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## 1. A Summary of Compounds 1-8.

| Formula | Compound | Spacegroup |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{K}_{30}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right)_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{P} 3 m \mathrm{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 2 | $C 2 / m$ |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 3 | $P m n 2_{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ | 4 | $P m n 2_{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2 / 2}$ | 5 | $P m n 2_{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2 / 2}$ | 6 | $P m n 2_{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right)_{3} \mathrm{I}_{2 / 2}$ | 7 | $P m n 2_{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right)_{3} \mathrm{I}_{3}$ | 8 | $P m n 2_{l}$ |

## 2. Discussion of Resonance Raman Spectroscopy.

Features observed near $220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in resonance Raman spectra of Compounds 2, 5, and $\mathbf{6}$ may be explainable through bond length asymmetry in the tribromide anions present. Previous work suggests that there is a continuous range of bond length asymmetry possible for $\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$in different environments and that as this asymmetry becomes large, $v_{1}$ is observed to blue shift and decrease in intensity while the asymmetric stretch $\left(v_{2}\right)$ in the low $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region emerges and red shifts. ${ }^{1,2}$ The $v_{2}$ mode is solely IR active for symmetric trihalides but becomes Raman active with increasing asymmetry. ${ }^{3,4}$

Crystal structures of Compounds $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ indicate $\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$interatomic distance differences of at least 18 pm , consistent with observed $v_{2}$ frequencies near $220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \cdot{ }^{1,2}$ Compared to the similarly asymmetric $\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$in $\mathrm{CsBr}_{3},{ }^{2}$ red-shifted $v_{1}$ bands observed in our spectra may be an effect of the local environment of $\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$or may indicate the coexistence of symmetrical $\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$species in each compound. On the other hand, the crystal structure of Compound 2 does not reveal $\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$asymmetry. In this structure asymmetric $\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$ may exist as a minority species in accordance with the observed spectrum.

Crystallography revealed analogous $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$asymmetry in Compounds $\mathbf{7}$ and 8, though to a smaller degree than the bromine-containing compounds. $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$bond length differences were calculated to be between 5 and 10 pm in these structures, which may be insufficient in order to observe $v_{2}$ as a prominent feature. We acknowledge the possibility that the shoulder observed on the high-wavenumber side of $v_{1}$ is $v_{2}$ and that it is visible but weak due to the small degree to which $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$is asymmetric in these structures.

Alternatively, the peaks at around $220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the bromine-containing compounds presented here may be feasibly explained by the presence of bromine chains of lengths other than three atoms. In Raman spectra of $\mathrm{Br}_{5}^{-}$, Chen et al. ${ }^{5}$ observed a terminal asymmetric stretch at $210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ accompanied by a terminal symmetric stretch at $253 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Since band frequency and intensity may be altered by its degree
of asymmetry, peaks observed in our spectra may be attributable to $\mathrm{Br}_{5}{ }^{-}$. However, given our crystallographic data and the absence of a peak near $253 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in our spectra, we do not believe $\mathrm{Br}_{5}{ }^{-}$is present in our synthesized compounds. Iqbal et al. ${ }^{6}$ and Burns and Renner ${ }^{1}$ attributed peaks at $230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $234 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, in resonance Raman spectra of compounds containing $\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$to the presence of interstitial $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and that its vibrational frequency was shifted in comparison to that of crystalline bromine due to the local environment in each case. This possibility is also unlikely for the compounds presented in this work because of the presented crystallographic structures.

## 3. Powder X-Ray Diffraction Patterns.

Powder X-Ray Diffraction patterns were collected at room temperature with the use of a Rigaku XDS 2000 with Ni-filtered $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.5418 \AA$ ) and compared against patterns in the Joint Committee of Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) database. The red " X " indicates an impurity. For Compound 1, the impurity is Potassium Vanadium Oxide Fluoride $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{VF}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$. For Compound 2, the impurities are Vanadium Oxide Fluoride (VOF) and Potassium Bromide Fluoride $\left(\mathrm{KBrF}_{6}\right)$. For Compound 3, the impurities are Molybdenum Oxide Fluoride $\left(\mathrm{MoOF}_{4}\right)$ and Potassium Molybdenum Oxide $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right)$. For Compound 4, the impurities are Potassium Niobium Fluoride $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{NbF}_{7}\right)$ and Niobium Oxide Fluoride ( $\mathrm{NbO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ ). For Compound 5, the impurities are Potassium Niobium Fluoride $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NbF}_{7}\right)\right)$ and Potassium Niobium Oxide $\left(\mathrm{KNb}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$. For Compound 6, the impurities are Potassium Molybdenum Oxide $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{MoO}_{5}\right)$. For Compound 7, the impurity is Potassium Niobium Fluoride $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{NbF}_{7}\right)$. For Compound 8, the impurity is Potassium Molybdenum Oxide $\left(\mathrm{KMo}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{13}\right)$ and Potassium Molybdenum Oxide Fluoride $\left(\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~F}\right)$.


## Compound 2



## Compound 3







## 4. Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectrum Analysis of compounds 1-8 .

| Compound | Atomic \% (found) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X | M | K | M | O | F | X | Ratio X <br> /M (found) | Ratio X /M (expected) |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Cl}_{1 / 3}$ | V | 0.216 | 0.108 | 0.080 | 0.599 | 0.0060 | 0.056 | 0.056 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Br}_{4 / 2}$ | V | 0.177 | 0.089 | 0.116 | 0.593 | 0.0252 | 0.283 | 0.333 |
| 3 | Cl | Mo | 0.192 | 0.120 | 0.232 | 0.435 | 0.0206 | 0.172 | 0.167 |
| 4 | Br | Nb | 0.174 | 0.123 | 0.099 | 0.585 | 0.0185 | 0.150 | 0.167 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Br}_{4 / 2}$ | Nb | 0.166 | 0.100 | 0.105 | 0.600 | 0.0295 | 0.296 | 0.333 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Br}_{4 / 2}$ | Mo | 0.178 | 0.123 | 0.194 | 0.465 | 0.0408 | 0.332 | 0.333 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{I}_{4 / 2}$ | Nb | 0.148 | 0.096 | 0.094 | 0.634 | 0.0284 | 0.296 | 0.333 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{I}_{4 / 2}$ | Mo | 0.164 | 0.106 | 0.168 | 0.529 | 0.0322 | 0.303 | 0.333 |


| Atom \% (expected) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compound | X | M | K | M | O | F | X |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Cl}_{1 / 3}$ | V | 0.250 | 0.120 | 0.120 | 0.553 | 0.007 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Br}_{4 / 2}$ | V | 0.244 | 0.118 | 0.118 | 0.529 | 0.039 |
| 3 | Cl | Mo | 0.250 | 0.120 | 0.240 | 0.420 | 0.020 |
| 4 | Br | Nb | 0.250 | 0.120 | 0.120 | 0.540 | 0.020 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Br}_{4 / 2}$ | Nb | 0.244 | 0.118 | 0.118 | 0.529 | 0.039 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Br}_{4 / 2}$ | Mo | 0.244 | 0.118 | 0.235 | 0.412 | 0.039 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{I}_{4 / 2}$ | Nb | 0.244 | 0.118 | 0.118 | 0.529 | 0.039 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{I}_{4 / 2}$ | Mo | 0.244 | 0.118 | 0.235 | 0.412 | 0.039 |

Individual Spectra of Compounds 1-8.




## 5. Discussion of Halide Disorder in Channels.

During structural determination of the compounds, all had broad distributions of electron density within pores surrounded by potassium cations (see Figure S 1). This disorder was modeled with a single disordered halide for structures $1-4$. Refinement was performed by splitting sites to isolate electron density as discretely as possible; however, the broadness frequently required elongated halide ellipsoids.

Structures 5-8 showed poor refinement with the use of a single halide ion: discrete sites decidedly refined to three sites of occupancies in the ratio of $1: 0.5: 0.5$. These sites were modeled as a $50: 50$ combination of the moieities $\left[\mathrm{X}_{3}\right]^{-}$and $[\mathrm{X}]^{-}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$. The occupancies were initially freely refined, and then identified as . We note that $\left[\mathrm{Br}_{3}\right]^{-}$and $\left[\mathrm{I}_{3}\right]^{-}$ions were not used as starting reagents but must have been generated in situ; we attribute this oxidation during hydrothermal conditions.


Figure S 1. A contour Fourier difference map of Compound 4 showing the broad distribution of electron density within the channels formed from potassium cations.

## 6. Commensurate Structural Solution for Compound 6.

Compound 6 showed a commensurate modulation of $\mathrm{q}=(0,1 / 3,0)$. We modeled it as a
disordered structure and as a commensurately modulated, disordered structure. For comparison reasons, the main text describes the disordered structure; the commensurate structure is shown in figures S2 and

S3.


Figure S 2. A figure of the commensurate structure of Compound 6. The structure shows complicated disorder and separate channels that contain either bromide or tribromide anions.


Figure S 3 A view of the bc plane of the commensurate structure of compound 6 .
Blue ovals indicate channels of disordered bromide anions; red ovals indicate channels of disordered tribromide anions.

## 7. Explanation of the $\Lambda$ Bend Observed in Compound 1.

The presence of two halide ion channel types introduces anisotropy that can allow for different bonding environments of the bridging fluoride anion. In this compound, the bond strength of $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{X}$ increases for $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$as compared to $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}$. The overall crystal packing thus places the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{K}$ moeity closer to the bridging fluoride. This increases the resulting interaction K-F interaction to cause a $\Lambda$ shape.


Figure S 4 The $\Lambda$-shaped unit of centrosymmetric $\mathrm{K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)_{1 / 3}$.

## 8. Pair Distribution Function Analysis of Compounds 3 and 8.

## Pair distribution function (PDF) measurements

PDF measurements were obtained at the 11-ID-B line at the APS at Argonne National Laboratory. Samples of Compound 3 and Compound 8 were placed within Kapton capillaries ( 1 mm diameter), and rotated during data collection. The PDF data were collected at 298 K with the rapid acquisition pair distribution function (RA-PDF) technique. ${ }^{7}$ The data were collected with a Perkin-Elmer a $\alpha-$ Si detector and $\sim 90 \mathrm{eV}$ energy X-Rays ( $\lambda=0.13702 \AA$ ). The data were combined and integrated within the program FIT2D. ${ }^{8}$ Corrections were applied (before calculation of the PDF) for background, Compton, fluorescence scatter, geometric condsiderations, etc. within PDFGetX2. ${ }^{9,10}$ Fits were performed within PDFGui by refinement of the unit cell parameters, scale factor, and delta1.

Fitting parameters for PDF data and resulting fitness.

| Compound: | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{a}(\AA)$ | 20.013787 | 20.22967 |
| $\mathrm{~b}(\AA)$ | 7.8307171 | 7.82105 |
| $\mathrm{c}(\AA)$ | 11.27165 | 11.54426 |
| scale factor | 0.794625 | 0.72618 |
| chisq | 176.046 | 48.9983 |
| red chisq | 7.830717 | 0.004951 |
| Rw | 11.27165 | 0.23963 |



Figure S 5. a PDF fit from 1-100 $\AA$ of Compound 3: $\mathrm{K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ shown from 1-30 $\AA$.


Figure S 6. A PDF fit from 1-100 Å of Compound 3: $\mathrm{K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ shown from 1-100 $\AA$.


Figure S 7. A PDF fit from 1-100 $\AA$ of Compound 8: $\mathrm{K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right)_{3} \mathrm{I}_{2 / 2}$ shown from 1-30 $\AA$.


Figure S 8. A PDF fit from 1-100 Å of Compound 8: $\mathrm{K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right)_{3} \mathrm{I}_{2 / 2}$ shown from 1-100 $\AA$.

## 9. Computational Details and Coordinates of Input and Output Files for Geometry Optimizations.

For the computational experiments, Each pseudopotential defines a basis set to be used, which depends on the atom. The basis set references for each atom are in the table below, and at http://www.q-chem.com/qchem-website/manual_4-1.html\#tth_sEc8.6.2. ${ }^{11-15}$

Table S 1. The basis sets used for each atom, depending on the pseudopotential used.

|  | CRENBL | LANL2DZ | SBKJC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F,O | Reference 7 | Pople 6-31G basis used | Reference 8 |
| Nb | Reference 9 | Reference 10 | Reference 11 |

Geometry optimizations were performed on the $\left[\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right]^{3-}$ anion in a) a linear bimetallic configuration constructed by use of the coordinates of the vanadium anion $\left[\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right]^{3-}$, but with alteration of niobium for vanadium, b) a $\Lambda$-shaped configuration with a slight perturbation of the bridging fluoride between the two transition metals, c) and of a $\Lambda$-shaped $\left[\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right]^{3-}$ made by use of the anion of $\mathrm{K}_{10}\left(\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ compound. All optimizations resulted in a linear $\left[\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right]^{3-}$ bimetallic unit.

Coordinates of Input files.
Linear $\left[\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right]^{3-}$ Input
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{Nb} & 10.17879 & 1.32782 & 2.34407\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { F } & 11.51709 & 2.21451 & 3.44745\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { F } & 11.54661 & 0.06524 & 1.75773\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { F } & 8.80628 & 0.05818 & 1.76097\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { F } & 8.83988 & 2.21098 & 3.44583\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { F } & 10.17950 & 0.00000 & 4.04820\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { O } & 10.17950 & 2.46840 & 1.04848\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{Nb} & 10.18021 & -1.32782 & 5.75233\end{array}$
F 8.84191 -2.21451 4.64895
F $8.81239-0.06524 \quad 6.33867$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { F } & 11.55271 & -0.05818 & 6.33543\end{array}$
F 11.51912 -2.21098 4.65057
O 10.17950 -2.46840 7.04792

| Perturbed Linear $\left[\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right]^{3-}$ Input |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nb | -0.0015769 | 1.4096715 | -1.7656269 |
| F | 1.3747668 | 2.3649960 | -0.7573341 |
| F | 1.3736230 | 0.2151727 | -2.4743777 |
| F | -1.3754440 | 0.2132403 | -2.4730517 |
| F | -1.3784500 | 2.3633491 | -0.7564286 |
| F | -0.1000013 | 0.0000001 | -0.0000000 |
| O | -0.0028490 | 2.4939025 | -3.1244675 |
| Nb | 0.0015765 | -1.4096715 | 1.7656269 |
| F | -1.3747661 | -2.3649986 | 0.7573352 |
| F | -1.3736249 | -0.2151752 | 2.4743789 |
| F | 1.3754422 | -0.2132379 | 2.4730506 |
| F | 1.3784506 | -2.3633463 | 0.7564274 |
| O | 0.0028530 | -2.4939027 | 3.1244674 |


| $\Lambda$ Shaped $\left[\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right]^{3-}$ Input |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nb | 0.00000 | -4.49988 | -3.13807 |
| F | -1.35308 | -5.64777 | -3.92622 |
| F | -1.39581 | -3.82240 | -1.95846 |
| F | 0.00000 | -6.06395 | -1.60237 |
| O | 0.00000 | -3.27455 | -4.30092 |
| F | 1.35308 | -5.64777 | -3.92622 |
| F | 1.39581 | -3.82240 | -1.95846 |
| Nb | 0.00000 | -8.08590 | -0.66934 |
| F | -1.35512 | -8.34548 | -2.03759 |
| F | 1.35512 | -8.34548 | -2.03759 |
| F | -1.34291 | -7.25213 | 0.41310 |
| F | 1.34291 | -7.25213 | 0.41310 |
| O | 0.00000 | -9.63868 | 0.00698 |

Table S 2 below contains structural parameters for the optimized geometries of the $\left[\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right]^{3-} \mathrm{BBU}$, as a function of initial guess geometry (bent, linear, or perturbed as explained in text), pseudopotential (or effective core potential, ECP) and functional (M06, M11, PBE0, and TPSSH). The functionals were chosen to represent a range of methods: PBE0 is a GGA functional; TPSSH, M06, and M11 are meta-GGA functionals; and M11 is additionally a long-range-corrected functional. The optimized geometries are robust to the method used, except for two cases using the M06 functional (bolded rows in table).

The table below contains structural parameters for the optimized geometries of the $\left[\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right]^{3-} \mathrm{BBU}$, as a function of initial guess geometry (bent, linear, or perturbed as explained in text), pseudopotential (or effective core potential, ECP) and functional (M06, M11, PBE0, and TPSSH). The functionals were chosen to represent a range of methods: PBE0 is a GGA functional; TPSSH, M06, and M11 are meta-GGA functionals; and M11 is additionally a long-range-corrected functional. The optimized geometries are robust to the method used, except for two cases using the M06 functional (bolded rows in table).

Table S 2. This table demonstrates that the output optimized geometries of the $\left[\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{9}\right]^{3-} \mathrm{BBU}$ are nearly independent of the basis and functional used. Only for two cases (bolded rows), both using the M06 functional, does the Nb-bridging F-Nb angle deviate more than one degree from perfect linearity. The last two rows show the average and standard deviations of the structural parameters; the second number in each cell is that quantity ignoring the contribution from the cases where the Nb -bridging $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Nb}$ motif is nonlinear.

| Starting geom. | ECP | Functional | Nb-F-Nb $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Nb-bridge F ( $\AA)$ | Nb -axial $\mathrm{F}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{Nb}-\mathrm{O}(\AA)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| bent | CRENBL | M06 | $\mathbf{1 7 3 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 8}$ | 2.78 |
| bent | CRENBL | M11 | 179.13 | 2.23 | 2.00 | 2.78 |
| bent | CRENBL | PBE0 | 179.79 | 2.25 | 1.98 | 2.78 |
| bent | CRENBL | TPSSH | 179.99 | 2.26 | 1.99 | 2.8 |
| bent | LANL2DZ | M06 | 179.44 | 2.24 | 1.97 | 2.77 |
| bent | LANL2DZ | M11 | 179.60 | 2.21 | 1.97 | 2.76 |
| bent | LANL2DZ | PBE0 | 179.70 | 2.24 | 1.96 | 2.76 |
| bent | LANL2DZ | TPSSH | 179.82 | 2.24 | 1.97 | 2.78 |


| bent | SBKJC | M06 | 172.62 | 2.25 | 1.99 | 2.78 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bent | SBKJC | M11 | 179.93 | 2.23 | 2.00 | 2.77 |
| bent | SBKJC | PBE0 | 179.77 | 2.25 | 1.99 | 2.78 |
| bent | SBKJC | TPSSH | 179.81 | 2.26 | 2.00 | 2.8 |
| linear | CRENBL | M06 | 180.00 | 2.25 | 1.99 | 2.78 |
| linear | CRENBL | M11 | 180.00 | 2.23 | 2.00 | 2.79 |
| linear | CRENBL | PBE0 | 180.00 | 2.25 | 1.98 | 2.78 |
| linear | CRENBL | TPSSH | 180.00 | 2.26 | 1.99 | 2.8 |
| linear | LANL2DZ | M06 | 180.00 | 2.24 | 1.97 | 2.76 |
| linear | LANL2DZ | M11 | 180.00 | 2.22 | 1.97 | 2.76 |
| linear | LANL2DZ | PBE0 | 180.00 | 2.23 | 1.97 | 2.76 |
| linear | LANL2DZ | TPSSH | 180.00 | 2.24 | 1.97 | 2.78 |
| linear | SBKJC | M06 | 180.00 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 2.78 |
| linear | SBKJC | M11 | 180.00 | 2.23 | 2.00 | 2.78 |
| linear | SBKJC | PBE0 | 180.00 | 2.25 | 1.99 | 2.78 |
| linear | SBKJC | TPSSH | 180.00 | 2.26 | 2.00 | 2.8 |
| perturbed | CRENBL | M06 | 179.38 | 2.25 | 1.99 | 2.78 |
| perturbed | CRENBL | M11 | 179.65 | 2.24 | 2.00 | 2.79 |
| perturbed | CRENBL | PBE0 | 179.51 | 2.25 | 1.99 | 2.78 |
| perturbed | CRENBL | TPSSH | 179.52 | 2.26 | 1.99 | 2.8 |
| perturbed | LANL2DZ | M06 | 179.38 | 2.24 | 1.97 | 2.76 |
| perturbed | LANL2DZ | M11 | 179.73 | 2.22 | 1.97 | 2.77 |
| perturbed | LANL2DZ | PBE0 | 179.50 | 2.24 | 1.97 | 2.76 |
| perturbed | LANL2DZ | TPSSH | 179.47 | 2.24 | 1.97 | 2.78 |
| perturbed | SBKJC | M06 | 179.33 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 2.78 |
| perturbed | SBKJC | M11 | 179.52 | 2.23 | 2.00 | 2.78 |
| perturbed | SBKJC | PBE0 | 179.57 | 2.25 | 1.99 | 2.78 |
| perturbed | SBKJC | TPSSH | 179.63 | 2.26 | 2.00 | 2.8 |


| Average |  |  | $179.36 / 179.74$ | $2.24 / 2.24$ | $1.99 / 1.99$ | $2.78 / 2.78$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Std. deviation |  | $1.60 / 0.26$ | $0.01 / 0.01$ | $0.01 / 0.01$ | $0.01 / 0.01$ |  |

All Q-Chem input and output files, along with a script to generate and submit the Q-Chem jobs, are included in the file Nb_optimizations.zip.
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