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Abstract

Protocol-level mismatch is one of the most important problems in service composition. The commonly used reachability exploration method focuses on verifying deadlock-freeness. When this property is violated, the states and traces in the reachability graph only give clues to re-design the composition. The process must then repeat itself until no deadlock is found. In this paper, multiple web service interaction is modeled with a Petri net called Composition net (C-net). The protocol-level mismatch problem is transformed into the deadlock structure problem of a C-net. If mismatches are found, a solution based on Petri net siphons is proposed. The proposed method is shown to achieve higher efficiency for resolving protocol-level mismatching issues than traditional ones do.

1. Introduction

In web service composition, when multiple web services are developed by different groups or vendors, they often fail to invoke each other because of mismatches. Service composition mismatches can be divided into interface and protocol-level ones.

The former include message signature mismatches and message split/merge mismatches [1]. Services can be composed if the provided interfaces with port types, operations, and message types match the required interfaces of the other web services. There is significant research result towards service interface level mismatches such as schema matching-based method [1], information retrieval techniques [2] and clustering-based approach [3].

Even if service interface level matches perfectly, there may be protocol-level mismatches causing problems such as unspecified reception and deadlock. Unspecified reception can be automatically solved by generating adaptors [4]. Deadlock mainly comes from message ordering mismatches and non-local choice mismatches [5-6]. Figure 1 gives an example for message ordering mismatches. The customer service first sends order message, waits for delivery and then sends payment message; online shop service waits for the order and payment then delivers the product. Even though the interfaces match syntactically, the interaction between them leads to a deadlock since the customer expects delivery first, while the shop expects payment first.

Figure 1. Illustration for message ordering mismatches

For the protocol-level mismatching, previously proposed methods [1, 9-11] mainly take the following steps: (1) check the protocol-level mismatching using a state-space based method; and (2) replace the mismatching services and repeat testing the state-space until there is no mismatching. In other words, they offer quite limited help in resolving protocol mismatches. Generally, finding the mismatching services involves intense interactions with developers. When protocols become complicated, it is very hard for developers to find the best solutions. For example, it is non-trivial [1] to find the best solution for the message ordering mismatches outlined in Figure 1.

The main contribution of the paper is a Petri net-based approach to find protocol-level mismatches and then generate optimized solutions to fix the mismatch problems. Our approach is based on an observation of a special class of Petri net objects called siphons (see Section 3 for details). A siphon is a subset of Petri net nodes with a property analogous to program safety properties. The number of tokens in a siphon will never increase and an empty siphon will always remain empty. Our observation is that protocol-level mismatch happens if and only if there is an empty siphon (see Theorems 1 and 2) in Petri net models created by BPEL composition.
Technically, our approach consists of three steps. First, we adopt Business Process Execution Language for Web Services (BPEL) as the web service composition language. In the first step, the BPEL description of a composite service is translated into a Petri net model. Second, we use a mix-integer programming formulation to detect the maximal empty siphons, which are then used to find protocol-level mismatches. Third, we describe an algorithm to find optimized siphon-based solutions for protocol-level mismatches by adding or holding tokens in siphons to prevent them from becoming empty.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 outlines related work. Section 3 introduces the BPEL and Petri net based modeling approach to define service composition. Section 4 describes the siphon-based algorithms to detect protocol-level mismatches and generate solutions. Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. Related work

The previously proposed methods for detecting and solving protocol-level mismatches mainly follow two steps, i.e., modeling and analysis.

There are a plethora of modeling methods. Foster et al. use message sequence charts by extracting the interaction message among services [7]. Fu et al. model the interactions of composite web services as conversations [8]. Other models and methods include abstract state machines, finite state machines, process algebra and pi-calculus.

As an appropriate method for modeling and analyzing distributed business processes, Petri nets are also an adequate modeling tool for web service behavior. As shown in [9], Petri nets are able to define and verify usability, compatibility and equivalence of web services. In particular, Petri net semantics for BPEL are proposed. Since BPEL is becoming an industrial standard for modeling Web service-based business processes, a Petri net-based method is directly applicable to real world examples.

We focus on Petri net-based methods and make comparisons among them. Ouyang et al. [10] transform BPEL into Petri nets represented in the Petri Nets Markup Language (PNML) by BPEL2PNML and propose WoIBPEL to support three types of analyses, e.g., reachability analysis by generating the full state space. Lohmann adopts open workflow nets (oWFNs) [11] for modeling BPEL processes and uses Fiona to automatically analyze the interactional behavior of a given oWPN. Martens [6] proposes a BPEL annotated Petri nets (BPN) and presents a decision algorithm for the controllability of a BPN model based on the communication graph (c-graph). The check of interaction between the composed BPEL processes is transformed into the verification of deadlock-freeness of a BPN. After all parts that yield deadlocks are cut, the remaining part is proven to be controllable. Nezhad et al. generate a mismatch tree to handle deadlock situations [1].

The basis of such tree is similar to the reachability graph in Petri nets. Although the current methods provide useful insights into the problem by adopting Petri net based modeling methods, e.g., PNML, oWFNs, c-graph and BPEL2PN, their analysis is mainly based on a reachable state space and they do not propose an effective solution to resolve the protocol-level mismatch issues.

Compared with their work, the proposed one tries to make the most effort to correct the existing composition. Using the analysis and correction methods based on siphons, it not only provides the candidate solutions for the developers but also offer the optimized solution, which greatly reduces a developer’s work. Compared with our previous work which proposes a solution for non-local choice mismatch [6], this paper mainly focuses on providing a solution for message ordering mismatch.

3. Modeling methods

A Petri net is a directed bipartite graph. It consists of two components: a net structure and initial marking. A net (structure) contains two sorts of nodes: places and transitions. There are directed arcs from places to transitions and from transitions to places in a net. Places are graphically represented by circles while transitions by boxes or bars. A place can hold tokens denoted by black dots, or a positive integer representing their count.

Definition 1: A Petri net is a 3-tuple \(\mathcal{N}(P, T, F)\) where:

i. \(P=\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m\}\), \(m>0\), is a finite set of places.

ii. \(T=\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n\}\), \(n>0\), is a finite set of transitions.

iii. \(F \subseteq (P \times T) \cup (T \times P)\) is the flow relation.

This section shows how we model web service interaction with Petri nets called Composition net (C-net). Assume that service interface level mismatches do not exist, i.e., the message signature and number of interfaces in both parties match.

We divide the basic structures in BPEL, i.e., \(\text{receive}, \text{reply}, \text{invoke}, \text{assign}, \text{throw}, \text{terminate}, \text{wait}, \text{empty}\) and \(\text{link}\) into two categories. The first category is internal control logic that includes \(\text{receive}, \text{reply}, \text{invoke}, \text{throw}\) and \(\text{link}\). Basic structures in the first category are not related to the interaction between different web services and we model them as internal status places and transitions. Basic structures in the second one are related to the interaction between different web services and we model them as transitions connected with internal status places and interface places as shown in Fig. 2. Note that, \(\text{invoke}\) is a combination of \(\text{reply}\) and \(\text{receive}\), and \(\text{link}\) is modeled as an information channel.
There are sequence, flow, pick, switch and while structured activities in a BPEL process. Based on basic structures, the sequence, pick and switch structures can be covered. The semantics of while structure are similar to while-loop in programming languages like Java. Here we approximate the number of loops in a finite while structured activity and transform the activity to a sequence activity by expanding cycles [14]. We can transform the processes that are executed in parallel in the flow structure into the same processes that are invoked simultaneously in the invoke structure while maintaining the business logic. For example, we can divide the processes that are executed in parallel into separate BPEL processes while maintaining the business logic as shown in Fig. 2.

- Internal status places
- Interface places

![Figure 2. Transforming BPEL into Petri nets](image)

For example, we model the action of sending order information of Customer service in Fig. 3(a). Here we model the order information message as $p_{11}$ and the customer service status before and after sending the message as $p_1$ and $p_2$, respectively. We also model the action of receiving order information of Online Shop service in Fig. 3(b). We model the order information message as the same $p_{11}$ and the online shop service status before and after receiving the message as $p_1$ and $p_2$, respectively. The places like $p_{11}$ are interface ones and the others like $p_{1-2}$ and $p_{6-7}$ are internal status ones. The whole C-net for Fig. 1 is illustrated in Fig. 6(a). We also model the start and end status as two special places and add a new transition $t$ to connect them. For example, we model the start and end status for customer service as $p_1$ and $p_5$, respectively. We use $t$ to connect them. An $n$-member C-net denoted as $N = \bigcirc_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ is defined recursively by composing each C-net $N_i$.

![Figure 3. Modeling the case in Fig. 1 as C-net](image)

Note that the interface places do not have tokens initially because no message is created. They can have tokens if and only if some transition wants to send a message through the information channel while they can lose a token if and only if some transition wants to receive a message through the information channel. A token in them models the situation when the required message is ready. We assume that the maximum number of tokens that an interface place can hold is one. Otherwise a BPEL process is not correct.

![Figure 4. Modeling the interaction of web services](image)

The firing of transitions in a C-net simulates the interaction of web services. For example, order information is
The distribution of tokens over the places of a net is called a marking that corresponds to a state of the modeled system. The initial token distribution is hence called the initial marking. Let \( \mathbb{Z}^+ \) denote the set of non-negative integers and \( N \) the set of positive integers. Then a marking \( M \) of a Petri net \( N \) is a mapping from \( P \) to \( \mathbb{Z}^+ \). \( M(p) \) denotes the number of tokens in place \( p \). An initial marking is denoted by \( M_0 \). The sum of tokens in all places in \( S \) is denoted by \( M(S) = \sum_{p \in S} M(p) \).

A transition \( t \in T \) is enabled under \( M \) if and only if \( \forall p \in t^- : M(p) \geq 0 \) holds. For example, in Fig. 5(a), since \( t_1 = \{p_1\} \) and \( M(p_1) = 1 > 0 \) holds, \( t_1 \) is enabled. If \( M(t) \) holds, \( t \) may fire, resulting in a new marking \( M' \), denoted as \( M = M' \), with \( M'(p) = M(p) + 1 \) if \( \forall p \in t^- : M'(p) = M(p) + 1 \) and otherwise \( M'(p) = M(p) \). For example, after \( t_1 \) fires, we have a new marking \( M' \) where \( M'(p_2) = 1 \) and \( M'(p_1) = 0 \).

\( M' \) is reachable from \( M \) iff there exists a firing sequence \( \sigma = t_1 t_2 \ldots t_m \), such that \( M[t_1 t_2 \ldots t_m] = M' \). The set of markings reachable from \( M_0 \) in \( N \) is denoted as \( R(N, M_0) \). For example, if we denote the marking in Figs. 5(a-d) as \( M_0 \), then \( M_0[t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 t_5] = M' \). The reachability set \( R(N, M_0) \) of a net \( N \) can be expressed by a reachability graph. A reachability graph is a directed graph whose nodes are markings in \( R(N, M_0) \) and arcs are labeled by the transitions of \( N \).

Given a marked net \( (N, M_0) \) and \( N = (P, T, F) \), a transition \( t \in T \) is live under \( M_0 \) iff \( \forall M \in R(N, M_0), \exists M' \in R(N, M), \ M[t] \geq 0 \). \( (N, M_0) \) is live iff \( \forall t \in T : t \) is live under \( M_0 \). For example, \( t_1 \) is not live under \( M_1 \) because \( M_1[t] \geq 0 \). \( (N, M_0) \) is live iff \( \forall M' \in R(N, M_0), \ M[t] > 0 \). A place subset \( S \subseteq P \) is marked by \( M \) iff at least one place in \( S \) is marked.

Informally, a siphon of a Petri net is defined as a set \( S \) of places such that existence of any edge from a transition \( t \) to a place of \( S \) implies that there is an edge from some place of \( S \) to \( t \). A post (pre) set of a place \( p \) is the set of output (input) transitions of \( p \), denoted by \( p^+ \) and \( p^- \) respectively. Given \( Q \subseteq P \), \( \sum_{p \in Q} p^{-} \) and \( \sum_{p \in Q} p^{+} \). For example, we have \( S = \{p_{1,3}\}, \ S' = \{t_1\} \) and \( S'' = \{t_2, t_3\} \) as shown in Fig. 5. Since \( S' \subseteq S'', S \) is a siphon. A siphon has a property: the number of tokens in it will never increase and an empty siphon will always remain empty and all its output transitions are dead. For example, there are two tokens in \( S \) initially in Fig. 5(a). But as the Petri nets evolve in Figs. 5(b-d), the number of tokens in \( S \) never increases.

**Definition 2:** A nonempty place set \( S \subseteq P \) is called a siphon iff \( S \subseteq S' \).

A siphon is minimal iff there does not exist a siphon \( S' \subseteq S \).

**Figure 5:** Illustration for Petri net siphon

4. **Protocol-level mismatch detection and resolution**

As stated in Section 1, deadlock in protocol-level mainly comes from message ordering mismatches and non-local choice mismatches. In this section, we will propose a detection as well as solution method for protocol-level mismatch.

4.1 Detecting protocol-level mismatch

As stated in the previous section, the start and end status is modeled as two special places and there is also a transition \( t \) connecting them. Thus if the token can arrive at the end place, then the initial marking is reachable, i.e., there should be no protocol-level mismatch. For example, in Fig. 5(a), if the token in \( p_3 \) finally arrives at \( p_5 \), the initial marking is reachable by firing \( t_5 \).

**Definition 4:** A C-net \( N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} N_i \) matches at protocol-level iff the initial marking is reachable for each reachable marking.

We can also prove that if there is no dead transition when the message exchanges between protocols, the inter-
action among web services can proceed until the exchange ends up in final states. There is a dead transition in C-net if and only if there is an empty siphon.

Theorem 1: Suppose a C-net $N$ with an initial marking $M_0$. Let $M \in R(N, M_0)$ and let $t \in T$ be a dead transition at $M$. Then \( \exists \) a siphon $S$, \( M(S) = 0 \).

Theorem 2: A C-net $N = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ matches at protocol-level iff \( \forall M \in R(N, M_0), \forall (\text{minimal}) \text{ Siphon } S, M(S) \neq 0 \).

The detailed proofs for Theorems 1 and 2 are in [6]. They are omitted here due to space constraints. For instance, there are 4 minimum siphons in Fig. 6(a), i.e., $S_1 = \{p_1, p_3, p_4\}, S_2 = \{p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8\}, S_3 = \{p_9, p_{10}, p_{11}, p_{12}\}$, $S_4 = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_5\}$. Since there are initial empty siphons, i.e., $S_4$ and $S_5$, there exists protocol-level mismatching. This is true because after $t_1$ and $t_6$ fire, there is a deadlock as shown in Fig. 6(b).

Thus the problem of protocol-level mismatching of web service interaction is transformed to the problem of empty minimal siphons in a C-net. We can use the mix-integer programming algorithm to detect the maximal empty siphon [15].

Figure 6. Modeling the interaction of web services

In the aspect of detecting protocol-level mismatch, neither of the reachability analysis based method and mix-integer programming has clear computational advantage over the other because both of them have exponential complexity [16]. However, the former is sensitive to the change of initial markings while the latter is not. This property can be used to reduce the computational complexity for incompatibility detection for different initial states. Note that a new reachability graph is required for each new initial state.

Suppose that we have a C-net $N = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ where some minimal siphons can become empty. Our main goal is to introduce into the system a solution to guarantee that no empty minimal siphons are reachable during the evolution of the new C-net, i.e., the new C-net at protocol-level matches.

4.2 Correcting message ordering mismatch

The scenario in Fig. 1 is classified as message ordering mismatch. In this case, there are initial empty siphons. The customer is waiting for the delivery information while the online shop is waiting for the payment information. Thus, developers must be involved to provide additional information at the deadlock point, i.e., to ask the customer to provide the payment information or to ask the online shop to provide the delivery information.

But the developers will probably not make the best decision considering the complicated future message exchanges of two parties after their decision. For example, if they ask the customer to provide the payment information, then the next deadlock happens, i.e., the online shop is waiting for confirmation and the customer is waiting for delivery. But if they ask the online shop to provide the delivery information, then no deadlock happens.

Here we provide an algorithm based on the C-net to help developers choose the best solution. According to Theorems 1-2, the deadlock happens because there are empty siphons in the C-net. According to the property of a siphon, the number of tokens in a siphon will never increase and an empty siphon will always remain empty. Thus, possible solutions can be adding one or more tokens to interface places $p_{11-14}$ to make all of the empty siphons marked. For example, adding one token to $p_{11}$ means that the order information is ready while adding to $p_{12}$ means that the payment information is ready.

Among all the solutions that the developers can have, we should provide the best one. It should contain the smallest amount of information. For example, payment, confirmation and delivery information is three different kinds of information. The best solution is to provide only the delivery information, not all of the three. The best solution should guarantee the message ordering match in the future as well. For example, the best decision is to provide the delivery information that guarantees that no deadlock will ever happen.

We find the best solution by linear programming.

Algorithm:

**INPUT:** (1) $n$-member C-net $N$ with minimum siphon set $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_{\infty}$. $\Omega_0$ denotes the initial non-empty siphon set, and $\Omega_\infty = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_i\}$ denotes the initial empty siphon set. (2) interface place set $P_e = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_j\}$

**OUTPUT:** A list of messages that should be provided. We denote the list as a $j \times 1$ vector $L$ where $L(j) = 1$ if $p_j \in L$;
and 0 otherwise.

BEGIN:

Step 1. /* Calculate the contribution matrix of every message to the siphon*/
Constitute an \(i \times j\) matrix \(A\), where \(A(i, j)=1\) if \(p_j \in S_i\); and 0 otherwise.

Step 2. /* Optimization*/
Compute the following linear programming problem:
Minimize \(1^T L\)
\[\text{s.t. } A^*L = 1^T\]

Step 3. /* Return result*/
Return \(L\)

END

We explain the idea underlying this algorithm as follows:

Firstly, the constraint function \(A^*L = 1^T\) can return all the solutions. As shown in Fig. 7, because we have \(A(i, j)=1\) if \(p_j \in S_i\); and 0 otherwise, and \(L(j)=1\) if \(p_j \in L\); and 0 otherwise, the solutions of the constraint function guarantee that each empty siphon is marked by exactly one token. Moreover, if the constraint function is not satisfied, there is at least one empty siphon.

Secondly, the objective function of the linear programming formulation can return the best solution. In the contribution matrix, the more siphons the message ordering formulation can return the best solution. In the constraint function, the more involved in, the more it's it has in the \(j\)th column. Since the objective function calculates the sum of messages, the solution has the smallest total number of messages if the proposed objective function is minimized.

Finally, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 3:** Application of the above algorithm to every C-net can eliminate the message ordering mismatches.

For the scenario in Fig. 1, we have \(\Omega_3=\{S_1, S_2\}\), \(\Omega_1=\{S_3\}\), and \(P_5=\{p_{11, 14}\}\). Because \(p_{12} \in S_6\), \(p_{14} \in S_3\), \(p_{13} \in S_1\), \(p_{14} \in S_5\), we have \(A=((0, 0, 1, 1); (0, 0, 1, 1))\). The result is \(L=(0, 0, 0, 1)^T\). It means that the developer should ask the online shop to provide the delivery information (the token in the interface place \(p_{14}\) denotes the delivery information). Moreover, if we check the method that the developer asks the customer to provide the payment information, i.e., \(L'=(0, 1, 0, 0)^T\), we find that this method will fail. This is simply because \(L'\) is not a solution of the linear programming problem. Because \(A^*L'=(1, 0)^T\), although \(S_4\) is marked, \(S_5\) is still empty.

4.3 Local choice mismatch solution

Different from the message ordering mismatch where there are initial empty siphons, there are no initial empty siphons in local choice mismatch. But some of the siphons may lose their tokens if some transitions fire. We propose a method based on additional information channels in [6] to hold the tokens in siphons such that every siphon is always marked.

4.4 Implementation

The implementation contains three steps. Firstly, developers model the BPEL with Petri nets using the modeling methods in Sec. 3. Secondly, they run the algorithm. Finally, they take action according to the algorithm’s results.

For example, in the scenario described in Fig. 1, developers should construct the messages that are denoted by the non-zero elements in \(L\) in the algorithm’s results. For example, the solution \(L=(0, 0, 0, 1)^T\) means that they should construct the delivery information. There are several pieces of evidence that can be used to help them construct such information [1]: (1) Interface-based inference; (2) Log-based value-type inference; and (3) Developer input.

We show how to construct the delivery information. The schema of delivery information message normally includes “Order number”, “Order date”, “Product number/description”, “Quantity”, “Unit price”, “Merchandise net”, “Tax amount”, “Shipping amount”, “Total amount” and “Ship to address”. Firstly, the elements “Order date”, “Product number/description”, “Quantity” and “Ship to address” can be obtained from order information message that was sent by customer by interface-based inference. Secondly, the elements “Unit price” can be obtained from previous logs from online shop by log-based value inference. Then elements “Tax amount”, “Shipping amount” and “Total amount” can be calculated. Finally, developers input “Order number”.

A prototype web service application was built using web service composition on ActiveBPEL [17] to validate the siphon-based technique described above.
5. Conclusion

Service composition is widely used as a way to realize multiple functional requirements. However, mismatches at the interface and protocol levels may render the composite service unusable. Although the existing studies, e.g., PNML, oWFNs, c-graph and BPEL2PN, can analyze the problems based on reachability analysis, they do not provide a direct solution.

The main contribution of this paper is to propose a siphon-based analysis technique that yields a variant of component service without mismatches. Without checking the state space, our approach provides an optimized and also automatic solution for correcting protocol-mismatches. This approach greatly reduces the amount of interactions with developers.

There are some limitations that can lead to interesting future work. First, our algorithm cannot lead to a solution if the method of adding information is not applicable. Second, although the search for siphons can be performed offline and the computation of minimum siphons is simple, in some complex structured C-net, such computation can be expensive. Some polynomial complex algorithms to find and control siphons should be explored for CDnets by making full use of their special structural information. Some recent advance by Wang et al. [18] may provide good help along this direction.
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