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ABSTRACT

Serious games have recently enjoyed unprecedented interest among researchers. Despite the fact that the main focus of this domain remains on player entertainment and engagement, serious games have established themselves as an alternative educational paradigm. However, little interest has been given to investigating methods for the assessment of their effectiveness. Game evaluation focus remains on investigating usability and technical aspects. Those attempting to evaluate the educational impact typically rely on traditional questionnaires that typically negatively affecting user immersion and the overall game enjoyment. Among game genres, Interactive Digital Storytelling (IDS) is a fast growing genre that merges computer games, multimedia and cinematic storytelling with entertaining education. Like any other teaching intervention, educational IDS’s have to be evaluated against a defined set of Learning Objectives (LOs). In this paper we present a User-Centred Seamless Evaluation Framework for IDS games and describe the algorithm for the integration of knowledge assessment to measure knowledge improvement against given LOs without affecting player enjoyment. We implement this algorithm in an educational game and show that the framework has been perceived by the majority of the players as a positive enhancement to the game, 94% of the participants reported preferring this form of assessment as opposed to the more “traditional” methods. Statistically significant knowledge improvements were obtained after the game play.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Games and gamification of online content are emerging as a multimedia domain of increasing interest from both research and industry arenas [3]. However, most of the research has focused either on various technical aspects of the game [13] or on the entertainment potential [2, 5] only few studies are focused on the educational potential [1].

Moreover, the evaluation of game potential in education is rare and subject to drawbacks and flaws [1]. Evaluations are either implemented as a separate part of the game, and when integrated, they are performed in an intrusive manner disturbing the game flow, leading to a reduction of enjoyment for game players [22]. Previous research has shown that when participation in separate evaluation activities is mandated, it can be viewed as burdensome for the participant and it decreases the players’ enjoyment [18]. Therefore, there is a need for new methods of assessment that do not negatively affect the game enjoyment element. In this paper we present User-Centred Seamless Evaluation Framework for IDS games by seamlessly integrating the assessment into the IDS game flow. The advantages of having an embedded assessment are twofold: it removes or seriously reduces the test anxiety without affecting the validity or reliability of the results [19], and it engages players with the subject taught by providing immediate feedback [10].

Among existing genres, IDS games are growing as a hybrid multimedia discipline that merges computer games and cinematic storytelling. IDS games are recognized to provide a motivating and engaging experience for players [11]. Moreover, in the educational arena, it can improve their problem solving abilities and their ability to organize knowledge [11]. Due to their dynamicity, IDS games also provide the player with a better feeling of control over the game and directly influences the unfolding of the story.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. First, an overview of previous research is presented in Section 2. Section 3 presents the User-Centred Seamless Evaluation Framework and Section 4 the
seamless evaluation integration into the framework Conversation Layer. Section 5 presents the GHD Game that is our case study for assessment integration. Section 6 presents the evaluation study design and results. It starts with the assessment of the seamless evaluation. Afterwards, it presents the effectiveness of the game in conveying the LOs with the assessment integrated. Section 7 highlights our future research directions. The last section ends the paper with a summary of our research and some reflections on the findings.

2. RELATED WORK

IDS games are a niche multimedia domain covering digital games and cinemtic storytelling. IDS games could cover different areas, and can be used for different purposes such as helping people to cope with traumatic situations [21], while providing a motivating and engaging experience for players [11]. In addition, positive results have been obtained when using IDS for educational purposes [11]. However, a “large proportion” of evaluations performed in IDS studies have a low number of participants [6]. Additionally, very few studies have explored different means to evaluate the games, and none, to the best of our knowledge, have done so by having the evaluation seamlessly embedded in the game flow. Although studies such as Mobile Urban Drama collect some data during the game play, which at a later stage they will use in a classroom evaluation independent of the game play [9], in this research we are referring to studies in which the evaluation is fully performed through the game. Therefore, to set our evaluation method in the wider educational evaluation context, we will broaden the related work discussion to cover game projects outside of the IDS genre.

Among the few games that we found that attempt to evaluate the effectiveness within the game flow, examples include Bugs Kingdom [17], EducaMovil [14], Winterfest [23], and Global Conflicts [7].

First, Bugs Kingdom [17] is a platform game, part of the DG SANCO funded E-Bug project, aimed at reinforcing knowledge related to microbe transmission and food hygiene. The assessment of the LO is performed through a quiz, similar in style to “How to be Millionaire”, inserted between levels of the game. The quizzes are inserted at the end of each level of the game. A facilitator asks questions and the player has to choose among three options in the game. Feedback is given after the results are submitted.

Second, EducaMovil [14] is a suite of open source mobile learning games, through which snippets of educational content and quizzes are integrated. A certain action of the game triggers a certain lesson to open, and a question is given to the learner after the educational content snippet is shown. Feedback, either positive or negative is offered immediately after the lesson is finished.

Third, Winterfest [23] aims at improving player arithmetic skills. The assessment is integrated in the game story, and the feedback is given instantly. However, due to the rigidity with which the evaluation is performed, it disturbs users from the game play [23].

Fourth, Global Conflicts [7] is an adventure/role-playing game. The player has to collect facts. There is no feedback provided until the end of the game, when the player is given feedback based on the collected facts.

Although all these games have the evaluation embedded in the game, our approach is different on several accounts: first by addressing a different game genre than the games discussed in this section; and second by seamlessly embedding the LOs assessment into the game flow. Moreover, our approach is aimed at the few that ensure the player is given feedback when the first set of questions is asked, during the game play, in order to realise what s/he needs to improve, further enhancing the educational element. Finally, this research not only assesses the effectiveness of the game in conveying the LOs but also the players’ opinion/perceptions of the integrated assessment without affecting player/user experience. This has not been previously performed to the best of our knowledge.

3. PROPOSED USER-CENTERED SEAMLESS EVALUATION FRAMEWORK FOR IDS GAMES

In this section we will set the scene by providing the overview of the proposed User-Centred Seamless Evaluation Framework for IDS games. IDS games are aimed at delivering both entertainment and educational content. In this context, the user centred evaluation assessing player knowledge gain against a set of LO’s is an important issue in educational games, providing information regarding the efficiency of the game, as well as feedback for the player about his/her knowledge. This further enhances the educational value of the game and contributes to player knowledge. It has been shown that feedback is vital for learning, as it is necessary to encourage ‘deep’ learning and engage students with the subject [10].

This section will first introduce a novel educational IDS framework, User-Centred Seamless Evaluation Framework that caters for seamless evaluation integrated into the game. Although previous IDS frameworks exists, such as [20] based on which this research was based, most of them do not cater for IDS games for educational interventions, and to the best of our knowledge there is no framework that formalises the seamless evaluation. The IDS game framework (see Figure 1) has five layers: Presentation Layer, Conversation Layer, Quest Layer, Mission Layer, and Educational Layer.

All the layers are briefly introduced below, as in a standalone IDS educational framework that can be independent of the seamless evaluation. Then the Conversation Layer is further extended to show how the seamless evaluation fits in the framework.

![Figure 1. User-Centred Seamless Evaluation Framework for IDS Games.](image-url)
3.1 Framework Layers Description
The five layers or the framework are presented below.

3.1.1 Presentation Layer
The Presentation Layer contains the assets/animations needed to deliver the IDS. It consists of animations for characters, rooms, items etc., and the motion models that are used to describe how the non-playing characters move or behave. Together they form the game animation function.

3.1.2 Conversation Layer
The layer on top of the Presentation Layer is the Conversation Layer. In IDS, conversation is the main means of interaction and content presentation. This layer consists of Conversation Nodes and Conversation Rules. A Conversation Node (CN) is a line of text/or a sentence recited by a player character. The Conversation Rules show which player is saying what, and in which context they are saying it. For example, a rule could be: a game (or so called ‘non-playing’) character greets the player at the beginning of the game. Another rule states that the non-playing character greets the player only if the player does it first. This part has the power to generate adaptive conversations based on the previous players’ actions.

The Conversation Nodes component contains all the conversations taking place in the IDS, and the transition between a CN to another one in a dialogue can be defined as:

**Def 1:** Let CNs be a non empty set of conversation nodes, C a set of Characters, c ∈ C, then a conversation node cᵦ ∈ CNs is defined cᵦ = (c, Text, {cᵦ₁, ..., cᵦₐₐ}) where {cᵦ₁, ..., cᵦₐₐ} are elements of CNs, determining the text dialog line to be displayed after the conversation node cᵦ by the character c. If c and {cᵦ₁, ..., cᵦₐₐ} are an empty set then the current conversation is over.

In the Conversation Rules section, we also define the transition function δ, that interprets the conversation rules, and invokes the right CN(s).

**Def 2:** Let P be a set of game specific conditions, p ∈ P, R a set of rooms, r ∉ R, C a set of Characters, c ∈ C, and CN a set of nodes, cᵦᵦ, cᵦᵦ₊₁ ∈ CN, the transition function, δ, is defined as:

δ: (p, r, c, cᵦᵦ₊₁) → (p, r, c, cᵦᵦ₊₁).

3.1.3 Quest Layer
The Quest Layer contains the Quest Set and the Game Mechanics. Quest in the context of this research refers to any ‘story element’ of the game that requires activation when certain conditions are met, a series of states visited according to a transition function and finished in the quest end state, based on a set of conditions that are met. It contains the Game Mechanics that determines the operation of the game world and deals with player interactions with the game.

3.1.4 Mission Layer
It is the top level of the story. The mission, an ultimate quest starting with the game initiation state and finishing when the IDS story reaches the finish state. It has the highest level of abstraction in the IDS.

3.1.5 Education Layer
The Education Layer consists of LOs and Scoring Rules. The LOs contain a high level description of the LO delivered through the game. For example a LO could be: “One should only use antibiotics with a doctor’s permission”. The Scoring Rules consist of rules describing how the LO evaluation, contributes to the player’s score. For example, how many points the player gets for answering correctly one of the questions in the game.

4. SEAMLESS EVALUATION INTEGRATION
In order to insert the LOs into the game we have to define the algorithm enhancing the IDS framework. The Conversation Nodes and Conversation Rules have to be enhanced to evaluate the educational impact of the game so that the educational purposes of the game are satisfied. The User-Centred Seamless Evaluation Framework consists of additionally 3 types of CNs and 3 conversation rules as follows.

To allow the seamless evaluation to be integrated in the educational IDS the following has to be added to the game:

1. new CNs through which we deliver the seamless evaluation,
2. rules to describe by whom and in which context the CNs concerning the evaluation are delivered.

The Conversation Nodes and Conversation Rules have to be enhanced to evaluate the educational impact of the game so that the educational purposes of the game are satisfied. For example, the User-Centred Seamless Evaluation Framework consists of an additional 3 types of CNs and 3 conversation rules as follows.

Conversation Nodes enhancements include three CN entities: questions, options and feedback:

**Def 3:** A set of questions, Q ∈ CN, textual version of the LOs: let Qᵦ, C be the textual version of the LOᵦ, and CNᵦ ∈ CN is the conversation node after which the question is inserted, before the actual teaching of the LOᵦ, and CNᵦ₊₁ ∈ CN is the conversation node after which the post-evaluation is inserted after LOᵦ, is taught

\[ CNᵦ = (c, {Text, Qᵦ}) \]
\[ CNᵦ₊₁ = (c, {Text, Qᵦ}) \]

- **Def 4:** A set of options, O ∈ CN, the players has to choose from, containing correct and incorrect option(s). Oᵦ₁, ..., Oᵦₐ are options for LOᵦ presented after Qᵦ. Only one option is correct. The options could be precise, or more general (e.g., they could contain right and wrong answers, or something as general as, if the user believes that this fact is right, or if it is wrong). ‘You’ ∈ C is the player character actively choosing the next step in the game while his/her knowledge is tested:

\[ Qᵦ = (You, QuestionText, \{Oᵦ₁, ..., Oᵦₐ\}) \]

- **Def 5:** A set of feedback replies, F ∈ CN that are given to the player after s/he replies. Fᵦ ∈ F for option Oᵦ, Immediate feedback provides the player with a sense of control over the task and improves the player concentration [22].

\[ Fᵦ = (c, FeedbackText, CNᵦ₊₁) \]

The Conversation Rules contain rules for the LOs, how to deliver them and in which context and how many options are available to the user. Except for these several other rules have to be added:
During the investigation, Alyx is always ready to help the player, who has to select among the different options, while with other colors are different characters.

5. CASE STUDY

The seamless evaluation questions, as presented above, were integrated into a role-playing game: the GHD (Global Handwashing Day) Game [15]. The game is an educational IDS game that relies heavily on the narrative. It aims to reinforce the importance of hygiene, focusing on hand washing.

The plot of the game is as follows. First the player is placed in the e-Bug agency and s/he is introduced to her/his boss, Big C. Also here, the player meets Alyx who will be the player’s partner and would help him during the investigation. After the introductions are made, Big C introduces the problem Hugh Gaego, a famous actor, is supposedly poisoned, and the player has to decipher the mystery: whether it was a case of an alleged poisoning or not, and who the guilty party is, if any, for poisoning Hugh. The state space of the game is quite vast, allowing the players to explore different parts of the game, by making it non linear and allowing different options during the investigation. Not all the paths lead to an answer and they are not all mandatory for solving the mystery.

During the investigation, Alyx is always ready to help the player, asking questions related to the investigation and assisting with evidence that was collected. Although totally integrated into the game flow, the questions assess the educational content presented (Figure 3). The questions are spread throughout the game, and asked so that they will fit in the context of the game. However, these questions are asked before the player is exposed to game mechanics through which s/he can learn about the objectives being asked. The questions are asked in an abstract manner, in order to see whether the player understands the scientific concept, and if

Figure 2 presents the pieces of the game, focusing mostly on the Conversation Nodes layer and when multiple options are available on the Scene Layers. The lines that separate in the figure show that there is a discontinuity in our description of the story. The evaluation is presented just for a single LO, but in the game multiple LOs can be presented. We see that the pre-test, that involves the question that is asked, the options that the user has to choose and the feedback will be first, before the LO is taught. LOs can be taught through multiple CNs. At a later stage in the game the player is asked the same questions, and the same options are given. The question can be asked by the same or a different character.

**Figure 2.** A section of game which highlights how Pre-Test, feedback, LO, and Post-Test is integrated in the game. CN – conversation node, Q - question, F – feedback, O - option. The colors represent a different character, in this case with blue is the player, who has to select among the different options, while with other colors are different characters.
6. EVALUATION
The aim of the GHD Game evaluation is twofold:

- To assess the player perception of the new assessment method – the seamless and its effect on user experience
- To assess the effectiveness of the game with the seamless evaluation integrated in conveying the educational content

The key to understanding the impact of the seamless evaluation is the first assessment; however the seamless evaluation can only demonstrate useful results if the positive education impact is not affected.

6.1 Method
The participants played the game either in a controlled environment (in a school with a teacher present with 50 minutes to finish the game), or online at their convenience. The schools at which the evaluation took place were located in London and Glasgow, UK. All the participants were given incentives to participate in the study. The game session was followed by a survey which was not mandatory and assessed the seamless evaluation, game usability, and different aspects of the game design.

The Seamless Evaluation was assessed through a mixed method, combining survey, performed at the end of the game playing session, and observations done during the playing sessions.

The effectiveness of the game in conveying the LOs was assessed through the experimental studies in which the participants have to play the game beginning through to end. For measuring the statistical significance of the effectiveness of the game in conveying the educational content, we used a paired t-test [12].

6.2 Participants
All the participants that took part in the study were asked to fill a questionnaire with demographic data at the beginning of the game playing session, then to play the game, and at the end to complete a survey. The pre-questionnaire and the survey were not mandatory. Moreover the end survey was not given to all the participants, therefore not all the participants who played the game completed the questionnaire at the end of the game playing session. However since the effectiveness of the game in delivering the LOs was independent of the participants answering the survey, was considered relevant to include also these participants in order to provide a better overview of the teaching potential of the game. For this reason, in order to provide a better overview of the participants’ demographic data, this section discusses first the demographic data for the participants that participate in the game playing session and afterwards the demographic data of those participants who did both (participate in the game playing session and also completed the survey afterwards).

The participants were either students who played the game during school visits, researchers and students who volunteered to participate, or people who found and played the game online on edugames4all website [4]. The website was re-launched in October 2011, and GHD Game was added during that period. The website was since then promoted during the Global Handwashing Day 2011 in UK [8], and through other means (mailing lists, social networking websites). The traffic comes from 73 countries, however most of it comes from English speaking countries: UK (~60%), US (~10%), Ireland (~9%).

145 participants were considered for the evaluation. The participants were selected based on whether they finish the game or not. The main reason for this decision is the fact that the evaluation is integrated in the game, and the post evaluation is towards the end of the game, therefore for a player who did not finish the game, the results of the evaluation were not available.

The end survey was completely filled by 21 participants (the ones who left them incomplete were not considered).

6.3 Seamless Evaluation
The seamless evaluation was assessed through a survey filled in by the players. The survey assessed the player opinion with regards to the integration of the evaluation in the game flow. The players were not previously informed that their knowledge would be assessed during the game play.

As a part of the survey, the players were first asked whether or not they realised that they have options to choose from. 95% of the
players realised that they have to choose one of the options presented.

The players who realised that they have to select one of the presented options, were asked to rate how these affect their game experience on a 5 point Likert scale. The options and the percentage of players choosing a certain option are presented in Table 1. As it can be seen half of the players consider the questions to be a good addition to the game: 12% considering that they enrich their game experience, while 44% that they make the game more interesting. Among the rest, 24% were not affected in any way by the questions integrated, and the rest were affected in a negative manner. This can lead to the conclusion that for most of the players, the integrated evaluation does not only facilitate the assessment but can also improve the game experience.

Table 1. The results for how people perceived that the seamless evaluation affected their experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>They obstructed my game experience</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>It wasn't too bad, they didn't discourage me but I would prefer not to have them</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>They did not affect me in any way</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>It was good having them, they had made the game more interesting</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>They enriched my game experience, they engage me more into the game</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The last question explains the scope of having the assessment integrated in the game flow. Afterwards, the players were asked whether or not they would like to have the educational content assessment integrated in the game or preferred the more “traditional” version of taking a test. Among the players who answered this question, 94% preferred to have the evaluation integrated in the game flow.

The subjects also had the option to leave comments to these questions. One of the comments left was that, once an option was selected as an answer, the player could not change his option. This constraint was imposed, as immediately after the player answer, s/he is provided with feedback from one of the non-playing characters in the game (except during the post-test when no feedback is provided). Letting the player change the answer, would allow them to modify their answer as a result of the feedback received resulting in a flawed evaluation of their knowledge. A simple solution to this problem could have been to have the player confirm the answer, but it was considered that repetitive requests for confirmation would interrupt the game flow (conversation flow), our aim was to avoid this as much as possible.

Another comment was from a subject that justified his choice, as having preferred the evaluation integrated in the game, as otherwise it would destroy the “immersiveness” element of the game play and it would prove disruptive.

Overall, the results of the seamless evaluation assessment indicate the players’ strong preference of this method. Moreover, most players considered the questions as an enhancement to the game. Additionally, even the players who were less than positive about the addition of the questions into the game preferred this method to a test. There is still place for improvement, as some of the players did not notice that they could choose among the different options. However, overall the results are positive, and they indicate that this method could not only facilitate the assessment but also improve the game.

6.4 Game Effectiveness of Conveying LOs with Seamless Evaluation Integrated

One of the most important aspects of the educational game is improving knowledge as a result of the game play. Only few studies have been performed so far that measure the educational value added beyond mere entertainment [5]. Therefore this section evaluates the change in players’ LOs knowledge as a result of playing the game. We wanted to see whether the player could learn by playing this game, or if the knowledge assimilation is affected as a result of the novel assessment mechanism. Eleven LOs were assessed:

- LO-1: Microbes found in food can transfer to humans
- LO-2: Separate utensils should be used for raw meat, and vegetables
- LO-3: Bacteria from raw meat can make a person sick
- LO-4: Food cooked properly should be free of bacteria
- LO-5: Vomiting viruses are unpleasant but usually not dangerous
- LO-6: Vomiting viruses can spread through sneezing, coughing or just particles of vomit that are in the air after someone is sick
- LO-7: Vomiting viruses and E. coli can spread through bad hygiene
- LO-8: It is not always necessary to take medicine when dealing with E. coli and vomiting viruses infections
- LO-9: E.coli is commonly found in the lower intestine
- LO-10: E.coli can spread through the ‘faecal-oral’ route or poor food preparation hygiene
- LO-11: If eaten, bacteria from raw meat can make a person sick

The effectiveness of the game at conveying the educational content was performed using a paired t-test [12] on the number of correct answers the players had on the pre and post questionnaire. A 95% confidence interval was considered statistically significant. The results show that the difference between the players pre and post questionnaire questions is statistically significant (p=0.01, σ=2.20).

7. FUTURE WORK AND DISCUSSIONS

This research has led to several new research directions. We want to build an intelligent mechanism in the game that could detect if the players are stuck in the game and provide them with help. If the players drop out is due to the game difficulty this could help reduce the dropout rate. Moreover, adding different game mechanics into the game, so that the game adapts better to player’s knowledge, can be a way forward, and it would be interesting to see whether this has any effect on players’ retention to playing the game. At the moment the game provides the players with a tutorial that can be consulted during the game playing session, and a non-
mandated training mission that could be used to teach the game mechanics [16].

A further direction might be to ask players questions that are not generalisable and explore whether this obtains better results as it has been shown that the players might have problem translating the skill in another environment [1].

We have also noticed that when players know that there is a score, and do not hold only the internal motivation of solving the mystery of the game, they get more involved in the game play and behave competitively. Having the score integrated into the game, and making the game more competitive, could be a next step in making the game more engaging for the players.

Due to the design of the study no conclusion can be made regarding the behavioural change as a result of the game playing session, or the long term effects of the game playing on the players acquired knowledge. However, on the short term, positive effects can be noticed and short term changes are a precondition for long terms changes to occur [5]. Nevertheless, the aim of this evaluation was to assess the seamless evaluation and positive results were obtained in this area.

As a next step we will explore assessing what effect it would it have building a community on the players’ engagement and their willingness to re-play the game, or similar games. This would mean creating a community in which the people could connect to each other and share their ideas about the game. Moreover, we want to extend the game to support multiple players. This could lead to the creation of different assessment strategies, such as players asking/helping each other when they get stuck somewhere in the game.

Furthermore, we will explore how seamless evaluation could be integrated in other types of games in which conversation is not necessarily present, or present to such extend as in IDS games.

8. CONCLUSIONS

IDS is an emerging multimedia domain that embeds cinematic storytelling with video games. Although positive results have been shown while using them for education [11], the evaluation was typically performed as a separate part of the game. This paper investigates a method for enhancing the Seamless Evaluation IDS framework with knowledge assessment. The algorithm implements pre and post assessment of players knowledge against given LOs before the LO is delivered providing a baseline data and afterwards to measure a knowledge gain. Three sets of CNs were introduced: Q, O and F were introduced before and after the LO is taught, and the same Q and O were given afterwards. The rules needed to plug these CN in the game flow were also discussed.

The framework implemented and tested in GHD Game, an IDS that aims to reinforce issues pertinent to hand and food hygiene. This game was used as a case study for the game evaluation.

The evaluation follows several aspects:

- To assess the seamless evaluation
- To assess the effectiveness of the game with the seamless evaluation integrated in conveying the LOs

The seamless evaluation was assessed using a survey filled by the participants after the game playing session. Most of the players considered that the results improved their game experience (56% of the participants), and 24% consider that they were not affected by the evaluation in any way. Moreover, 94% of the participants prefer the seamless evaluation to taking a test.

The result of the evaluation that assessed the efficiency of the game in conveying the LOs showed that the players knowledge has improved during the game, and the changed was statistically significant (p=0.01).

Overall the results of the evaluation were positive: the seamless evaluation doesn’t only have the educational potential, but also improve the gaming experience. Moreover players knowledge of the LOs covered in the game improved as a result of playing the game, and the results are statistical significant.
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