curves approach the stable invariant set of the hyperbolic point $p_4$ on the diagonal [Fig. 1(a)]. At first sight, for $\lambda \gg 1.029$, the system still seems quasi-periodic but a finer analysis reveals the fingerprints of chaotic behavior. Effectively, the crossing of the invariant curves with $LC_{-2}$ produces a folding process in the two invariant sets [Fig. 1(b)] cf. [Frouzakis et al., 1997], which gives rise to the phenomenon of weakly chaotic rings when the invariant set intersects itself [Fig. 1(c)] (cf. [Mira et al., 1996, p. 529]). The existence of weakly chaotic ring is also confirmed by the positivity of the largest Liapunov exponent for the considered parameter values as computed and shown in Fig. 3(a) of [López-Ruiz & Pérez-Garcia, 1992]. For $\lambda \approx 1.032$, the tangential contact of the two symmetric invariant sets with the stable set of the saddle $p_4$ on the diagonal leads to the disappearance of those two weakly chaotic rings. Just after the contact, infinitely many repulsive cycles appear due to the creation of homoclinic points and a single and symmetric chaotic attractor appears [Fig. 2(a)]. For $1.032 < \lambda < 1.0843$, this chaotic invariant set folds strongly around $p_4$, and the dynamics becomes very complex [Fig. 2(b)]. When the limit value $\lambda = 1.084322$ is reached, the chaotic area becomes a tangent to its basin boundary, the iterates can escape to infinity and the attractor disappears by a contact bifurcation [Mira et al., 1987, Chap. 5] [Fig. 9(d)].

$\lambda < 0$: The pair of 2-cycles $(p_7, p_8)$ and $(p_9, p_{10})$ are the germ of two period doubling cascades of bifurcations, respectively, one below the diagonal.
and the other above it. Thus, for $\lambda = -1.45$, a pair of stable 4-cycles is created on the axes when the 2-cycle pairs $(p_7, p_8)$ and $(p_9, p_{10})$ lose their stability, after which an eigenvalue of each 2-cycle crosses through the value $-1$. For $\lambda = -1.51$, each 4-cycle bifurcates and a stable 8-cycle pair grow outside the axes. For $\lambda = -1.51$, a new flip bifurcation generates the pair of 16-cycles, and so on until the dynamics becomes chaotic. For $\lambda = -1.5131$, the cascade of flip bifurcations is completed and a
symmetric pair of chaotic areas has merged in the region around $p_0$ (see Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) for $\lambda = -1.52$). When $\lambda = -1.545$ the chaotic areas have contact with their basin boundaries and they disappear.

3. Stable Attractors

For the sake of clarity, we summarize the dynamical behavior of the system explained above when the parameter is inside the interval

Fig. 2. (a) Symmetric chaotic attractor for $\lambda = 1.083$. (b) Complex folding process around $p_1$ for $\lambda = 1.08$.

Fig. 3. (a) Pair of chaotic areas for $\lambda = -1.52$ as a result of two period doubling cascades. (b) Enlargement of a chaotic area.
$-1.545 < \lambda < 1.0843$. The different parameter regions where the mapping has stable attractors are given in two tables, one for $\lambda > 0$ and the other for $\lambda < 0$.

### $\lambda > 0$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Number of Attractors</th>
<th>Attractors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0 &lt; \lambda &lt; 0.75$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$p_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.75 &lt; \lambda &lt; 0.866$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$p_0, p_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.866 &lt; \lambda &lt; 0.957$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$p_0, p_{5,6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.957 &lt; \lambda &lt; 1$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$p_0$, pair of invariant closed curves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 &lt; \lambda &lt; 1.03$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>pair of invariant closed curves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.03 &lt; \lambda &lt; 1.032$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>pair of weakly chaotic rings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.032 &lt; \lambda &lt; 1.0843$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>symmetric chaotic attractor (or frequency lockings)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### $\lambda < 0$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Number of Attractors</th>
<th>Attractors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-1 &lt; \lambda &lt; 0$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$p_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1.45 &lt; \lambda &lt; -1$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$(p_7, p_8), (p_9, p_{10})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1.5 &lt; \lambda &lt; -1.45$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>pair of 4-cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1.51 &lt; \lambda &lt; -1.5$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>pair of 8-cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1.512 &lt; \lambda &lt; -1.51$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>pair of 16-cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1.5131 &lt; \lambda &lt; -1.512$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>pair of $2^n$-cycles, $n &gt; 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1.545 &lt; \lambda &lt; -1.5131$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>symmetric pair of chaotic areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Critical Curves

#### 4.1. Definitions and properties

An important tool used to study noninvertible maps is that of critical curves, introduced by Mira in 1964 (see [Mira et al., 1996] for further details). The map $T$ is said to be noninvertible if there exist points in state space that do not have a unique rank-one preimage under the map. Thus the state space is divided into regions, which we call $Z_i$, in which points have $i$ rank-one preimages under $T$. These regions are separated by the so-called critical curves $LC$, and so the number of first rank preimages changes only when the $LC$ are crossed, except for singular points. $LC$ is the image of a set $LC_{-1}$. If the map $T$ is continuous and differentiable, $LC_{-1}$ is a subset of the locus of points where the determinant of the Jacobean matrix of $T$ is zero, and is the two-dimensional analogue of the set of local extrema of a one-dimensional map. When $LC$ is crossed rank-one preimages appear or disappear in pairs. (See also the glossary for different technical terms used along this work).

#### 4.2. Critical curves of $T$

The map $T$ defined in (1) is noninvertible. It has a nonunique inverse. Each point in the state plane can possess up to five rank-one preimages. The preimages can only be calculated numerically, by solving a polynomial of degree five. The critical curve $LC$ of $T$ (the locus of points having at least two coincident rank-one preimages) is the image of $LC_{-1}$, the locus of points where the Jacobean $|DT(p)|$ vanishes. That is, $LC = T(LC_{-1})$. The Jacobean matrix of $T$ depends on $(x, y)$ and the
parameter λ and has the following form:

\[ DT(x, y; \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 3\lambda y(1 - y) & \lambda(3x + 1)(1 - 2y) \\ \lambda(3y + 1)(1 - 2x) & 3\lambda x(1 - x) \end{bmatrix}. \]

\( LC_{-1} \) is the curve verifying \(|DT(x, y)| = 0\). It is formed by the points \((x, y)\) that satisfy the equation:

\[
27x^2y^2 + 3x^2y + 3xy^2 - 6x^2 - 6y^2 - 8xy + x + y + 1 = 0. \tag{2}
\]

\( LC_{-1} \) is independent of parameter λ and is quadratic in \( x \) and \( y \). Thus we can solve one of the two variables as a function of the other one. For instance, the variable \( y \) as function of \( x \) gives us:

\[
y = \frac{-3x^2 + 8x - 1}{\pm \sqrt{657x^4 - 84x^3 - 194x^2 - 4x + 25}}.
\]

Numerical calculations allow us to discover that for every value of \( x \), or equivalently for every value of \( y \), there are two points belonging to different branches of \( LC_{-1} \) [Fig. 4(a)]. Observe that \( LC_{-1} \) is a quartic curve of four branches, with two horizontal and two vertical asymptotes. The branches \( LC_{-1}^{(1)} \) and \( LC_{-1}^{(2)} \) have as horizontal asymptote the line \( y = 0.419 \) and the vertical asymptote in \( x = 0.419 \). The other two branches, \( LC_{-1}^{(3)} \) and \( LC_{-1}^{(4)} \), have the horizontal asymptote in \( y = -0.530 \) and the vertical one is the line \( x = -0.530 \). The values 0.419 and -0.530 are the roots of the polynomial factor, \( 27x^2 + 3x - 6 \), that multiply the term \( y^2 \) in Eq. (2). It also follows that the critical curve of rank-1, \( LC_{-1}^{(i)} = T(LC_{-1}^{(i)}), i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), consists of four branches. The shape of \( LC \) is shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). \( LC \) depends on \( \lambda \) and separates the plane into three regions that are locus of points having 1, 3 or 5 distinct preimages of rank-one. They are respectively named as \( Z_i \), \( i = 1, 3, 5 \). Observe that the set of points with three preimages of rank-one, \( Z_3 \), is not connected and is formed by five disconnected zones in the plane [see Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)]. The next section is devoted to the study of this state space organisation.

Remark that \( LC_{-1} \) has the reflection symmetry through the diagonal: \( P(LC_{-1}) = LC_{-1} \). Then every critical curve of rank-\((k + 1)\), \( LC_k = T^{k+1}(LC_{-1}) \), will conserve this symmetry: \( P(LC_k) = LC_k \).

### 4.3. \( Z_i \)-regions

\( LC \) separates the plane into seven disjoint and open zones, which are locus of points having distinct number of preimages of rank-one [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)]. We name each region depending on the number of preimages of rank-one. Thus a \( Z_i \)-zone means the set of points with \( i \) preimages of rank-one. Observe that four arcs of \( LC \)-curve divide the diagonal \( \Delta \) in five intervals, each one associated only with the \( Z_i \)-zone including it. Then to know the number \( i \) of preimages of rank-one of each segment on the diagonal, it is necessary to determine the number of preimages of rank-one of each \( Z_i \)-zone of the plane. Then we proceed to this calculation.

The set of rank-one preimages of the diagonal includes the diagonal itself and another set formed by a hyperbola of two branches. Thus, a point on the diagonal \((x', x')\) can have preimages of rank-one on the diagonal or on that hyperbola:

(a) Preimages belonging to the diagonal: It follows from Eq. (1) that the preimages \((x, x)\) of the point \((x', x')\) verify the cubic equation:

\[
3\lambda x^3 - 2\lambda x^2 - \lambda x + x' = 0. \tag{3}
\]

This equation can have one or three solutions depending on \( x' \) and \( \lambda \). We define the limit values: \( x'_{1d} \approx 0.65\lambda \) and \( x'_{2d} \approx -0.1\lambda \). If \( \lambda > 0 \), Eq. (3) presents one root if \( x' > x'_{1d} \) or if \( x' < x'_{2d} \), and three roots if \( x'_{2d} < x' < x'_{1d} \). If \( \lambda < 0 \), Eq. (3) has one solution if \( x' > x'_{2d} \) or if \( x' < x'_{1d} \), and three solutions if \( x'_{1d} < x' < x'_{2d} \).

(b) Preimages belonging to the hyperbola: Equation (1) tells us that the image of a point \((x, y)\) is on the diagonal when \((x, y)\) verifies:

\[
y = \frac{1 - x}{1 + 3x}. \tag{4}
\]

Equation (4) represents an hyperbola of two branches with asymptotes in \( x = -1/3 \) and \( y = -1/3 \). The point \((x', x')\) has preimages \((x, y)\) on the hyperbola if \( x' = \lambda(3y + 1)x(1 - x) \). If we introduce relation (4) between \( x \) and \( y \) in the former expression we obtain the equation:

\[
4\lambda x^2 + (3x' - 4\lambda)x + x' = 0. \tag{5}
\]

If the radicand of this equation is positive, the point
Fig. 4. (a) Critical curves $LC_{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. (b and c) Critical curves $LC_{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, for $\lambda = 0.3$ and for $\lambda = -0.3$, respectively. Observe the different $Z_j$-zones, $j = 1, 3, 5$.

$(x', \, x')$ has two preimages on the hyperbola (4), and if the radicand is negative, it has no preimages on that hyperbola. The roots of the radicand of Eq. (5) give us the behavior of its sign:

$$9x'^2 - 40\lambda x' + 16\lambda^2 = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The roots of this equation are $x'_{1h} = 4\lambda$ and $x'_{2h} = 0.44\lambda$. Then, if $\lambda > 0$, Eq. (5) presents two solutions if $x' > x'_{1h}$ or $x' > x'_{2h}$, and it has no solutions when $x'_{2h} < x' < x'_{1h}$. If $\lambda > 0$, Eq. (5) has two solutions if $x' > x'_{2h}$ or if $x' < x'_{1h}$, and no solutions when $x'_{1h} < x' < x'_{2h}$.
Thus the coordinates of the points that mark the frontier between the different $Z_i$-zones on the diagonal are: $x'_{1d} \approx 0.65\lambda$ and $x'_{2d} \approx -0.1\lambda$, $x'_{1h} = 4\lambda$ and $x'_{2h} \approx 0.44\lambda$. For example, the origin $p_0$ is always in the $Z_5$-zone. It is located into the interval that determines $x'_{1d}$ and $x'_{2d}$ as extremes, then $p_0$ has three preimages on the diagonal. Also it is outside of the interval determined by the extremes $x'_{1h}$ and $x'_{2h}$, then $p_0$ has two preimages on the hyperbola (4). In fact, its preimages are $(1, 1)$, $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ on the hyperbola. Taking and connecting the results of former paragraphs (a) and (b), the number of preimages of rank-one of a point $(x', x')$ on the diagonal can be summarized in the following tables.

### $\lambda > 0:$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Number of Preimages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x' &lt; x'_{2d}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x'<em>{2d} &lt; x' &lt; x'</em>{2h}$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x'<em>{2h} &lt; x' &lt; x'</em>{1d}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x'<em>{1d} &lt; x' &lt; x'</em>{1h}$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x' &gt; x'_{1h}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### $\lambda < 0:$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Number of Preimages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x' &lt; x'_{1h}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x'<em>{1h} &lt; x' &lt; x'</em>{1d}$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x'<em>{1d} &lt; x' &lt; x'</em>{2h}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x'<em>{2h} &lt; x' &lt; x'</em>{2d}$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x' &gt; x'_{2d}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To summarize, the many different zones $Z_i$ separated by branches of the critical curve $LC$ can be classified, according to the nomenclature established in [Mira et al., 1996], in two different schemes. For $\lambda > 0$, the map (1) is of the type $Z_3 - Z_5 > Z_3 - Z_1 < Z_3$ and for $\lambda < 0$, the map is of the type $Z_3 > Z_1 - Z_3 < Z_5 - Z_3$.

Observe in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c) that the branches of the critical curve $LC$ are not smooth. They exhibit two cusp points that coincide with $x'_{1h}$ and $x'_{2h}$. A cusp point can be considered as a singular point of $LC$ where three first rank preimages coincide. These points give information on the sheet structure of the map foliation. In the case of the cusp point $x'_{1h}$ the two preimages on the hyperbola (4) and the preimage on the diagonal are mixed up at the point $(-1, -1)$. Equivalently, $x'_{2h}$ has two preimages, $(0.91, 0.91)$ and $(-0.52, -0.52)$ on the diagonal and the other three preimages coincide with the point $1/3, 1/3)$. For the map $T$ there is no bifurcation involving the cusp points and associated with the qualitative change of the sheet organization. The only bifurcation occurs in $\lambda = 0$, and gives rise to an inversion in the plane of the sheet structure [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)].

### 5. Basin Fractalization

#### 5.1. General properties

The set $D$ of initial conditions that converge towards an attractor at finite distance when the number of iterations of $T$ tends toward infinity is the basin of the attracting set at finite distance. When only one attractor exists at finite distance, $D$ is the basin of this attractor. When several attractors at finite distances exist, $D$ is the union of the basins of each attractor. The set $D$ is invariant under backward iteration $T^{-1}$ but not necessarily invariant by $T : T^{-1}(D) = D$ and $T(D) \subseteq D$. A basin may be connected or nonconnected. A connected basin may be simply connected or multiply connected, which means connected with holes. A nonconnected basin consists of a finite or infinite number of connected components, which may be simply or multiply connected [Mira et al., 1994].

The closure of $D$ includes also the points of the boundary $\partial D$, whose sequences of images are also bounded and lay on the boundary itself. If we consider the points at infinite distance as an attractor, its basin $D_\infty$ is the complement of the closure of $D$. When $D$ is multiply connected, $D_\infty$ is nonconnected, the holes (called lakes) of $D$ being the nonconnected parts (islands) of $D_\infty$. Inversely, nonconnected parts (islands) of $D$ are holes of $D_\infty$ [Mira et al., 1996].

In Sec. 3, we explained that the map (1) may possess one, two or three attractors at a finite distance. The points at infinity constitute the fourth attractor of $T$. Thus, if a different color for each different basin is given we obtain a colored pattern with a maximum of four colors. In the present case, the phenomena of basin boundary fractalization have their origin in the competition between the attractor at infinity (whose basin is $D_\infty$) and
the attractors at finite distance (whose basin is $D$). The competition between the attractors at finite distance can also fractalize the set $D$ (see Sec. 5.3.2). When a bifurcation of $D$ takes place, some important changes appear in the colored figure, and, although the dynamical causes cannot be clear, the colored pattern becomes an important visual tool to analyze the behavior of basins.

We study in detail, and with the help of graphical representations, the mechanisms of basin fractalization for the map $T$. The changes of the shape and evolution of $D$ are given as a function of the sign of the parameter $\lambda$. Two scenarios are distinguished: Scenario I for $\lambda > 0$ and Scenario II for $\lambda < 0$.

### 5.2. Scenario I, $\lambda > 0$

When $0 < \lambda < 1.084$, we observe important modifications of the area of $D$, the basin of the attracting set at finite distance. Its size decreases when $\lambda$ increases. Two main contact bifurcation phenomena giving rise to a fractal basin boundary take place into this interval: fractal islands disaggregation if $0.39 < \lambda < 0.61$ and infinitely many converging sequences of lakes if $1.08 < \lambda < 1.084$. We proceed to explain the role played by critical curves in the bifurcations giving rise to these phenomena.

#### 5.2.1. Fractal islands disaggregation, $0.39 < \lambda < 0.61$

When $\lambda$ increases from $\lambda \approx 0.39$ to $\lambda \approx 0.61$, $D$ undergoes two bifurcations connected – nonconnected and nonconnected – connected. When $D$ is nonconnected, it is made up of the immediate basin $D_0$ containing the single attractor ($p_0$) and infinitely many small regions without connection (islands). This disaggregation is the result of infinitely many contact bifurcations, which are explained in the next paragraph. Such phenomenon can be also found in some quadratic $Z_0 - Z_2$ maps and has been explained in [Mira & Rauzy, 1995]. We explain for decreasing $\lambda$ the corresponding mechanisms [Figs. 6(a)–6(h)].

When $\lambda \approx 0.61$ [Figs. 6(e)–6(h)], a first rank island is created due to the intersection of $LC^{(1)}$ with the two symmetric narrow “tongues” of $D$ located in the third quadrant, and having the lines $x = -1/3$ and $y = -1/3$ as asymptotes. Those points of $D$ crossing $LC^{(1)}$ from the below $Z_3$-zone to the $Z_5$-zone acquire two new preimages of rank-one. These preimages appear as shaped islands intersecting $LC^{(1)}_{-1}$ in the middle $Z_3$-zone. Higher rank preimages of these seminal islands give rise to new smaller islands. The same mechanism of creation of new islands comes from the intersection of the

---

**Fig. 5.** (a) Basin $D$ for $\lambda = 0.3$. Observe the “tongues” having as asymptotes the lines: $x = -1/3$, $y = -1/3$, $x = 0$ and $y = 0$. (b) Details of the fractal structure of the tongues.
existing islands with $LC$ arcs [Figs. 6(c) and 6(d)]. Thus islands crossing $LC^{(3)}$ from the middle $Z_3$-zone to the $Z_5$-zone undergo a contact bifurcation that creates a new pair of rank-one islands intersecting $LC^{(3)}_{-1}$.

Then, [Figs. 6(a) and 6(b)] islands evolving in the plane from the middle $Z_3$-zone to the $Z_1$-zone across $LC^{(2)}$ give place to the aggregation of a pair of rank-one islands located on both sides of $LC_{-1}^{(2)}$. This cascade of bifurcations, considered when $\lambda$

---

**Fig. 6.** (a) Collective islands disaggregation of basin $D$ for $\lambda = 0.396$. (b) Observe the fractal pattern of islands on both sides of the three like-axes of symmetry: $LC^{(1)}_1$, $LC^{(2)}_1$ and $LC^{(3)}_1$ for $\lambda = 0.45$. (c-f) First rank islands generated from the intersection of $LC^{(1)}$ with basin “tongues”, for $\lambda = 0.6$. (g-h) $\lambda = 0.7$. 

---
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increases, generates a fractal pattern of nonconnected islands in the vicinity of the immediate basin $D_0$ and on both sides of its three like-axes of symmetry: $LC_{-1}^{(1)}, LC_{-1}^{(2)}$ and $LC_{-1}^{(3)}$ [Fig. 6(c)]. When $\lambda \approx 0.396$, the two headlands of $D_\infty$ enclosed between $LC^{(1)}$ and the two former “tongues” disappear and an infinitely complex aggregation occurs: the frontier of every island contacts with the boundary $\partial D_0$ simultaneously and a connected basin $D$ is obtained finally [see contact at point A in Fig. 6(a)].

Observe that $D$ has now a nonsmooth boundary [Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)]. The stable set of the period-two saddle ($p_1, p_2$) and its preimages form part of it. To find the analytical expression of the boundary is a difficult task but in this particular case, we can obtain by direct visual inspection
of the figures, some partial and approximated information. The coordinates axes are $T^2$-invariant: $T$ reduces on the axes to the double logistic map $x_{n+1} = f^2(x_n)$ with $f(x) = \lambda x(1 - x)$, so that it gives rise to a known dynamics on the axes for the map $T$. Two apparently unbounded "tongues" escaping toward infinity are in the asymptotical direction of the lines $x = -1/3$ and $y = -1/3$ as can be inspected in Fig. 5(a). These two lines are the rank-one preimages of the coordinate axes, which are also

Fig. 7. (a) Basin $D$ formed by the square $D_0 \equiv [1, 0] \times [0, 1]$, which contains the attractors, and four small like-triangle regions linked to the square by four narrow arms for $\lambda = 0.9$. (b) $D$ has five disconnected components for $\lambda = 1$. (c) Enlargment of (b). (d) Observe the two semicircular shaped regions of $D_{\infty}$, $S_1^{-1}$ and $S_2^{-1}$, intersecting $D_0$ for $\lambda = 1.03$. 

\[ \lambda = 0.9 \]

\[ \lambda = 1 \]

\[ \lambda = 1.03 \]
asymptotes of other two similar “tongues”. Then an analytical approximation of the frontier of the former “tongues” can be obtained by backward iteration of the boundary of the latter “tongues”. After some straightforward calculation, it can be found that the map $T^2$ generates stable dynamics on the axes when the initial conditions $(x_0, 0)$ or $(0, y_0)$ are lying on the intervals, $1 - 1/\lambda < x_0 < 1/\lambda$ or $1 - 1/\lambda < y_0 < 1/\lambda$, for $\lambda < 1$. We call these intervals $\delta$ and $\delta'$, respectively. Preimages of different ranks higher than 1 of points in the vicinity of $\delta$ and $\delta'$ generate a set of curves that come close to or can give us a rough idea of the fractal structure of the basin boundary at the “tongues”. For instance, the piece of curve, $x = -1/3 + 1/(9\lambda y^2)$ with $0 < y < \lambda^{-3}$, close to the asymptote $x = -1/3$, is a preimage of rank-two of points in the vicinity of the positive part of $\delta'$ [Fig. 5(b)].

5.2.2. Finite disaggregation and infinitely disconnected basin, $0.7 < \lambda < 1.032$

When $0.7 < \lambda < 1$, $D$ seems to be formed by the square $D_0 \equiv [1, 0] \times [0, 1]$, which contains the attracting set at finite distance, and four small like-triangled regions linked to the square by four narrow arms [Fig. 7(a)]. These arms shrink when $\lambda$ approaches 1, and disappear for $\lambda = 1$ when the
origin $p_0$ undergoes a transcritical bifurcation. The main part of $D$ is then a disconnected pattern of five components: the square $D_0$, a triangle-shaped component located in a $Z_3$ neighborhood of the vertex point $(-1/3, -1/3)$ (preimage of rank-one of the point $p_0$), and the three triangle-shaped regions that are preimages of rank-one of the latter component [Fig. 7(b)]. As there is no region $Z_0$, an infinite sequence of rank-one preimages exists and gives rise in this case to the appearance of much smaller islands of preimages. They can be observed by the enlargement of figures [see some in Fig. 7(c)].
Points \((1, 0)\) and \((0, 1)\) cross through \(LC^{(2)}\) when \(\lambda = 1\). When \(\lambda > 1\), two regions appear \(S_1^1\) and \(S_2^1\), which are part of \(D_\infty\) and are located in a \(Z_3\) zone. The rank-one preimages of \(S_1^1\) and \(S_2^1\), respectively \(S_1^{-1}\) and \(S_2^{-1}\) are two new semicircular regions and intersect \(LC_{-1}^{(2)}\). They are located in the vicinity of points \((1, 0.5)\) and \((0.5, 1)\), preimages of \((1, 0)\) and \((0, 1)\) [Fig. 7(d)].

When \(0.866 < \lambda < 1.032\), \(T\) possesses two or three attractors (see Sec. 3). If the basin of each attractor is colored in a different way, we observe that each basin included in \(D_0\) is nonconnected and is made up of infinitely many components. The boundary of each connected component belongs to the stable set of unstable points [Gardini et al., 1994]. Figures 7(c) and 8 show \(D_0\) for \(\lambda = 1\). In this case, two closed invariant curves attract the dynamics and two different colors define the infinitely disconnected basin pattern.

### 5.2.3. Infinitely many converging sequences of lakes, \(1.08 < \lambda < 1.084\)

When \(\lambda\) increases, the two semicircular shaped zones of \(D_\infty\), \(S_1^{-1}\) and \(S_2^{-1}\), located in the immediate basin \(D_0 \equiv [1, 0] \times [0, 1]\) in the neighborhood of points \((1, 0.5)\) and \((0.5, 1)\), grow in size. For \(\lambda \approx 1.0801\), the basin undergoes a contact bifurcation. \(D_\infty\) crosses through \(LC^{(2)}\) and two bays (headlands of \(D_\infty\)), \(H_{b1}\) and \(H_{b2}\), are created. Their rank-one preimages, \(H_{b1}^{(1)}\) and \(H_{b2}^{(1)}\), are holes (lakes) intersecting \(LC_{-1}^{(2)}\) into the middle \(Z_3\)-region [Fig. 9(a)]. Rank-one preimages of the latter holes generate four new lakes in \(D_0\), \(H_{b1}^{(21)}\) and \(H_{b2}^{(21)}\), \(i = 1, 2\) [Fig. 9(b)]. Preimages with increasing rank give rise to an arborescent sequence of lakes. Inside \(D_0\), the accumulation points of this infinite sequence of holes are the two unstable foci \(p_{5,6}\) and their rank-one preimages inside the immediate basin. Outside \(D_0\), the other two rank-one preimages of \(p_{5,6}\) are limit points of the arborescent sequence of holes generated on the bigger triangle island with vertex point \((-1/3, -1/3)\) [Fig. 9(c)].

When \(\lambda \approx 1.0806\), \(H_{b1}^{(21)}\) and \(H_{b2}^{(21)}\) cross through \(LC^{(2)}\) [Fig. 9(b)]. This new contact bifurcation is the germ of a new arborescent and spiralling sequence of lakes converging towards the same accumulation points. When \(\lambda\) increases values, new holes intersect \(LC^{(2)}\) and give rise to new holes crossing through \(LC_{-1}^{(2)}\) and new sequences of lakes converging towards the unstable foci \(p_{5,6}\) and their preimages. Due to the fact that the preimages have a finite number of accumulation points, the structure is not fractal [Fig. 9(b)]. A similar phenomenology has been found and studied in \(Z_0 - Z_2\) maps [Mira et al., 1994]. When \(\lambda\) increases (\(\lambda \approx 1.0835\)), the chaotic attractor, which is limited by arcs of \(LC_n\) curves, is destroyed by a contact bifurcation with its basin boundary. A new dynamical state arises. The infinite number of unstable cycles and their rank-\(n\) images belonging to the existing chaotic area before the bifurcation define a strange repulsor which manifests itself by chaotic transients [Fig. 9(e)]. For \(\lambda \approx 1.085\) the basin pattern disappears definitively.

### 5.3. Scenario II, \(\lambda < 0\)

When \(-1.545 < \lambda < 0\), the size of the domain of bounded iterated sequences decreases when \(\lambda\) decreases. Two different qualitative phenomena arise in this case for decreasing \(\lambda\): countable self-similar disaggregation if \(-1.2 < \lambda < -0.85\) and sharp boundary fractalization if \(-1.545 < \lambda < -1.45\). We analyze the patterns generated in the following paragraphs.

#### 5.3.1. Countable self-similar disaggregation, \(-1.2 < \lambda < -0.85\)

In the interval \(-1 < \lambda < 0\), the origin \(p_0\) is the only attractor. The basin \(D\) is connected. It presents several “tongues”: unbounded segments escaping apparently towards infinity and having the vertical lines \(x = -1/3\) and \(x = 1\), and the horizontal straight lines \(y = -1/3\) and \(y = 1\) as asymptotes (see Sec. 5.2.1). When \(\lambda \approx -0.85\), \(LC^{(2)}\) crosses through the boundary \(\partial D\), creating a small headland \(H_0\) of \(D_\infty\) trapped between \(LC^{(2)}\) itself and \(\partial D\) [Figs. 10(a)–10(c)]. A rank-one preimage of this small region creates a big lake \(H_0^{-1}\) in \(D\) intersecting \(LC_{-1}^{(2)}\). Other lakes of smaller size appear on the tongues as preimages of \(H_0^{-1}\). The sequence of rank-\(n\) preimages of these holes generates an infinite sequence of lakes along the diagonal and on the tongues [Figs. 10(c)]. The basin becomes multiply connected.

New headlands of \(D_\infty\), \(H_1\) and \(H_2\), are created between \(LC^{(2)}\) and \(\partial D\) when \(\lambda\) decreases. It gives rise to new arborescent sequences of holes located close to the former ones [Figs. 10(d) and 10(e)]. Afterwards, the aggregation of neighbor headlands of \(D_\infty\) gives rise to the aggregation of their image
lakes in the interior of $D$. Other bays open in the sea, due to the crossing of $LC^{(2)}$ through $\partial D$, giving rise to the transformation of their image lakes in roadsteads [Figs. 10(f)–10(h)]. For $\lambda \approx -1.05$, the combination of these two phenomena breaks $D$ in a set of infinitely many components located on the diagonal and on the tongues, as a consequence of that $LC^{(2)}$ is totally contained in $D_{\infty}$ and the former headlands of $D_{\infty}$ are open in the sea. The new basin is a set with a countable number of

Fig. 10. Countable self-similar disaggregation of basin $D$ (explanation in the text): (a) $\lambda = -0.85$, (b) $\lambda = -0.85$ (enlargement), (c) $\lambda = -0.93$, (d) $\lambda = -0.97$, (e) $\lambda = -0.97$ (enlargement), (f) $\lambda = -1.01$, and, (g–h) $\lambda = -1.01$ (enlargement), (i) $\lambda = -1.4$, (j–k) $\lambda = -1.4$ (enlargement).
Fig. 10. (Continued)
self-similar parts. If we make enlargments of some parts, they seem identical to the former in the sequence [Figs. 10(i)–10(k)]. It means that the basin $D$ can be seen as a collection of arbitrarily small pieces, each of which is an exact scaled down version of the entire basin.

If $l_n$ is the size of component $n$ of this sequence, the scaling factor $r$ defined as $r \approx \lim_{n \to \infty} (l_n/l_{n+1})$ takes the value $r \approx 7.43$.

To calculate this scaling factor we remark that the sequence of diagonal preimages $x_n$ (with $x_1 = 1$ and $x_n > 1$ for all $n > 1$) of the origin $p_0(x_0 = 0)$

Fig. 10. (Continued)
are located one by one in the core of each component of the self-similar basin. For \( \lambda = -1 \), this sequence \( \{x_n\} \) can be calculated with the restriction of recurrence (1) on the diagonal:

\[
x_n = 3x_{n+1}^3 - 2x_{n+1}^2 - x_{n+1},
\]

where \( x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1, \ldots \). The accumulation point \( x_{\infty} \), where the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges, is obtained as the fixed point of the former recurrence:

\[
x_n = x_{n+1} \rightarrow x_{\infty} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{7}}{3} \approx 1.21.
\]

Observe that \( x_{\infty} \) is the coordinate of the fixed point \( p_4 \) on the diagonal. That is, the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is an heteroclinic orbit between \( p_0 \) and \( p_4 \). The difference between two consecutive terms, \( x_n - x_{n-1} \), gives us, approximately, the size \( l_n \) of the \( n \)th component of the self-similar basin. The scaling factor \( r \) is obtained by linearizing Eq. (7) around the fixed point \( x_{\infty} \). The result is:

\[
r \approx \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{l_n}{l_{n+1}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_n - x_{n-1}}{x_{n+1} - x_n} = 9x_{\infty}^2 - 4x_{\infty} - 1 \approx 7.43,
\]

Observe that \( r \) is the diagonal multiplier of the unstable fixed point \( p_4 \).

### 5.3.2. Sharp fractal boundary,

\(-1.545 < \lambda < -1.45\)

Two stable period two cycles are created for \( \lambda = -1 \), as a consequence of a codimension-two bifurcation in \( p_0 \) (see Sec. 3). These 2-cycles persist stable in the parameter range \(-1.45 < \lambda < -1\). Afterwards the system undergoes a double cascade of flip bifurcations that gives rise to a symmetric pair of chaotic areas for \( \lambda \approx -1.5131 \) (see Fig. 3). Then two colors define the basin of attractors at finite distance, \( D = D_1 \cup D_2 \), in the interval \(-1.545 < \lambda < -1\), being \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) the basin of each attractor [Fig. 10(f) and following).

For \( \lambda \approx -1.45 \), the critical curve \( LC^{(3)} \) intersects the boundary separating \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \), creating headlands of one basin between \( LC^{(3)} \) itself and the boundary of the other basin [Figs. 11(a) and 11(b)]. After this contact bifurcation a cascade of islands of basin \( D_1 \) into \( D_2 \), and vice versa, is generated. A fractal pattern is created. Observe that the fractalization is located in very determined zones of \( D \). Each of these regions is limited apparently by two
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**Fig. 11.** Sharp boundary fractal of basin \( D \) (explanation in the text): (a) \( \lambda = -1.46 \), (b) \( \lambda = -1.46 \) (enlargement), (c) \( \lambda = -1.5 \), (d) \( \lambda = -1.5 \) (enlargement), and, (e) \( \lambda = -1.54 \), (f) \( \lambda = -1.54 \) (enlargement).
smooth curves (whose equations are unknown for us) intersecting on the diagonal. The origin and its preimages are on the frontier of these regions as accumulation points [Figs. 11(a) and 11(b)]. Although islands of $D_1$ are spread out over $D_2$, and vice versa, in the fractal zones, these are not ridged basins in the sense defined in [Alexander et al., 1992]. We can always find on those regions a disk, which intersects one of the basins in a set of positive measure but does not intersect the other basin.

A similar behavior is repeated for $\lambda \approx -1.485$ but with the basin of infinity. $LC^{(3)}$ crosses through
the boundary of this basin and a cascade of islands of $D_\infty$ is created in the regions where $D_1$ and $D_2$ are intermingled [Figs. 11(c) and 11(d)]. Observe that now the origin and the sequence of its preimages are cusp points located on the frontier of $D$. For $\lambda$ decreasing, many different $D_\infty$-islands have contacts with the external boundary of $D$ and they open in the sea [Fig. 11(e)]. This arborescent sequence of roadsteads gives place to a pattern with a sharp fractal boundary.

As in Sec. 5.2.3, for $\lambda \approx -1.545$, a contact between $\partial D$ and the frontier of the chaotic area destroys the attractor and a strange repulsor takes its place. The dynamics derives towards infinity giving rise to the disappearance of the basin.

6. Conclusion

Basins of a noninvertible two-dimensional mapping formed by two symmetrically coupled logistic maps have been analyzed. Two approaches have been used to study the bifurcations leading to their fractalization. On one hand, the geometrical and colored representations of basins as a phase-plane visual instrument allow us a qualitative focus, and, by the other hand, a more precise and analytical approach is furnished by the tool of critical curves.

The map is of degree five and a region with five first rank preimages exists for every value of the parameter $\lambda$. Also there are regions with one and three rank-one preimages. The many different zones $Z_i$ separated by branches of the critical curve $LC$ can be classified, according to the nomenclature established in [Mira et al., 1996], in $Z_3 - Z_5 > Z_3 - Z_1 < Z_3$ type for $\lambda > 0$ and, $Z_3 > Z_1 - Z_3 - Z_5 - Z_3$ for $\lambda < 0$. The symbols “>” and “<” indicate the existence of two cusp points located on the frontiers separating the $Z_1$ and $Z_5$ zones from two of the $Z_3$ zones. We point out the nonexistence of a zone with zero preimage, then every point on the plane has an infinite sequence of preimages.

Although the system has only one parameter, it gives rise to a rich basin behavior, which generates many different complex patterns on the plane. Considering the complexity of all this phenomenology and being aware of the difficulty of such an attempt, we have organized every different type of basin fractalization present in this system in the following way: fractal islands disaggregation for $0.39 < \lambda < 0.61$, finite disaggregation and infinitely disconnected basin for $0.7 < \lambda < 1.032$, infinitely many converging sequences of lakes for $1.08 < \lambda < 1.084$, countable self-similar disaggregation for $-1.2 < \lambda < -0.85$ and sharp fractal boundary for $-1.545 < \lambda < -1.45$. Each of these fractalization types have common features with those present in the simplest and well-studied case of $Z_0 - Z_2$ maps. We want to call attention on the set of patterns found in the interval $-1.2 < \lambda < -0.85$. It constitutes a new and easily calculable example of a self-similar countable set on the plane. It can be seen as a collection of arbitrarily small pieces, each of which is an exact scaled down version of the entire basin. The scaling factor has been calculated by analytical direct inspection of recurrence equations.

Finally we remark that, in general, basin fractalization does not imply the existence of a chaotic attractor in the system. In fact, two of the fractalization types analyzed in our mapping present a periodic underlying dynamical behavior.
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