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Abstract—This paper presents a simple and low computational cost modulation technique for multilevel cascaded H-bridge converters. The technique is based on geometrical considerations considering an unidimensional control region to determine the switching sequence and the corresponding switching times. In addition, a simple strategy to control the dc voltage ratio between the H-bridges of the multilevel cascaded converter is presented. Examples for the two-cell topology are shown but the proposed technique can be applied to develop modulation methods for a higher number of H-bridges. Experimental results are presented to validate the proposed technique.

I. INTRODUCTION

The development of new simple and efficient modulation techniques for multilevel converters is a focus of research in the last 25 years. Pulse width modulation (PWM) and space vector modulation (SVM) are the most common ways to obtain the modulated voltage of a multilevel converter generating the reference voltage averaged over a switching period [1]–[3]. The large number of output voltage levels is an important constraint leading to complex hardware systems using multiple triangle carriers (in PWM techniques) or a high computational burden (in SVM techniques). Among the existing multilevel converter topologies, the cascaded H-bridge converter (CHB) is one of the most interesting ones due to its high modularity, fault tolerant capability, reduced number of power devices and high efficiency [4]–[6]. In Fig. 1, a single-phase two-cell CHB is shown. The output phase voltage \( V_{ab} \) is obtained as the addition of the output voltage of each H-bridge (also called cell) of the converter. In general, the dc voltage ratio of the two-cell CHB converter can be defined as \( k:1 \) (being \( k \) a real positive number) meaning that the voltage of the upper cell \( V_{C1} \) is \( k \) times higher than the voltage of the lower cell \( V_{C2} \). In this paper, a simple and intuitive modulation method for CHB is presented. This modulation technique is based on geometrical considerations leading to the determination of the switching sequence and the switching times by very simple calculations. In addition, the proposed method considers directly the redundant switching states in order to reduce the switching losses. This paper is an updated version of [7] including new experimental results and introducing improvements in the implementation of the proposed modulation technique.

II. 1D CONTROL REGION

As was introduced in [8], [9], a possible way to represent the switching states of multilevel converters is to plot the possible output voltages of the converter using a one dimensional (1D) control region. For example, the control region of the two-cell CHB with dc voltage ratio 1:1 and 2:1 are shown in Fig. 2. Each cell of the converter can obtain three different output voltages, \(-V_{C1}, 0 \) and \( V_{C1} \), defined as H-bridge states 0, 1 and 2 respectively. In this figure, a state XY corresponds to the upper H-bridge having state X and the lower H-bridge having state Y.
III. GEOMETRICAL MODULATION TECHNIQUE

The proposed modulation strategy generates the reference voltage \( V_{ab}^* \) as a linear combination of the two nearest switching states of the control region. Therefore, this calculation is reduced to a geometrical search of \( V_{ab}^* \) in the control region. The switching sequence is formed by two switching states XY called \( \text{upper}_1\text{-lower}_3 \) and \( \text{upper}_2\text{-lower}_2 \) with switching times \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) respectively. The switching times are also determined using very simple mathematical expressions. If the two nearest switching states of the control region have redundancies, those that reduce the number of commutations are selected. For example, in the case of CHBs with dc voltage ratios different of 1:1, the redundant switching states are chosen reducing the switching of the high dc voltage cell. The transitions between the different redundancies are illustrated with arrows in Fig. 2. In addition, the order of the switching states in the switching sequence is chosen according to the premises presented in [10], [11] in order to improve the harmonic performance of the output waveforms. The proposed modulation strategy is based on the following steps:

1) Normalization of the reference phase voltage \( V_{ab}^* \) using the dc voltage of the lower voltage cell \( E \) volts using expression

\[
a = \frac{V_{ab}^*}{E}
\]

2) Determination of factor as \( \text{floor}(a) \) where operator \( \text{floor}(x) \) rounds the elements of \( x \) to the nearest integer towards minus infinity.

3) Determination of the switching times per unit of the two switching states applying

\[
t_1 = a - a_i
\]

\[
t_2 = 1 - t_1.
\]

4) Geometrical search of the reference phase voltage \( V_{ab}^* \) in the 1D control region using factor \( a_i \).

As an example, the flow diagram of the proposed geometrical modulation technique for the two-cell CHB with dc voltage ratio 1:1 and 2:1 are shown in Fig. 3. The flow diagram of the dc voltage ratio 3:1 case was shown in the previous version of this paper [7]. As can be observed, the resulting flow diagrams are simple and consequently the computational cost is very low.

The proposed technique can be extended to CHB with more than two power cells. The analysis to extend this modulation technique for converter with more power cells rises proportionally in complexity, since the number of cases to be studied increases. However, this study can be done offline and it can be noticed that the online calculations needed to execute the corresponding modulation technique do not increase significantly.

IV. DC VOLTAGE RATIO CONTROL

An interesting application of the CHB is the grid connection without use of the input transformer that provides the isolated dc sources or also as active filter. However, the dc voltages control is a challenge in these applications [12]–[15]. A simple strategy to control the dc voltage ratio of the two-cell CHB is introduced with the proposed geometrical modulation to be applied to existing rectifier or grid connection control strategies. The controller used in this paper to manage the sum of the dc voltages of the two-cell CHB was introduced in [16]. However, the total dc voltage is shared and controlled between the cells of the CHB applying the proposed modulation with simple additional dc voltage ratio considerations.

The output of the controller is the reference phase voltage \( V_{ab}^* \) which is the input of the proposed geometrical modulation technique. The technique to control the dc voltage ratio between the cells is based on the elimination of the switching states which tend to unbalance the dc voltage ratio [17]. In Table I, the switching states to be eliminated of the 1D control region are summarized depending on the actual dc voltage ratio and the signs of the phase current \( I_{ab} \). These switching states are eliminated from the 1D control region shown in Fig. 2, leading to new flow diagrams to develop the geometrical modulation technique. For instance, the dc voltage ratio 2:1 has been studied in this paper. The flow diagrams to carry out the modulation achieving the control of the dc voltage ratio 2:1 are introduced in Fig. 4. The 1:1 case and the 3:1 case were presented in the previous version of this paper [7].

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Firstly, the proposed geometrical modulation technique for the two-cell CHB working as an inverter is applied generating a pure 50 Hz sinusoidal reference with modulation index equal to 0.9. The switching frequency is 2 kHz and the CHB is connected to a resistance \( R \) and inductance \( L \) load \( (R=20 \Omega, L=15 \text{ mH}) \). The obtained results are shown in Fig. 5 for dc voltage ratios equal to 1:1 \( (V_{C1}=V_{C2}=90 \text{ V}) \), 2:1 \( (V_{C1}=200 \text{ V}, V_{C2}=100 \text{ V}) \) and 3:1 \( (V_{C1}=270 \text{ V}, V_{C2}=90 \text{ V}) \). Note that for dc voltage ratios 2:1 and 3:1, the commutations and consequently the switching losses of the high power cell are reduced. It can be noticed that in the 1:1 case \( (V_{C1} < kV_{C2}) \) both cells equally share (in average) the power demanded by the load due to the nature of the proposed modulation and control strategy. In other cases such as 2:1 and 3:1, as the same current flows through all the cells and each cell contributes with a voltage proportional to its dc-link voltage, the H-bridges share the power in a ratio similar to the dc voltage ratio of the cells of the CHB (Fig. 5 b and Fig. 5 c). This phenomenon could be seen as a drawback in terms of switch usage and loss of modularity. However, the benefits are a strong reduction in the switching losses and an improvement of the power quality with same number of power semiconductors.

Secondly, the application of the proposed method for a CHB controlled rectifier connected to the grid through an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage unbalance</th>
<th>Forbidden states with ( I_{ab} &gt; 0 )</th>
<th>Forbidden states with ( I_{ab} &lt; 0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( V_{C1} &gt; kV_{C2} )</td>
<td>02,12,01</td>
<td>02,12,01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{C1} &lt; kV_{C2} )</td>
<td>02,12,01</td>
<td>20,21,10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
inductance ($L=3$ mH) is tested. The switching frequency is 2.5 kHz. Experimental results are presented achieving dc voltage ratios 1:1, 2:1 and 3:1 in Fig. 6. In the experiments, a load step from no load to connecting unbalanced resistive loads ($R_1=20$ Ω and $R_2=10$ Ω for upper and lower cells respectively) to the dc voltages of the CHB is shown. As conclusion, a high quality dynamic behavior of the dc voltage ratio control strategy is achieved. It is clear that the proposed geometrical modulation with the dc voltage ratio strategy achieves an accurate dc voltage control of each cell, despite that the external rectifier controller is only in charge of the total dc voltage control. If the capacitor voltages are not perfectly accurate dc voltage control of each cell, despite that the external rectifier controller is only in charge of the total dc voltage control. If the capacitor voltages are not perfectly controlled, a possible solution to minimize the related distortion in the output waveforms is to apply a feed-forward modulation.
As can be observed from Fig. 7, the elimination the unbalancing switching states leads to a slight distortion in the phase voltage \( V_{ab} \) when the desired dc voltage ratio is not 1:1. This is the trade-off to achieve asymmetric dc voltage ratios. In order to analyze this phenomenon, the total harmonic distortion (THD) of \( V_{ab} \) is studied depending on the value of the modulation index for dc voltage ratios 1:1, 2:1 and 3:1. The switching frequency is 2 kHz and the total active power provided by the two-cell CHB is 6 kW. The converter is connected to resistive loads in such a way that the power ratio between the cells coincides with the dc voltage ratio. The obtained results are shown in Fig. 8 where the THD is calculated considering harmonic order up to \( 49^{th} \). In this figure, the modulation index is defined as the ratio between the amplitude of the fundamental component of the phase voltage and maximum possible output dc voltage of the converter. From this result, it can be seen that the dc voltage ratio 2:1 is the best one in order to obtain a better performance in terms of THD. Ratio 1:1 has no additional distortion since the eliminated switching states have redundant allowed states keeping the five output voltage levels. In ratio 2:1, there is a better THD compared to 1:1 despite of the eliminated switching states (some of them have no redundancy) because 2:1 has seven output levels, compensating the distortion introduced by the dc voltage ratio algorithm. On the other hand, ratio 3:1 presents higher THD since there are no redundancies available for all switching states leading to a higher distortion when they are eliminated. The possible nine output levels that can
However, using the proposed modulation technique with dc voltage ratio 1:1, an equal usage of the power semiconductors under all possible values of the modulation index is obtained. In addition, for other dc voltage ratios, the commutations of the higher voltage H-bridge have been reduced leading to a reduction of the commutation losses of the system making the proposed technique very attractive improving the overall efficiency of the converter. On the other hand, when the CHB is operating as a rectifier, the proposed modulation strategy has been modified in order to control the dc voltage ratio using the redundant switching state concept which cannot directly be considered by other PWM techniques.

Experimental results are presented to show the operation of the proposed modulation technique with the dc voltage ratio control for a two-cell CHB. The results show that the proposed modulation technique with the dc voltage control achieve high quality results with very low computational cost.
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