

# GENOTYPIC MARKERS OF YERSINIA ENTEROCOLITICA 0:9 ISOLATED FROM COWS POSITIVE IN SEROLOGICAL EXAMINATION FOR BOVINE BRUCELLOSIS

MARCIN WEINER, KRZYSZTOF SZULOWSKI, WOJCIECH IWANIAK, AND JOLANTA ZŁOTNICKA

Department of Microbiology, National Veterinary Research Institute, 24-100 Pulawy, Poland mpweiner@piwet.pulawy.pl

Received: September 5, 2012

Accepted: December 5, 2012

### Abstract

The aim of the study was to perform a molecular investigations for the presence of pathogenicity genotypic markers of *Y*. *enterocolitica* O:9 isolated from cattle, in which initially positive serological reactions for brucellosis were observed. Almost all isolates were *ail-*, *ystA-* and *myfA-*positive (n=19). On the other hand, one isolate, which harboured plasmid encoding gene *yadA* was *ail-*, *ystA-* and *myfA-*negative. The plasmid encoding *yadA* marker was present in half of the isolates tested. None of the examined isolates was *ystB-*positive. The results of the investigations revealed that the *Y*. *enterocolitica* O:9 isolates, related to false positive serological results for brucellosis, may be also potentially pathogenic for humans, due to the presence of chromosomal and plasmid-encoded molecular markers.

Key words: cows, brucellosis, Yersinia enterocolitica O:9, virulence markers, false positive serological results.

For many years the laboratory diagnosis of brucellosis has been generally based on serological tests. All animals classified as serologically positive are obligatorily slaughtered and subjected to bacteriological examination. However, the similarity of the O-antigenic side chain of Brucella lipopolisaccharide (LPS) with other microbes has restricted the specificity of serological diagnosis resulting in false positive serological results (FPSR). Most of the commonly reported FPSR are caused by infections with Yersinia enterocolitica O:9, as the bacterium possesses an identical O-antigen LPS chain to that present in Brucella sp. (1, 2, 13). For years this organism has been rarely observed in cattle, but it was very often found in the environment of pigs, causing diagnostic difficulties. In the last decade the presence of Y. enterocolitica O:9 has dramatically increased the rate of FPSR (16, 17, 23). The majority of Y. enterocolitica isolates from food and environmental sources are non-pathogenic types. On the other hand, yersiniosis caused by Y. enterocolitica most often causes diarrhoea, at times bloody, and occurs mostly in young children. In elderly persons and in patients with underlying conditions (iron overload, cirrhosis, diabetes, cancer, etc.) systemic forms of the disease are often observed. The bacterium is able to grow below 4°C and contaminates refrigerated food, a probable source of infection. Untreated water can also transmit the organism (10, 17). The Y. enterocolitica

species can be divided into six biotypes based on biochemical properties: 1A, which is generally regarded as nonpathogenic, and pathogenic 1B, 2, 3, 4, and 5 biotypes (21). In Europe, the majority of human pathogenic Y. enterocolitica belong to biotype 4 (serotype O:3) or, less commonly, biotype 2 (serotypes O:9, O:5,27) (17). Pigs are considered to be the primary reservoir of pathogenic types of Y. enterocolitica, mainly biotype 4 (serotype O:3). Biotype 2 (serotype O:9) has been isolated from other animal species, such as cattle, sheep, and goats (2, 3, 16, 17). Pathogenicity can be also determined using PCR methods. Among chromosomal virulence markers, a product of the ail gene promotes bacterial adhesion and invasion of epithelial cells, and a product of *mvfA* gene promotes the colonisation of the intestine and allows the secretion of the heat-stable enterotoxin encoded by the ystA gene. The *ystB* gene encodes thermo resistant toxin YstB and can be found in the strains of biotype 1A, and, only occasionally, in the other biotypes. Pathogenic Y. enterocolitica strains also carry yadA gene, present in 70 kb plasmid named pYV, responsible for autoagglutination, serum resistance, and adhesion (9, 10).

The paper presents the results of molecular investigations on the presence of pathogenicity genotypic markers of *Y. enterocolitica* O:9 isolated from cattle where initially positive serological reactions for brucellosis were ascertained.

#### Material and Methods

**Bacterial strains.** *Y. enterocolitica* O:9 (n=20) previously isolated from bovine lymph nodes according to self-modified ISO 10273:2003 were cultured on CIN agar at 30°C for 24-48 h prior to DNA extraction (22). As positive controls, *Y. enterocolitica* O:3/biotype 4 (IW90, DSMZ 9676) and *Y. enterocolitica* O:9/biotype 2 (IW91, DSMZ 11067) were used.

**DNA extraction.** One individual colony of each strain was suspended in 50  $\mu$ l of sterile, DNase and RNase free deionised water (ICN Biomedicals). The suspensions were heated at 99°C for 5 min, chilled on ice, and then centrifuged at 13,000 x g for 1 min to pellet the cellular debris. The supernatant (5  $\mu$ l) was subsequently used as a source of DNA template. The purity and concentration of DNA preparations were measured spectrophotometrically at 260 and 280 nm (GeneQuant 1300, GE Healthcare).

**PCR assays.** Each DNA amplification was performed in the 50  $\mu$ l reaction mixture consisting of DNA template, 1X PCR buffer (Fermentas), 200  $\mu$ M of dNTPs, 4 mM, 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase, nucleotide primers for chromosomal and plasmid virulence markers, and water. Sequences, characteristics, and concentration of the primers used in this study are shown in Table 1.

Multiplex PCR (for *ail* and *ystA* genes) and single PCRs were run in a thermocycler (T3, Biometra) under the following conditions: initial DNA denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 25 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 57°C for 1 min, and 70°C for 1 min (*ail*, *ystA* and *ystB* genes); initial DNA denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 55 cycles of 94°C for 1.5 min, 58°C for 1.5 min, and 72°C for 1 min (*yadA* and *myfA*); initial DNA denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 36 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 62°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 45 s (*16S rRNA*); initial DNA denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of  $95^{\circ}$ C for 1 min,  $60^{\circ}$ C for 1 min, and  $72^{\circ}$ C for 1 min (*per*). The final extension step was done at  $70^{\circ}$ C/72°C for 5 min. After staining with ethidium bromide for 0.5 min and washing in distilled water, the gels were photographed under UV light. The sizes of the PCR amplicons were compared to the 100 bp DNA marker (Fermentas).

## Results

During the investigation, the presence of the 16S rRNA gene typical for Yersinia species, and per gene characteristic for O:9 serotype, generating the amplicons of 330 bp and 312 bp, respectively, were observed in 20 isolates originating from cattle in which initially positive serological reactions for brucellosis were observed (Table 2). Y. enterocolitica O:3/biotype 4 reference strain was 16S rRNA positive only, whereas the analysis of agarose electrophoresis of reference Y. enterocolitica O:9/biotype 2 showed two products of 330 and 312 bp. Almost all isolates (n=19) were ail-, ystA- and myfA-positive. On the other hand, one isolate, which harboured plasmid-encoded gene vadA was ail-. ystA- and myfA-negative. The plasmid encoding yadA marker was present in half of the isolates tested. None of the examined isolates was *ystB*-positive (Table 2).

#### Discussion

Serological examinations, based on detection of significant levels of specific antibodies, play a dominant role in diagnosis of brucellosis. On the other hand, a definitive diagnosis of *Brucella* infections can be made only by isolation and identification of the microorganism and its molecular markers.

	1	1		2	
Primer name	Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Target gene	Amplicon (bp)	Concentration (µM)	Reference
ailF ailR ystAF ystAR	TGG TTA TGC GCA AAG CCA TGT TGG AAG TGG GTT GAA TTG CA GTC TTC ATT TGG AGG ATT CGG C AAT CAC TAC TGA CTT CGG CTG G	ail ystA	356 134	0.1 0.1	(4)
ystBF ystBR	GTA CAT TAG GCC AAG AGA CG GCA ACA TAC CTC ACA ACA CC	ystB	146	0.1	(19)
yadAF yadaR	TAA GAT CAG TGT CTC TGC GGC A TAG TTA TTT GCG ATC CCT AGC AC	yadA	747	0.2 0.2	(8)
myfA myfB	CAG ATA CAC CTG CCT TCC ATC T CTC GAC ATA TTC CTC AAC ACG C	myfA	272	0.1 0.1	(7)
Y1 Y2	AAT ACC GCA TAA CGT CTT CG CTT CTT CTG CGA GTA ACG TC	16S rRNA	330	0.1 0.1	(20)
per-12 per-14	GCA GAC GGGGGC AAA AGT A CAC CTT GAT TTT TAA ATG GCA T	per	312	0.05 0.05	(11)

 Table 1

 Sequences and characteristics of the primers used in the study

	Results of PCR for:							
No. of isolate	ail	ystA	ystB	yadA	myf	16S rRNA	per	
1	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	
2	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	
3	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	
4	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	
5	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
6	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
7	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	
8	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	
9	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
10	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	
11	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	
12	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
13	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
14	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	
15	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
16	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	
17	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
18	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
19	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	
20	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	

 Table 2

 Results of molecular examination of chromosomal and plasmid markers of Y. enterocolitica O:9 isolates

One of the most important problems, which should always be born in mind, is the possibility of cross reactions between Brucella sp. and Y. enterocolitica O:9, which are difficult to distinguish from specific anti-Brucella reactions. The study by Szulowski et al. (18) shows that each year, in Poland, a small number of cows are classified as positive for brucellosis and slaughtered, but B. abortus is not isolated. On the other hand, according to the official instruction (6), samples from such animals are examined for Y. enterocolitica, this microorganism is often isolated from seropositive cows. In France, Gerbier et al. (2) who investigated the epidemiology of FPSR in bovine brucellosis conclude that these reactions appear to be due, at least partially, to Y. enterocolitica O:9. Hilbink et al. (3) indicated the link between non-specific serological reactions against B. abortus and the presence of Y. enterocolitica O:9 in ruminants in New Zealand.

Yersiniosis is the third most commonly reported zoonosis in the EU, despite the continuous decreasing five-year trend since 2006 with 6,776 confirmed human cases in the EU. The most common serotypes in human infections were O:3 and O:9. In animals, Y. enterocolitica was most frequently detected in pigs, but it was also isolated from cattle, sheep, dogs, horses, and some wildlife species (17). According to the scientific opinion published by the BIOHAZ Panel in 2007, it is well-documented that pigs can harbour human pathogenic Y. enterocolitica with a very high prevalence, especially biotype 4 (serotype O:3) (16). Reservoirs other than pigs may also play a role in the epidemiology of human versiniosis. The evidence suggests that ruminants (e.g. cattle) may play a role as reservoirs for biotype 2 (serotypes O:9 and O:5,27) (2, 3, 15). Until now, there were no studies on the virulence markers of Y. enterocolitica O:9 isolated from cattle, in which initially positive serological reactions for brucellosis were reported. In the study, it was demonstrated that almost all isolates were ail-, ystA- and myfA-positive (n=19). On the other hand, one isolate, which harboured plasmid-encoded gene *vadA* was *ail*vstA-, and mvfA-negative. The plasmid encoding vadA marker was present in half of the isolates tested. None of the examined isolates was *ystB*-positive. Platt-Samoraj et al. (14) found the presence of ail, ystA, and ystB virulence markers in Y. enterocolitica strains isolated

from aborting sows and aborted foetuses. Among 45 Y. enterocolitica isolates, 40 belonging to biotype 1A, contained only the ystB gene, the remaining five, belonging to bioserotype 4/O:3, carried ail and ystA genes. Thoerner et al. (19) examined 33 strains of Y. enterocolitica O:9 from animals, 11 from humans, and two from food. Almost all of them were ystA- and ailpositive. None of them was ystB-positive. Among plasmid-borne genes, yadA marker was present in 29 Y. enterocolitica isolates, and those findings are similar to the results obtained in the present study. Different observations were described by Kot et al. (9). The authors examined 6 Y. enterocolitica O:9 strains isolated from humans (one) and pigs (five). Two of them were *ystA*+, *ail*+, *myfA*+, and *yadA*+, and one was *ystA*+, *ail*+, and myfA+. Three remaining strains belonging to O:9 serotype gave vstA+, vstB+, ail+, and mvfA+ genotypic pattern, and were isolated from pigs. In the study, none of the isolates was *ystB*-positive. This result is similar to the findings of other authors, who found that ail+ and ystA+ positive Y. enterocolitica strains are almost always *ystB*-negative (8, 19).

The results of the presented investigations reveal that the significance of *Y. enterocolitica* O:9 in cattle is not limited to complication of diagnosis of brucellosis. The strains of *Y. enterocolitica* O:9 isolated from cattle slaughtered following positive results for brucellosis, due to the presence of chromosomal and plasmid encoded molecular markers may be also potentially pathogenic for humans. Such examinations should be continued to provide a better knowledge concerning the pathogenicity patterns of the microorganism, and to bring a progress in molecular epidemiology.

## References

- Garin-Bastuji B., Hummel N., Gerbier G., Cau C., Pouillot R., Da Costa M., Fontaine J.J.: Nonspecific serological reactions in the diagnosis of bovine brucellosis: experimental oral infection of cattle with repeated doses of *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9. Vet Microbiol 1999, 66, 223–233.
- Gerbier G., Garin-Bastuji B., Pouillot R., Very P., Cau C., Berr V., Dufour B., Moutou F.: False positive serological reactions in bovine brucellosis: evidence of the role of *Yersinia enterocolitica* serotype O:9 in a field trial. Vet Res 1997, 28, 375-383.
- 3. Grattarola C., Gennero M.S., Zoppi S., Bergagna S., Chiavacci L., Dondo A.: *Yersinia enterocolitica* and its role in bovine brucellosis monitoring : a statistical analysis. Epidémiol Santé Anim 2006, **50**, 153-155.
- 4. Harnett N., Lin Y.P., Krishahn C.: Detection of pathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica* using the multiplex polymerase chain reaction. Epidemiol Infect 1996, **117**, 59-67.
- Hilbink F., Fenwick S.G., Thompson E.J., Kittelberger R., Penrose M., Ross G.P.: Non-specific seroreactions against *Brucella abortus* in ruminants in New Zealand and the presence of *Yersinia enterocolitica* 0:9. N Z Vet J 1995, 43, 175-178.
- 6. Instruction No. 401/BB-16/2010 of the Chief Veterinary Officer, Warsaw, 2010.
- Iriarte M., Cornelis G.R.: MyfF, an element of the network regulating the synthesis of fibriallae in *Yersinia enterocolitica*. J Bacteriol 1995, 177, 738-744.

- Kapperund G., Vardund T., Skjerve E., Hornes E, Michaelsen T.E.: Detection of pathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica* in food and water by immunomagnetic separation, nested polymerase chain reactions and colorimetric detection of amplified DNA. Appl Environ Microbiol 1993, **59**, 2938-2944.
- 9. Kot B., Piechota M., Jakubczak A.: Analysis of occurrence of virulence genes among *Yersinia enterocolitica* isolates belonging to different biotypes and serotypes. Pol J Vet Sci 2010, **13**, 13-19.
- 10. Kot B.: Chromosomally and plasmid-encoded virulence determinants of *Y. enterocolitica*. Medycyna Wet 2010, **66**, 294-298.
- Lubeck P.S., Skurnik M., Akrens., Hoorfar J.: A Multiplex PCR-detection assay for *Yersinia enterocolitica* serotype O:9 and *Brucella spp.* based on perosamine syntethase gene. Adv Exp Med Biol 2003, 529, 451-455.
- 12. Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals, OIE, Paris, 2010 http://www.oie.int/international-standardsetting/terrestrial-manual/access-online/
- Nielsen K., Smith P., Widdison J., Gall D., Kelly L., Kelly W., Nicoletti P.: Serological relationship between cattle exposed to *Brucella abortus*, *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9 and *Escherichia coli* O:157:H7. Vet Microbiol 2004, **100**, 25-30.
- Platt-Samoraj A., Ugorski M., Szweda W., Szczerba-Turek A., Wojciech K., Procajło Z.: Analysis of the presence of *ail*, *ystA* and *ystB* genes in *Yersinia enterocolitica* strains isolated from aborting sows and aborted fetuses. J Vet Med B 2006, 53, 341-346.
- 15. Riber U., Jungersen G.: Cell-mediated immune responses differentiate infections with *Brucella suis* from *Yersinia enterocolitica* serotype O:9 in pigs. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 2007, **116**, 13-25.
- Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Biological Hazard (BIOHAZ) on monitoring and identification of human enteropathogenic *Yersinia* spp. EFSA J 2007, 595, 1-30.
- 17. Scientific Report of EFSA and ECDC. The European Union Summary Report on Trends and Sources of Zoonoses, Zoonotic Agents and Food-borne Outbreaks in 2010. EFSA J 2012, **3**, 2597.
- Szulowski K., Iwaniak W., Weiner M., Złotnicka J., Szymajda M., Zaręba Z., Czępińska H.: Diagnosis and epidemiological condition of bovine brucellosis in Poland. Medycyna Wet 2012, 68, 110-113.
- Thoerner P., Bin Kingombe C.I., Bogli-Stuber K., Bissig-Choisant B., Wassenaar T.M., Frey J., Jemmi T.: PCR detection for virulence genes in *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* and investigation of virelence gene distribution. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003, 69, 1810-1816.
- Wannet W.J., Reessink M., Brunings H.A., Maas H.M.: Detection of pathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica* by a rapid and sensitive duplex PCR. J Clin Microbiol 2001, 39, 4483-4486.
- 21. Wauters G., Kandolo K., Jenssens M.: Revised biogrouping scheme of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Contrib Microbiol Immunol 1987, **9**, 14-21.
- Weiner M., Złotnicka J., Iwaniak W., Szulowski K.: Development of a multiplex PCR for identification of *Brucella* sp. and cross-reacting *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9. Bull Vet Inst Pulawy 2011, 55, 603-607.
- 23. Weynants V., Tibor A., Denoel P.A., Saegerman C., Godfroid J., Thiange P., Letesson J.J.: Infection of cattle with *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9 a cause of the false positive serological reactions in bovine brucellosis diagnostic tests. Vet Microbiol 1996, **48**, 101-112.