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Abstract: The current massive proliferation of data has led to collaborative data mining that requires preservation of individual privacy of the participants. A number of algorithms proposed till date in this scenario are limited to mining association rules and do not consider their cyclic nature that finds associations with respect to the time segment. Hence catering to this challenge, we propose techniques for privacy preservation while finding global cycles when mining cyclic association rules in a distributed setup. The proposed techniques are based on homomorphic encryption and Shamir’s secret sharing that can help us decipher partial and total global cycles along with maintaining privacy in a distributed setup. Additionally security, efficiency and correctness analysis of the proposed algorithms are also given.

1 INTRODUCTION

The concept of coopetation (Pedersen and Saygn, 2007) which symbolizes a blend of competition and cooperation has become an important practice for various organizations to sustain and succeed in this competitive world. The approach of coopetation has its application in Distributed Data Mining in which privacy needs of the participating parties are to be guaranteed. That is, the data mining results of each of the sites that satisfy a certain function only, must be known in the cumulative data. The identities of the participants and the remaining data that does not satisfy the cumulative function must be kept secret.

The solution for efficient implementation of privacy preserving techniques for distributed data mining is one of the most researched and challenging fields of study today (Venkatadri and Reddy, 2011).

A major limitation of the existing distributed privacy preserving algorithms in this area (Kargupta et al., 2007); (Kantarcioğlu and Clifton, 2004); (Kantarcioğlu, 2008); (Vaidya, 2008); (Ge et al., 2010); (Aggarwal and Yu, 2008); (Sang et al., 2009); (Shi et al., 2011) is that none of them deal with cyclic data or data that is segmented and temporal.

The paper (Sang et al., 2009) does mention privacy preserving tuple attribute matching in a distributed setup; but again, it does not deal with cyclic association rules. In addition, the recent work by Shi et al. (Shi et al., 2011) does explain approaches for aggregation of time series based data using a third party aggregator with its main application in wireless sensor networks; but then it does not cater to cyclic association rules.

In a number of real life applications, data is time based. So it is important to decipher the rules that are frequent and repeat at regular time intervals. In this context, we propose a construction comprising of techniques to find global cycles among cyclic association rules (Ozden et al., 1998); (Ben and Gouider, 2010) (which is a subset of temporal rules) while maintaining the privacy in a coopetative model. Our construction is restricted to a horizontally partitioned homogeneous model. Any scenario, where there are coopetative parties involved and the data to be mined is segmented on the basis of time, can use the approaches we propose to exchange their information and preserve their privacy as well.

The two proposed techniques for the multi-party scenario are based on Efficient Private Matching (EPM) (Freedman et al., 2004) and Shamir’s secret sharing technique (Shamir and Adi, 1979) respectively to find global cycles privately. These methods have their pros and cons which have been discussed in this paper.
An important example of such a scenario where privacy preservation is required while mining global cycles would be a group of television channels who plan to share their data like their Television Rating Points (TRPs), timings of the various programmes being watched and various advertisements being shown, by maintaining their privacy as well, so as to figure out which TV program is being watched at what time periodically by relatively more number of viewers. The important thing to note here is that the competing channels do not want to reveal their identity and still be able to find out global cycles (i.e. trends that repeat at regular intervals of time) amongst every channel’s data.

2 THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM

We propose an algorithm that finds cyclic association rules (Ozden et al., 1998) using the interleaved algorithm that are supported at all the participating parties privately. The problem considers a co-operative scenario of homogenous databases where there are ‘p’ semi honest parties collaborating to find the global cycles (cyclic and frequent association rules in a particular time segment at all the participating parties). Let there be a set of ‘t_i’ transactions and maximum N items at each of the partitions (where 0 < i ≤ p) and each transaction has a subset of ‘N’ items.

Let the schema at each of the sites be of the form <tid, items, timestamp>. All the parties decide on the local minimum Support ‘minSupp’, minimum Confidence ‘minConf’ and the length of cycle which is less than or equal to the set of transactions at each of the parties. They also decide on one of the privacy preserving protocols (explained below) that they would follow.

In the first protocol i.e. Efficient Private Matching algorithm (Freedman, Nissim and Pinkas, 2004), for the multiparty scenario, we consider p parties, P_1…P_p, with corresponding lists of inputs X_1…X_p which are the cyclic rules at each party. We assume each list contains k, (0<i≤p) inputs. The parties would be able to compute the intersection of all p lists privately using homomorphic encryption as explained in (Freedman, Nissim and Pinkas, 2004).

The second protocol is based on Shamir’s secret sharing (Shamir and Adi, 1979); (Ge et al., 2010). The algorithm finds the sum of the secret information (which will help us decipher the global cycles in our scenario privately) in the cumulative data.

Algorithm 1: Finds the sum of the cyclic rules at all the parties privately using Shamir’s secret sharing

Input: N, k, p, S_{ip}(secret values)
1: for each transaction j = 1 to N do
2: for each time segment t = 1 to k do
3: for each party P_i (i = 1, 2, ..., p) do
4: each party selects a random polynomial q_i(x) = a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + ... + a_kX^k
5: compute the share of each party P_i where share(S_{ip}, P_i) = q_i(x)
6: for t = 1 to p do
7: send share(S_{ip}, P_i) to party P_i
8: receive the shares share(S_{ip}, P_i) from every party P_i
9: end for
10: compute Sum(x_i) = q_1(x_i) + q_2(x_i) + ... + q_p(x_i)
11: for t = 1 to p do
12: send Sum(x_i) to party P_i
13: receive the results Sum(x_i) from every party P_i
14: solve the set of equations to find the sum of \[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} S_{ip} \] secret values.
15: end for
16: if (\[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} S_{ip} = p \]) globalCycle = 1;
17: else if (\[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} S_{ip} < p \]) ; cnt (partialCycle) = \[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} S_{ip} \]
18: end for end for end for

Figure 1: Secret sharing approach applicable to our scenario.

There are 2 possible variations that can also be applied to our approach:

1. If the co-operative setup decides on finding global association rules instead of global cycles; if the association rule is cyclic; only then the count of the item is exchanged privately (we could use Secret Sharing or Secure Sum algorithm (Kantarcioglu, 2008) for this) by which we can calculate the global support and hence find global association rules.

2. If partial cycles (cyclic association rules supported at some of the parties) above a certain threshold need to be determined; then the Secret sharing approach could be used to determine privately the count of the parties that support a certain cyclic rule.

3 ANALYSIS

We begin by doing the correctness analysis of our proposed protocols followed by the complexity and
security analysis. To find the globally cyclic association rules; assume that the party \( P_i \) has a private vector \( V_i \) for a particular cyclic association rule \( C_j \) (\( j \) is the total number of rules possible). This vector \( V_i \) has bits for whether a particular rule is cyclic or not in a time segment ‘\( k \)’ such that \( 1<k\leq t \) (\( t \) is the total no. of time segments). Hence for a particular rule \( C_j \), we try and find the \( \sum_{i=1}^{p} V_ik \) for a particular cyclic association rule in a particular time segment across different parties.

![Algorithm 2: To decipher global cycles in cyclic association rules among different parties](image)

Let us consider the protocol 1 based on efficient private matching. Since this scenario has \( (p-1) \) partitions and one leader \( S \); and for \( \forall \ y \in S \); the leader prepares \( p-1 \) shares that XOR to \( y \). Hence all the \( p-1 \) parties will learn their share of \( \text{Enc}(y') \). The parties decrypt their share and XOR it with the other parties. They will learn \( y \) only if \( y \in P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3 \cap \ldots \cap S \). Hence we will be able to find the cyclic association rule that is supported by all the parties privately.

On the other hand, considering the protocol 2 using the secret sharing, we need to solve the linear equations formulated which have \( p \) unknown coefficients and \( n \) equations. Since the polynomials would have a unique solution; each party \( P_i \) can solve the equation and find the value of \( \sum_{i=1}^{p} V_ik \) for a particular cyclic association rule. However it cannot determine the secret values of the other parties as the polynomial coefficients of each party are hidden from the other.

If the \( \sum_{i=1}^{p} V_ik = p \); it implies that a global cycle does exist among all parties for \( C_j \) in the time segment \( k \). If on the other hand \( \sum_{i=1}^{p} V_ik = z \) (\( z < p \) ); it implies that a partial cycle exists at \( z \) parties.

Similarly other global cycles can be deciphered privately with respect to time with the condition that all parties follow the protocol honestly.

For the complexity analysis, consider first the complexity of the cyclic association rules generation. If we have \( n \) items, \( x \) time segments and \( t_i \) transactions (\( 0 < i \leq p \) ) such that \( p \) is the no. of partitions; then the number of possible association rules with respect to time is \( O(x*n*2^n) \). So the computation complexity of the cyclic association rule generation would be \( O(n*\max(t_i)*2^n*x) \).

For the efficient private matching algorithm; the communication overhead is \( O(pk) \) where there are \( p-1 \) parties and one leader and \( k \) coefficients sent using homomorphic encryption to the leader. As far as the computation cost is concerned it involves encryption and decryption of \( k \) values at each partition site and for the leader to compute \( p-1 \) shares that XOR to \( y \) and \( \text{Enc}(y') \) using \( k \) exponentiations and hence total \( O(k^2) \). Thus, this protocol has a much lesser communication cost compared to the Protocol 2 that uses Shamir’s secret sharing technique.

Secondly, for the secret sharing algorithm with \( p \) parties; each party sends its shares to \( p-1 \) other parties and if we consider \( k \) such bits based on the no. of transactions and the no. of time segments; the complexity would be \( O(k^p) \).

Along with the communication cost there would also be the computation cost of generating the random polynomial, \( p^2 \) computations, \( p(p-1) \) additions, and solving the equations with unknowns...
to find the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{p} V_i k$.

Regarding the security analysis, our protocol that finds global cycles based on efficient private matching is semantically secure from attackers and also preserves privacy. The data of all the clients is private as the leader only sees the encrypted coefficients. The leader’s privacy is also protected as he only sends across encrypted shares that XOR to $y$ and hence the actual value of $y$ is not known to the different participants.

Our protocol based on secret sharing, is also semantically secure from the network attackers. i.e. network attackers cannot learn any valuable information except for the shares of each of the parties which would be of no use. This algorithm can also effectively prevent collusive behaviour if the number of collusive parties $c < p-1$.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we define a new problem of detecting partial and total global cycles in a co-operative setup while maintaining the privacy of the individual parties.

We extend the interleaved algorithm to find global cycles in cyclic association rules privately. Our first algorithm has higher computation cost and lesser communication cost compared to the second one based on Shamir’s Secret sharing.

However, there are a few open research challenges that which include applying these privacy preserving theories to other temporal rule mining methods like calendric association rules, temporal predicate association rules, OLAP cubes and sequential association rules. The algorithm could also be extended to a heterogeneous setup and to malicious models. Since our approach considers the threshold count at each of the parties individually for a particular item and gives equal importance to all the participants; an extension to this could be to privately decipher global cyclic association rules considering the global count of the respective item and hence giving importance to the parties with respect to their transaction data size.
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