

Farmers' Coping Mechanisms for Common Bean Production under Water-Logged Soil Conditions in Uganda-Rwanda Boarder Region

Cyamweshi Rusanganwa Athanase¹, John Steven Tenywa², Moses Tenywa Makooma², John James Okiror³, Dusengemungu Leonidas¹, Mutimura Mupenzi¹ and Musoni Augustine¹

1. Department of Natural Resource Management and Mechanization, Rwanda Agriculture Board (RAB), Kigali 5016, Rwanda

2. Department of Agricultural Production, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala 7062, Uganda

3. Department of Extension and Innovation Studies, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala 7062, Uganda

Received: December 28, 2012 / Accepted: January 12, 2013 / Published: January 20, 2013.

Abstract: Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is a staple food and income crop in eastern Africa, especially within the Uganda-Rwanda boarder region where it constitutes a major component of food security. Unfortunately, bush beans are severely affected by frequent water-logging which persists over a considerable period of the year. In spite of this, farmers have continued to cultivate bush bean though with miserable yields. The study aimed to identify farmers' indigenous coping mechanisms to the climatic predicament, as a foundation for nurturing and subsequently designing appropriate management strategies for improved bean production in the region. A household survey was conducted in Kisoro district, in a location representative of the ecological conditions of Uganda-Rwanda region. 96 respondents were selected randomly at village level from a list of 500 households provided by the extension workers. Data were collected using semi-structured interviews. Findings showed that farmers possess vital coping mechanisms including construction of ridges, fertiliser application and chemical control of pests and diseases to expedite plant growth, and construction of drainage channels. It is clear that farmers' indigenous coping mechanisms need further nurturing and refining to improve their performance in dealing with water-logging crisis in the region.

Key words: Ridges, manure, drainage channels, bush bean.

1. Introduction

The common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) constitutes approximately 50% of the grain legumes consumed worldwide [1, 2]. It is the main source of protein for low-income families in rural and urban areas, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa [3] where high quality animal protein products are prohibitively costly. In eastern Africa, beans account for approximately 20% of the most important staple food for the vulnerable populations [4]. In Uganda, for

instance, beans provide about 25% of the total calories and up to 45% of protein intake which are beyond the Sub-Saharan Africa average [5].

Common bean produced within the Uganda-Rwanda boarder region accounts for most of the beans produced and consumed in both countries [6]. This is attributed to the favourable conditions for its production and community preferences of the crop. Unfortunately, common bean yields have declined drastically over the years, despite attempts by farmers to expand areas under production [7]. The decrease in productivity is attributed to several factors, amongst which is water-logging due to more than usual heavy

Corresponding author: Cyamweshi Rusanganwa Athanase, research fellow, main research field: soil and environmental management. E-mail: crkatana@yahoo.fr.

rains [8]. Moreover, it is evident that submergence of the soil with water for barely two reduces crop growth rate and drastically suppresses yield of some crops that prefer reasonable drainage within the root zones [9, 10]. Water-logging in the Uganda-Rwanda boarder region is largely due to sporadic and increasingly heavy rainfall perhaps attributable to climate change. Moreover, the soils of the region are characterised by poor drainage.

Many scholars have reported various cultural practices used elsewhere to obviate water-logging soil conditions [11-14]. In Uganda and Rwanda, farmers cope with the situation using indigenous practices which hitherto remain neither documented nor research-supported. Elsewhere, various agricultural practices used to deal with water-logging have been categorised as either preventative or palliative [15]. Examples of the preventative practices include establishment of drainage channels and raised beds, ridging, molding and application of gypsum. On the other hand, examples of the palliative measures include fertiliser and fungicide application as well as hormonal synthetic hormones for correcting imbalances. The palliative measures are essential in dealing with the aftermath of water - logging [15].

Other studies have been undertaken to determine the effect of flooding durations on different growth stages [10]. According to such studies, flooding of five or more days may lead to more than 50% reduction in photosynthesis, leaf area and dry weight after. Similar effects were reported in soybean (Glycine max L.), where flooding for by as short as three days in early vegetative growth stages killed the plants [16]. Wilting, chlorosis, senescence and abscission of lower leaves of pigeaon pea (Cajanus cajan L.) were also observed after few days of water-logging [17]. Water-logging is known to slow root growth of crops like soybean and increase the incidence of fungal diseases like Gliocladium roseum, stages growth [14]. especially at early of Water-logging significantly affects root system functions including ability to obtain soil nutrients and firm anchorage of the plants in the soil. This leading to leaf chlorosis and yield loss [18].

This study was conducted to identify indigenous coping mechanisms used for bean production under rain water-logging condition in the Uganda-Rwanda boarder region as a basis for nurturing and subsequently designing appropriate management strategies for the wider bean producing areas in both countries.

2. Materials and Methods

A household survey involving 96 farmers was conducted in Chahi sub-county, an area representative of the boarder region of Uganda-Rwanda. The area is hilly with an average altitude of 1,981 m above sea level. It is characterised by a bimodal rainfall pattern, though increasingly with erratic distribution. Typically, the shorter rains occur from February to May, while the longer rains are from September to December, with an annual average of 1,300-1,500 mm. The average annual temperature is 16.5 °C. The soils in these highlands are mostly volcanic ash based, and possess poor internal drainage systems [19, 20].

The study was carried out in all the three parishes of Muganza, Nyakabingo and Rutare. One village per parish was purposively selected based on the intensity of water-logging problems as well as level of bush bean production. Sample selection at village level was based on a list of 500 households provided by the extension workers. The respondents were randomly selected by skipping every two names on the list until 32 persons per village were obtained, making a total sample size of 96.

Data were collected using semi-structured questionnaire which was pre-tested using 10 respondents from a neighbouring Nyakabingo parish. Direct observations were also carried out to validate information provided by the interviewees. Data were analysed using the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Scientists) Version 16.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Ranking of Beans among Major Crops

Common bean was rated as a priority food security and income earner among the mainstream crops grown in the region (Table 1). Therefore, this underscores the extent to which alleviation of water-logging can impact on the socioeconomic welfare of the communities in the region.

This concurs with earlier studies that common bean production in southwestern Uganda (which boarders Rwanda) accounts for 78% of common beans produced in the country.

3.2 Relative Advantages of Bush over Climbing Beans

Bush beans still command an admirable position within the preferences of crop production among the Uganda-Rwanda boarder region despite being constrained by natural stresses such as water-longing. This is attributable to a wealth of advantages particularly against its immediate contestant, the climbing bean (Table 2).

Additional justification for the high ranking of bush beans within the realm of legume crops was that the crop was consumed continuously over its growth stages in the order of: (1) foliage as vegetables at 1.5 months after planting, (2) young pods at about two months old, and (3) as fresh beans at about 2.5 months. In contrast, its closest sister, the climbing bean could only be consumed after at least four months. These findings are in line with those of Spence [11], who reported similar stages of bean consumption and contrasts between bean types. According to the respondents in the present study, early utilisation of bush beans is a necessary complement, while communities await the maturation of the climbing beans. Furthermore, apart from requiring short maturity periods, bush beans do not need stakes unlike their climbing counterparts, which are increasingly in short supply due to environmental degradation and other competing uses such as firewood for cooking.

3.3 Major Bush Bean Production Constraints

Water-logging emerged as the most outstanding constraint to bush bean production in the region (28.9%) followed by disease (19%) and drought (15.6%) (Table 3).

The effects of water-logging manifest variously as stunted crops, chlorotic and total loss of yields (Fig. 1). This loss of yield is caused by the lack of oxygen in the root zone, which reportedly induce serious damage

Table 1 Farmer ranking of major crops grown in the boarder region of Uganda-Rwanda (n = 96).

| Crop | Percent | |
|-----------------|---------|--|
| Beans | 34.1 | |
| Irish potato | 27.2 | |
| Maize | 23.0 | |
| Sorghum | 9.4 | |
| Sweet potatoes | 4.2 | |
| Banana | 0.7 | |
| Tomatoes | 0.7 | |
| Cabbages | 0.7 | |
| Total responses | 100.0 | |

Table 2 Advantages of bush bean production against climbing bean types in the Uganda-Rwanda boarder region (n = 96).

| Reason | Percent | |
|-------------------------|---------|--|
| Early maturing | 48.0 | |
| Do not need stakes | 45.2 | |
| Good taste | 2.7 | |
| More demanded on market | 1.4 | |
| Others | 2.7 | |
| Total | 100 | |

Table 3 Constraints to bush bean production in the boarder region of Uganda-Rwanda (n = 96).

| Constraints | Ranking (%) |
|---------------------|-------------|
| Water-logging | 28.9 |
| Diseases | 19.0 |
| Drought | 15.6 |
| Low soil fertility | 14.0 |
| Pests | 13.7 |
| Soil erosion | 8.0 |
| No coping mechanism | 0.4 |
| Others | 0.4 |
| Fotal responses | 100 |

to crops [21].

Other consequences of water - logging are emergence of devastating diseases and pest damage as identified during the study (Fig. 2). *Rhizoctonia* sp. is among the disease-causing fungi that are known to proliferate in beans largely under such conditions [21]. It causes crop failure and colossal yield losses. The effect of the fungus is registered initially *via* the vesicular tissues, sometimes leading to death of lateral roots and the entire plant mass (Fig. 2).

Similar constraints were reported by Spence [11] in a study on beans in the Uganda-Rwanda boarder region which highlighted problems like flooding in valleys, and pests and the diseases.

In order to counteract the effects of water-logging on bush bean production, farmers in the Uganda-Rwanda boarder region used coping mechanisms such as ridging (33.8%), substituting the bush bean with climbing beans (13.5%), fertiliser application after water - logging (12.2%), chemical spraying (10.8%) and construction of channels (10.8%). Other mechanisms used included planting bush beans in upland areas, fallowing, terracing, and timely planting, weeding and mulching (Table 4).

Ridging elevated the soil level and kept the plants away from stagnant water. This practice has also been referred to as molding by Furubayashi et al.[14], raised bed by Bakker et al. [12] and mounding by Spence [11], as a land preparation practice traditionally used for controlling flooding in Kisoro district (Fig. 3).

Farmers' perceptions towards the tolerance levels of various bush bean varieties to water-logging were presented (Table 5). Despite the yield losses due to water-logging, the results of this study showed that farmers continued to plant a wide range of local varieties of bush bean that offer a certain level of tolerance in comparison to other varieties.



Fig. 1 Waterlogged bush and climbing bean fields in Uganda-Rwanda boarder region.



Rhizoctomia root rot

Cutworm



Fig. 2 Incidence of pest and disease in bean fields affected by waterlogging in Uganda-Rwanda boarder region.

50 Farmers' Coping Mechanisms for Common Bean Production under Water-Logged Soil Conditions in Uganda-Rwanda Boarder Region

| Table 4 | Coping mechanisms for bush bean production under water-logged soil conditions in the Uganda-Rwanda boarder |
|---------|--|
| region. | |

| Coping Mechanisms | Percent $(n = 96)$ | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Use of ridges | 33.8 | |
| Replacing with climbing beans | 13.5 | |
| Fertiliser application | 12.2 | |
| Spraying | 10.8 | |
| Construction of water ways | 10.8 | |
| Planting bush beans in upland areas | 5.4 | |
| Fallowing | 4.1 | |
| Terracing | 2.7 | |
| Recommended plant density | 1.4 | |
| Timely planting | 1.4 | |
| Weeding | 1.4 | |
| Mulching | 1.4 | |
| No mechanism at all | 1.4 | |
| Total | 100 | |



Fig. 3 Ridging as a coping strategy against water-logging in the Uganda-Rwanda boarder region.

Table 5Farmers' perception of tolerance level of variousbush bean varieties to water-logging in the Uganda-Rwandaboarder region.

| Bush bean variety | Percent $(n = 96)$ |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Nyagakecuru | 41.7 |
| Imvange (mixture of many varieties) | 23.6 |
| Nyirakabonobono | 9.7 |
| Biganza | 8.3 |
| Bwanarensi | 2.8 |
| Nyirakamuga | 1.4 |
| Nyirasarayi | 1.4 |
| Kigome | 1.4 |
| No answer | 9.7 |
| Total | 100 |

There are many types of bush bean in Chahi sub-county which present a certain level of tolerance to water-logging. Though names of many varieties are given by farmers, most of them are found mixed as shown in the above. It was shown that the most resistant varieties to water-logging were Nyagakecuru (41.7%), followed by Imvange (23.6%) and Nyirakabonobono (9.7%). Other varieties although low ranked were Bwanarensi, Nyirakamuga, Nyiramwirasi and Kigome.

Although Nyagakecuru ranked the first in terms of tolerance to water-logging, most of farmers fields were dominated by the mixture of many bean varieties, namely Invange (Personal observation). This can be justified by the fact that the mixed varieties increased the chance of getting good harvest in case of biotic or abiotic stress. This was also observed by Spence [11] who reported that in Kisoro, traditional varieties are grown in mixtures of varieties and farmers have their indigenous criteria to mix the varieties: Beans must be of similar growth habits, simultaneous maturity periods and resistance to abiotic stresses such as

Farmers' Coping Mechanisms for Common Bean Production under Water-Logged Soil Conditions in Uganda-Rwanda Boarder Region 51

excessive rains. Identification of indigenous varieties with different levels of tolerance to water-logging is an important initial finding which could be pursued further by breeders in an effort to enhance the capacity of such materials as well as widen opportunities for improvement of existing high market potential varieties.

4. Conclusions

The main coping strategies for producing bush bean water-logging conditions under in the Uganda-Rwanda boarder area include planting the crop on ridges, construction of waterways (channels), application of fertilizer, and chemicals to control pests and diseases. Additionally, a wide range of bean varieties with a diversity of tolerance to water-logging is grown by farmers most likely as an insurance against possible total crop failure. It is recommended that such tolerance capacities be harnessed by breeders as a strategy for furthering efforts aimed at bolstering productivity of bean materials in the region.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Regional Universities Forum for Capacity Building in Africa (RUFORUM) for financial support during this research and the farmers of Kisoro District for their participation.

References

- W.J. Broughton, G. Hernández, M. Blair, S. Beebe, P. Gepts, J. Vanderleyden, Beans (*phaseolus* spp.): Model food legume, Plant Soil 252 (2003) 55-128.
- [2] P.H. Graham, J.C. Rosas, C. Estevez de Jensen, E. Peralta, B. Tlusty, J. Acosta-Gallegos, Addressing edaphic constraints to bean production: The bean/cowpea CRSP project in perspective, Field Crop Res 82 (2003) 179-192.
- [3] R. Buruchara, R. Chirwa, L. Sperling, C. Mukankusi, J.C. Rubyogo, R.A. Muthoni, et al., Development and delivery of bean varieties in Africa: The pan-Africa bean research alliance model, African Crop Science Journal 19 (4) (2011) 227-245.
- [4] R. Buruchara, Bean seed delivery for small farmers in Sub-Saharan Africa: The power of partnerships, Society & Natural Resource 23 (4) (2010) 285-302.

- [5] L.W. Mauyo, J.N. Chianu, B.K. Nassiuma, R.O. Musebe, Cross-border bean market performance in western Kenya and eastern Uganda, J. Service Science & Management 3 (2010) 501-511.
- [6] T. Benson, S. Mugarura, K. Wanda, Impact in Uganda of rising global food prices: The role of diversified staples and limited price transmission, Agricultural Economics 39 (2008) 513-524.
- [7] FAO, Crop production [on line], 2010, http://faostat.fao.org (accessed Aug. 22, 2012).
- [8] J.Y. Zake, M.K. Magunda, C. Nkwiine, Integrated Soil Management for Sustainable Agriculture and Food Security, the Ugandan case, in: Integrated soil management for sustainable Agriculture and Food security in southern and Eastern Africa, FAO workshop Harare, Zimbabwe, 1997.
- [9] C.J. Riche, Identification of soybean cultivars tolerance to waterlogging through analyses of leaf nitrogen concentration, M.Sc. Thesis, Louisiana State University, 2004, pp. 1-35.
- [10] T. Stewart, R.R. Florence, D'Ambrosio, Y. Li, R. Rao, Flooding influences on growth and development of bush bean under greenhouse conditions, Journal of Vegetable Science 11 (2) (2005) 43-56.
- [11] N. Spence, Characterization and Epidemiology of Root Rot Diseases Caused by *Fusarium* and *Pythium* spp. in Beans in Uganda, Technical report, March 2003.
- [12] D. Bakker, G. Hamilton, D. J. Houlbrooke, C. Spann, The effect of raised beds on soil structure, waterlogging, and productivity on duplex soils in Western Australia, Australian Journal of Soil Research 43 (5) (2005) 575-585.
- [13] S. Morita, S. Furubayashi, J. Abe, J. Yamagishi, The effect of molding treatment on bleeding sap rate in soybean (Glycine max), Japanese Journal of Crop Science 16 (2001) 44-45.
- [14] S. Furubayashi, J. Abe, S. Morita, J. Yamagishi, The effect of molding treatment on the growth, yield and bleeding sap rate of soybean (Glicine max) in two years with different precipitation, Japanese Journal of Crop Science 17 (2002) 60-61.
- [15] P.G. Fallon, A.S. Greathead, R.J. Mullen, B.L. Benson, R.G. Grogan, Individual and combined effects of flooding, phytophtora rot and metalaxyl on asparagus establishment, Plat Dis. 75 (2001) 514-518.
- [16] G. Boru, T. Vantoai, J. Alves, D. Hua, M. Knee, Responses of soybean to oxygen deficiency and elevated root-zone carbon dioxide concentration, Annals of Botany 91 (2003) 447-453.
- [17] A.K. Choudhary, R. Sultana, A. Pratap, N. Nadarajan, U.C. Jha, Breeding for abiotic stresses in pigeon pea, Journal of Food Legumes 24 (3) (2011) 165-174.

52 Farmers' Coping Mechanisms for Common Bean Production under Water-Logged Soil Conditions in Uganda-Rwanda Boarder Region

- [18] R. Rao, Y. Li, Management of flooding effects on growth of vegetable and selected field crops, Hort Technology 13 (4) (2003) 610-616.
- [19] R. Indiati, I. Trujillo, F. Gutierrez, Characterization of P adsorption properties of some agricultural volcanic soils from Canary Islands, Spain 79 (4) (2002) 254-259.
- [20] D. Fiantiss Hakim, E. Van Ranst, Properties and utilisation of andisols in Indonesia, JIFS 2 (2005) 29-37.
- [21] M.A. Hossain, S.N. Uddin, Mechanisms of waterlogging tolerance in wheat: Morphological and metabolic adaptations under hypoxia or anoxia, Australian Journal of Crop Science 5 (9) (2011) 1094-1101.