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1. INTRODUCTION

Mutations occurring in biological DNA sequences are not completely random but are a result of coevolution between mutational pressure with selection constraints around the genetic code [2, 5] and can be optimized to some extent during evolution ([6]). On one hand, most mutations are deleterious and generate energetic costs of their repairing, therefore a tendency to decrease the mutation rate should exist. On the other hand, mutations are responsible for genetic diversity, which is necessary for adaptation in changing environment. Therefore, an elevated level of mutation rate should be also expected in these cases. It indicates that the real mutational pressure should be subjected to some optimization in biological systems.

2. METHODS

To find the optimized mutational pressures expressed by nucleotide substitution matrices we combined classic methods from probability theory with basic principles of evolutionary strategies [1]. The matrices were described by General Time Reversible (GTR) model that requires six different rate parameters and the stationary distribution of four nucleotides [4, 7]. The matrices were optimized for four objective functions: the minimum and maximum number of all mutations, and the minimum and maximum number of non-synonymous substitutions, i.e. mutations not changing encoded amino acid in protein coding sequences. The best solutions were searched among the population of 100 individuals (rate matrices), which were initially randomly generated. In every simulation run, an individual was selected with probability 0.5 and mutated by random modifications of its rate parameters. The found solutions obtained very good convergence and small variation. The matrices were compared with the empirical replication-associated substitution rate matrix and assumed the fixed stationary distribution of nucleotides as in the real mutational pressure found for bacteria Borrelia burgdorferi genome [3]. The matrices used were generated for the process of nucleotide substitutions in protein coding sequences from this genome. Besides the original protein coding sequences (the gene set), we also searched the best matrices for two other cases: (i) randomly generated sequences with the same length and global nucleotide composition as the original protein coding sequences (the random set) and (ii) sequences with the same length as the original protein coding sequences but assuming uniform composition of four nucleotides (the uniform set).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Fig. 1 we compared, as an example, matrices according to the number of all mutations that they introduced into gene sequences. As expected, the distribution of these numbers for minimizing and maximizing matrices are very narrow and are located at two extremes in the plot whereas the distribution for initial randomly generated matrices is very wide and located between the former ones. The number of substitutions introduced by minimizing matrices are about 5.5 times lower than by maximizing one. These results indicate that the applied algorithm efficiency optimized the objective function. Interestingly, the expected number of mutations introduced by the empirical matrix describing mutational pressure in the bacteria B. burgdorferi genome is located between the extreme values for optimized matrices with a slight shift toward the value characteristic of minimizing matrices.

To easy compare the elements of all obtained matrices we carried out PCA analysis to reduce the number of dimensions from 16 matrix elements to two main variables (Fig. 2). Based on the PCA visualization we can recognize two main groups of matrices. The one cluster includes relatively very similar all matrices that minimized the number of all or non-synonymous mutations for all types of sequences. These matrices clearly separate from the second group also relatively very similar matrices that maximized these numbers of mutations. This group does not comprise the matrix that maximized the number of non-synonymous substitutions for sequences with the uniform distribution of four nucleotides. However, this matrix is separated only by the second principal component that explains only about 7% of variance.
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Interestingly, the empirical matrix is situated between the clusters for matrices minimizing and maximizing mutations with slightly smaller distance to the former group.

Analyses showed significant differences between rate matrices minimizing and maximizing mutations but very close similarity between matrices of the same optimization type and tested on different kinds of sequences. As it should be expected in biological systems, the empirical matrix located between these two extremes with some affinity to minimizing matrices. The applied approach turned out to work effectively and could be used in searching for the best rate matrices under other objective functions.
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