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BACKGROUND: An estimated 250,000 Canadians are infected with the hepatitis C virus (HCV). The present study describes a cohort of individuals with HCV referred to community-based, integrated prevention and care projects developed in British Columbia. Treatment outcomes are reported for a subset of individuals undergoing antiviral therapy at four project sites.

METHODS: Four demonstration projects based on a public health nurse and physician partnership were established in rural and small urban centres in British Columbia. Comprehensive medical assessments determined whether individuals received treatment, or counselling and education. Outcomes of the treatment group were compared with published randomized controlled trials. Client demographics were mapped using geographical information systems applications.

RESULTS: A total of 1795 individuals were referred to the clinics for medical assessment between September 2001 and December 2005. After assessment, 26% were eligible for therapy, while 74% received counselling and education. Wait times decreased annually, with one-half of all referrals assessed within 30 days. Combination antiviral therapy was initiated in 363 clients with interferon plus ribavirin (n=36) or pegylated interferon plus ribavirin (n=327). Treatment outcomes were available for 205 individuals. The overall rate of sustained virological response was 61% (126 of 205 individuals). The number of individuals assessed at each site represented, on average, 20% of the total cumulative reported HCV cases in the catchment areas.

DISCUSSION: The study findings illustrate how a public health nurse and physician partnership can service a population with complex medical needs while simultaneously increasing local capacity. Treatment outcomes were comparable with published clinical trials.
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Chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection affects over 250,000 Canadians, of whom only two-thirds have been identified (1). Approximately 10% to 25% of those infected will develop cirrhosis, end-stage liver disease, hepatocellular carcinoma or require liver transplantation in the coming decades (2,3). Canada currently spends approximately $500 million per year on HCV. With an estimated 5000 new infections occurring annually, spending on HCV and its sequelae is expected to reach $1 billion per year by 2010 (4). Pegylated interferon plus ribavirin, the current standard therapy, produces sustained virological response (SVR), ie, viral clearance, in 45% to 80% of treated individuals, depending on viral genotype (5,6). However, the initiation rate of therapy is affected by a number of factors. First, only 30% to 50% of infected individuals are eligible for therapy under current treatment criteria. Second, treatment is complex to administer, with variation in the duration of therapy, side effects, and the social and financial hardships that often accompany treatment. Third, although pharmaceutical costs of pegylated interferon and ribavirin therapy are covered by provincial drug benefit plans in Canada, treatment is expensive (approximately $400 per patient per week treated) and it is known that many individuals will discontinue treatment due to adverse effects (7-9). Despite these issues, therapy has
been shown to be a cost-effective way of reducing overall disease mortality and morbidity (10).

In Canada and other developed countries, the majority of existing HCV infections (68% to 80%) were acquired by remote or recent injection drug use (IDU), approximately 15% from contaminated blood products before donor testing for HCV, and the remainder of the infections were acquired in countries with high HCV endemicity, from high-risk sexual activity, and by perinatal and occupational transmission (1,11). Estimates of HCV prevalence among IDU individuals range from 50% to 95% (12), indicating that this group is most at risk for ongoing transmission. There is no vaccine to prevent HCV infection and, therefore, transmission must be prevented through targeted public health measures. Once infected, the sequelae of chronic liver inflammation can be reduced by treatment (11). Over the long term, reducing the burden of HCV requires a coordinated approach involving public health prevention initiatives, primary care and specialist services (13). Currently, it is estimated that only a small proportion of HCV-infected individuals have ever been referred to a specialist for a consultation and follow-up (14,15).

In 2001, to respond to the estimated 60,000 cases of chronic HCV in British Columbia, the provincial government established BC Hepatitis Services (BCHS) as a division of the BC Centre for Disease Control. The overall mandate of the BCHS is to implement prevention and control strategies for viral hepatitis and reduce disease burden. BCHS established four demonstration projects in rural and small urban centres in British Columbia to provide locally accessible, integrated hepatitis prevention and care services based on a public health nurse and physician partnership. The aims of the present report are to describe the cohort of individuals with HCV referred to the integrated prevention and care sites from 2001 to 2005 and report on treatment outcomes for a subset of individuals who initiated antiviral therapy.

METHODS
From 2001 to 2004, BCHS and its partners launched four integrated prevention and care demonstration projects in rural and small urban areas in British Columbia. Implementation was staged, allowing sites implemented later to learn from the experience of preceding sites. Each site received a $25,000 start-up grant to define their program, as well as $75,000 in annual funding. Nurses and allied health professionals completed an interdisciplinary training program that was organized and partially funded by BCHS. Participation in annual update sessions ensured that education requirements and competencies were maintained. Core partnerships for clinical services were formed between public health nurses and local physicians (between one and four physicians per site). Although medical decisions affecting clients on therapy were ultimately the responsibility of the individuals’ physician of record, clinical management was enhanced by sharing of responsibility and decision-making between nurses and physicians. The project sites were located in Campbell River, Kamloops, Prince George and Surrey. The study cohort was derived from 1795 individuals referred to the project sites between September 1, 2001 and December 31, 2005.

Clients could be self-referred, or referred by physicians or allied health agencies (eg, street clinics). Clinic staff arranged for a nurse to interview each case as part of a comprehensive prevention and care assessment. Immunizations and referrals to ancillary services, such as mental health and addictions counseling, were offered where appropriate. An initial assessment determined the specific client needs. Eligibility for therapy was determined by the nurse and physician at the initial assessment or at a subsequent visit. Treatment criteria were determined in accordance with published Canadian guidelines for the management of HCV for clients initiating therapy after 2004 (16). Before 2004, treatment eligibility was determined by guidelines for the management of patients with HCV from the United States National Institutes of Health. Clients who were not eligible for therapy received education and counseling. For purposes of analysis, we divided clients into two groups: eligible for therapy, or for education and counseling.

Individuals who initiated therapy were analyzed based on viral genotypes: genotype 1 and genotype 2/3. To ensure an adequate period to evaluate for SVR by December 31, 2005, the outcome analysis included only those genotype 1 clients who started treatment before June 15, 2004. Using the same method, clients with genotype 2/3 must have initiated therapy before December 31, 2004. This allowed each client to be observed for the period of time required to evaluate therapeutic response (ie, clients with genotype 1 were observed for 72 weeks and clients with genotype 2/3 were observed for 48 weeks). All clients who initiated therapy before these cutoff dates were included in the analysis.

For study eligibility purposes, HCV infection was defined as a reactive anti-HCV antibody test and detection of HCV RNA by commercial qualitative or quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay. A successful outcome of therapy was a SVR defined as undetectable serum HCV RNA (less than 50 IU/mL) by qualitative polymerase chain reaction assay (Cobas Amplicor HCV test, version 2.0, Roche Diagnostics, USA) six months after the end of treatment. SVR rates for the project sites were compared with those from published clinical trials.

To prospectively capture clinical and administrative data, a custom database was designed and used by each site. This database provided standardized data on demographic and disease characteristics, HCV RNA status on entry, mode of acquisition of HCV, comorbid diseases, interventions, clinic resource utilization including duration and nature of health care contacts and the identity of the provider, clinical status and outcomes of therapy. Specific medical parameters, such as liver biopsy or laboratory test values, were not collected. To ensure data accuracy, the database incorporated a series of algorithms to identify missing and out-of-sequence data. All individual records were audited to confirm the accuracy of the dataset. Client-specific data were exported to the BC Centre for Disease Control at the end of each calendar year, without personal identifying information (ie, anonymously), and assigned a case number.

To assess the geographical catchment area of each project site, HCV cases reported to British Columbia’s Integrated Public Health Information System from 1992 through 2004 were cross-referenced with the number of cases seen in each clinic site. Due to the site’s late initiation date (January 2004), data from Surrey were not included in this portion of the analysis.

Differences in client demographics were assessed using t tests for mean values, as well as ORs and 2 for tests of proportions. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 14.0 (SPSS Inc, USA).
RESULTS

The majority of funds allocated for the project were used to employ nurses in each of the four health regions. Existing physical infrastructure within public health units or regional hospitals was utilized to minimize overhead costs. A smaller proportion of funding was allocated to diversify harm prevention and reduction strategies. For example, one region employed a mental health and addictions counsellor, while another site allocated funds to physician sessional fees. One urban site partnered with local business and community leaders to develop a public education campaign on substance use and safe syringe disposal.

BCHS organized specialized training in viral hepatitis prevention and care for project staff through a series of intensive three-day interdisciplinary workshops held in Vancouver, British Columbia. Project staff subsequently delivered presentations to local agencies and groups. For example, one site provided a one-day workshop on viral hepatitis, which was attended by 16 physicians, and 105 nurses and allied health care workers.

Between September 2001 and December 2005, a total of 1795 individuals with HCV infection were referred to the integrated prevention and care sites for assessment. This represents approximately 3% of all HCV cases reported in British Columbia between 1992 and 2005. Figure 1 demonstrates how the clinics (Kamloops, Campbell River and Prince George) have, on average, provided services to 20% to 25% of all reported HCV cases in the area serviced by each clinic.

The primary mode for acquiring HCV was IDU in the remote past, according to self-report. More than 95% of referrals were HCV RNA-positive on referral. The majority of clients were male and Caucasian (Table 1), with a significant proportion being Aboriginal (10%). In comparison with clients who received education and counselling, clients eligible for therapy were almost two times more likely to be employed (OR 1.93, 95% CI 1.53 to 2.44) and married (OR 1.74, 95% CI 1.38 to 2.18).

The median time between referral and assessment was less than 30 days and the average wait time gradually decreased from 11.3 weeks in 2001 to 5.8 weeks in 2005. The number of annual referrals increased between 2001 and 2004 before declining in 2005 (Figure 2). Every year, the number of clients treated has increased.

Nurses were engaged in a wide range of activities and were the point of first contact for most clients (82%). Nurses coordinated referrals and client intake, completed initial assessments and scheduled physician visits (if required). This nurse-coordinated approach made it possible to counsel and educate clients over the phone if necessary (eg, if a client could not attend the clinic), and determine the appropriate intake for treatment.
and referral pathways. Of the total nursing time, approximately 40% was occupied by telephone contact (2099 h of 5273 h), 24% was devoted to client assessment (1242 h) and 13% to patient education (666 h). Physician time was mainly devoted to clinical assessments (597 h of 1165 h, 51%) and follow-up visits (524 h, 45%). For both nurses and physicians, time spent on activities such as charting and professional training was not tracked.

The total number of individuals eligible to initiate therapy was 471 (26%). The remaining 1324 clients (74%) received education, monitoring and prevention counselling. Clients with indications for treatment were 1.6 times more likely to be genotype 2/3 than those who received education, monitoring and prevention counselling (95% CI 1.23 to 2.00). Individuals with indications for treatment had a higher number of health care contacts (ie, assessment plus follow-up visits) than those who received education and counselling (Table 2).

A small percentage of clients eligible for therapy (74 of 471, 16%) or receiving education and counselling (80 of 1324, 6%) were referred to other health care providers during follow-up. Of these referrals, most were to mental health agencies (95 of 154, 62%), chemical dependency programs (30 of 154, 19%) and nutritional counselling (16 of 154, 10%). A small number of clients (nine of 154, 6%) were referred to a liver transplant clinic for further assessment.

Combination antiviral therapy was initiated in 363 clients, with either interferon plus ribavirin (n=36) or pegylated interferon plus ribavirin (n=327). Among these, 205 individuals had been observed for the period of time required to make an SVR determination (ie, 72 weeks for genotype 1 and 48 weeks for genotype 2/3). The overall SVR rate was 61% (126 of 205). For genotype 1 (n=98) and genotype 2/3 (n=107), the rate of SVR was 48% and 74%, respectively (Figure 3).

Therapy was unsuccessful in 39% of clients (79 of 205). The reasons included treatment failure at week 12 in genotype 1 clients (n=19), failure to sustain virological response (ie, viral relapse) (n=18), adverse events (n=15), end-of-treatment failure (ie, nonresponder) (n=14), patient choice (n=8) and failure to return for a follow-up examination (n=3) (Figure 3). Two clients died in this group, one from an unrelated cardiac event and the other of an unknown cause.

**DISCUSSION**

Because rates of HCV infection over the last few years are similar in each provincial health authority (average annualized rate for 1992 to 2005, 95.5/100,000 people [range, 82.1/100,000 to 117.4/100,000 people]), providing hepatitis services in multiple centres is critical to controlling and preventing the disease. However, before the creation of the project sites described here, services were often not locally available and expertise was concentrated in tertiary referral centres.

---

**TABLE 1**

Characteristics of clients eligible for therapy and clients eligible for education and counselling only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Clients eligible for therapy (n=471)</th>
<th>Clients eligible for education and counselling only (n=1324)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>340 (72)</td>
<td>796 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, years</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>46.8 (n=1318)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic background, n/total (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>418/460 (91)</td>
<td>860/1015 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>16/460 (3)</td>
<td>39/1015 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Nations</td>
<td>26/460 (6)</td>
<td>116/1015 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of infection, n/total (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous drug use</td>
<td>314/471 (67)</td>
<td>671/1233 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood product before 1990</td>
<td>68/471 (14)</td>
<td>151/1233 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug snorting or sharing equipment</td>
<td>28/471 (6)</td>
<td>52/1233 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piercing and/or tattooing</td>
<td>18/471 (4)</td>
<td>25/1233 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle stick injury</td>
<td>2/471 (0.4)</td>
<td>12/1233 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual transmission</td>
<td>2/471 (0.4)</td>
<td>15/1233 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/other</td>
<td>39/471 (8)</td>
<td>307/1233 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status, n/total (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>171/452 (38)</td>
<td>461/853 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status, n/total (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>263/471 (56)</td>
<td>390/1228 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>190/471 (40)</td>
<td>489/1228 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown/other</td>
<td>18/471 (4)</td>
<td>349/1228 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C virus genotype, n/total (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>253/464 (55)</td>
<td>478/732 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>211/464 (45)</td>
<td>253/732 (35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as the median (interquartile range) unless otherwise indicated.
The large number of individuals who received hepatitis services in the project sites demonstrates a high demand for locally available prevention and care resources. In following a cohort of individuals with HCV referred from 2001 to 2005, we observed that local provision of comprehensive hepatitis services reduced the time between referral and assessment.

Across all project sites, the time from referral to assessment decreased substantially in the first and second years of clinic operations, and the number of clients starting treatment increased. This suggests that the efficiency of health service delivery and capacity improved as staff gained experience. At each individual site, referral to assessment time was reduced after the first year and stabilized thereafter; the number of referrals peaked after the second year. The decline in referrals after the second year could be explained if a backlog of cases was present and subsequently evaluated by the clinics.

Of all clients who received a comprehensive medical assessment, 20% (363 of 1795) initiated therapy. These data are consistent with a study that showed that only a small percentage of those with chronic HCV infection undergo antiviral therapy (7). A large percentage of the individuals who initiated therapy completed a full course (79%); reasons for discontinuation of therapy were similar to those reported in the literature (17). SVR rates observed were consistent with results from published clinical trials (5,6,18,19).

Unemployment rates were high among the treated, and the education and counselling groups. Of note, those who initiated treatment were nearly twice as likely to be employed, although this was not a specific criteria for eligibility, given that drug costs were publicly funded. This suggests that factors other than therapeutic costs may also affect initiation of therapy.

Clinical guidelines recommend a positive HCV RNA to confirm active infection before making a referral for specialty care. In the present study population, less than 5% of all referrals were HCV RNA-negative on referral to the clinic. This contrasts with a tertiary specialty care clinic in Manitoba, where a total of 18.5% of patients referred for assessment were HCV RNA-negative (17). More data are required to confirm whether this difference is attributable to local referral patterns or to greater adherence to clinical guidelines. In the project sites, conducting initial nursing assessments before physician or specialist consults may have reduced the number of inappropriate or unnecessary referrals.

Geographical access to medical care in France affects the rate of diagnosis of HCV infection, where HCV detection rates are lower in rural areas and rural patients are more frequently diagnosed with end-stage liver diseases, such as hepatocellular carcinoma (20). A concern exists that HCV care may also be inadequate in rural settings in Canada. The geomapping exercise was designed to identify the geographical catchment area of each project site, map the cumulative number of reported HCV cases, and define the proportion of cases assessed in the project sites. The results showed that the project sites, all serving rural populations, have assessed 20% to 25% of all reported HCV cases within their catchment areas. While this result is impressive in light of the overall burden of disease, it is not known whether the remaining HCV cases have received appropriate care.

To reduce the long-term sequelae of HCV, treatment capacity will need to be enhanced as therapies become increasingly individualized. The number of patients eligible for treatment is expected to rise as HCV therapies improve (21). Those who have not responded to previous treatment could be re-treated with more efficacious therapies; treatment inclusion criteria will likely be broadened and short-duration therapy may be offered in the near future (9,22).

The present study had several limitations. First, the data collected were limited to basic clinical information and relied on client self-report of demographic data and risk behaviour. Second, data from secondary providers (eg, mental health and addictions) is not fully captured here. Third, the data presented here focus on clients that underwent HCV therapy. Little is known about the outcomes of the clients who received counselling and education.

Future challenges in HCV care include the integration of hepatitis services with those related to other bloodborne pathogens, such as HIV. It is estimated that between 30% and 50% of HIV-infected IDU individuals are HCV coinfected and 5% to 10% of the HCV-infected population is coinfected with HIV (23,24). Because most new HCV infections occur as a result of IDU, harm reduction programs aimed at reducing HIV burden may also reduce the incident HCV infection rate. Clinicians may need to alter their guidelines and emphasis to reach vulnerable populations that are disproportionately affected by HCV and HIV (13,16,25).
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CONCLUSIONS

The present study findings suggest that a small investment in training, applied within an existing physical infrastructure, can significantly increase local expertise and capacity for HCV care. The project sites described here were successful in rural and small urban settings and produced HCV treatment outcomes comparable with large clinical trials. The public health nurse and physician partnership developed at the project sites facilitated the provision of locally accessible, integrated services to a population with complex medical needs and promoted efficient management of HCV. Future research should evaluate the application of public health nurse and physician partnerships to the management of other chronic diseases, especially in rural settings.
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