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A proposed grid middleware system includes specific enhancements to support the development and assessment of highly secure, dependable, service-oriented grid systems and applications.

Service-oriented architectures can be defined as application architectures “within which all functions are defined as independent services … [that] can be called in defined sequences to form business processes.” Service-oriented architectures (SOAs) facilitate the development of complex, interorganizational software systems as well as the integration of existing legacy systems.

The loose coupling of services with well-defined interfaces in SOAs makes it possible to construct demand-centric applications that discover and bind to autonomous system components at runtime. Developers can use this ultralate binding process to create agile computing systems with dynamic execution conditions and resource demands; applications’ specific functionalities can be altered in part simply by modifying the composition of services that they use.

The loosely coupled nature of SOAs also means that developers often can reuse them in different applications or easily replicate them for gains in dependability. The availability of multiple functionally equivalent services can reduce the expense, in both development time and cost, and improve the feasibility of developing fault-tolerant systems.

The grid computing community is embracing the service-oriented paradigm, creating service-oriented grids (SOGs) to help solve the fundamental challenge of coordinated resource sharing and problem solving in virtual organizations (VOs). A VO is formed whenever a developer creates an application or workflow that features autonomous services owned by multiple organizations, each of which shares some proprietary services and part of its own knowledge.

However, SOGs create many new challenges that traditional distributed systems do not face with respect to dependability and security, particularly for high-assurance systems in which the needs in these areas far exceed those of normal enterprises. Such challenges concern the composition of service workflows as well as the underlying network environments, which are spatially disparate, heterogeneous, and spread across many administrative domains.

SOG CHALLENGES

Many challenges to high-assurance SOGs relate to dependability and security. Dependability can be defined as “that property of a computer system such that reliance can justifiably be placed on the service it delivers.” Traditionally, dependability includes the attributes of availability, safety, integrity, maintainability, and confidentiality as well as reliability. However, a recent study indicates that dependability researchers now place relatively less emphasis on confidentiality, which security researchers focus on along
with availability and integrity. Our work considers both communities’ perspectives.

**Dependability**

Many dependability problems arise from the autonomy of individual services within VO workflows. For example, a participant within a VO might have no control over the availability of services that others provide. A participant also might have little or no knowledge of the reliability of such services (especially problematic in long-running interactions), the access procedures to protect the confidentiality of data shared with the services, or the security procedures to protect these services’ integrity.

In addition, even though middleware greatly impacts the dependability of service-oriented systems, there is little or no information on the robustness of the middleware itself. Further, organizations implicitly distrust third-party services when constructing such systems; they prefer to create their own services, for which they have quality-of-service (QoS) statistics. In both cases, dependability assessment techniques are lacking.

**Security**

In the area of security, the demand-centric nature of applications executing on SOGs leads to often unpredictable workflows and business processes, and occasionally the actual execution of a business process can be unique. As each organization within a VO has its own security mechanisms and policies to protect its local resources, a composite application must operate among multiple heterogeneous security realms. A security realm is a group of principals—people, computers, services, and so on—registered with a specified authentication authority and managed through a consistent set of security processes and policies.

Because organizations and services can collaborate in a highly dynamic and flexible way, every pair of collaborating security realms cannot always have a direct cross-realm authentication relationship. A possible solution to this problem is to locate some intermediate realms that serve as an authentication path between the two separate realms that are to collaborate. However, the overhead of generating an authentication path for two distributed realms is nontrivial. The process can involve numerous extra operations for credential conversion and require a long chain of invocations to intermediate services. Moreover, such authentication paths might not exist between security realms in many cases.

Another security-related issue is that traditional access control methods based on the identity of each user in a VO do not scale as the number of users and services increase, especially when the population of users and services is highly dynamic. It is challenging to dynamically build mutual trust between service requesters and providers from different security realms while preserving their privacy in open grid environments.

**COLAB**

Both the Web services and grid computing communities have addressed some of these issues on an individual level, but no major SOG middleware system has focused on integrating specific dependability and security technologies to provide a fully integrated environment for the assessment and deployment of secure and dependable high-assurance applications and systems.

In light of this, the University of Leeds in the United Kingdom and Beihang University in China are collaborating to develop a grid middleware system that features integrated tools for the assessment and deployment of high-assurance systems. The project, known as COLAB (Collaboration between Leeds and Beihang), extends the CROWN (China Research and Development Environment over Wide-area Networks) grid middleware developed at Beihang University with service-oriented dependability and security technologies developed at the University of Leeds. The result of this collaboration is a high-assurance SOG middleware system known as CROWN-C (CROWN-COLAB).

**CROWN**

Grid computing addresses three major issues: the coordination of resources not subject to central control; standard, open, general-purpose protocols and interfaces; and delivery of nontrivial QoS. Some form of middleware is required to effectively utilize and deliver these features. One of the most popular to attempt this is the Globus Toolkit (www.globus.org/toolkit), a reference implementation developed by the Globus Alliance (www.globus.org)—a community of organizations and individuals developing fundamental grid technologies.

Although originally oriented toward high-performance computing clusters, the Globus Toolkit has evolved to offer a service-oriented approach, based on the Open Grid Services Architecture and the Web Services Resource Framework standards developed by the Open Grid Forum (www.ogf.org). OGSA is a service-oriented architecture that adopts the notion of a service as a unified resource encapsulation format to provide better extensibility and interoperability between grid resources, while WSRF refines OGSA’s service interface and interoperating protocols. OGSA is thus a Web-service-compatible implementation framework that facilitates the merging of grid and Web service technologies.
CROWN is based on the Globus Toolkit but places more emphasis on grid resource and dynamic management mechanisms at the design stage. It also provides a new security architecture with distributed access control and trust management mechanisms. Further, CROWN features integrated capabilities to record a piece of data’s provenance—documentation of the process that led to its creation.

In a workflow-based SOA interaction, provenance provides a record of the invocations of all the services used in a given workflow, including the input and output data of the various invoked services and their location. The recording of provenance is essential in many areas—for example, in the pharmaceutical industry there is a legal requirement in many countries to record the provenance of in silico experimentation. The particular provenance-recording and -querying technology integrated into CROWN is the PreServ scheme developed in the University of Southampton’s PASOA (provenance-aware service-oriented architecture) project (www.pasoa.org).

**CROWN-C**

In extending CROWN, CROWN-C offers

- improved fault-tolerance support tools,
- better fault-injection-based assessment facilities,
- advanced multiparty authentication tools, and
- automated trust negotiation tools.

The specific technologies integrated into CROWN are FT-Grid to support fault tolerance, CROWN-FIT to support fault-injection-based assessment, Grid-MPA to address multiparty authentication issues, and ATNService to provide automated trust negotiation. Figure 1 shows CROWN-C’s overall architecture, with the enhancements to CROWN shaded.

**FT-GRID**

A traditional way to increase the dependability of distributed systems, both software and hardware, is through the use of fault-tolerant techniques. The function of fault tolerance is “to preserve the delivery of expected ser-
vices despite the presence of fault-caused errors within the system itself. Errors are detected and corrected, and permanent faults are located and removed while the system continues to deliver acceptable service.”

Many fault-tolerant techniques use some form of diversity to achieve their aim; of particular interest in a service-oriented context is design diversity. This technique generally lets a system operate successfully in the presence of a design fault via software redundancy—the entire system is constructed from numerous designs derived from a common specification. For example, an n-version design (NVD) system like that shown in Figure 2 invokes multiple functionally equivalent channels (software components) in parallel (although sequential invocation is conceptually possible). An adjudication mechanism compares their outputs and can either forward a consensus result or else fails with no agreement.

Design diversity can greatly lower the cost of creating SOG applications by making it possible to dynamically bind to preexisting, functionally equivalent services at runtime. However, multiple demand-centric services could, during execution of their dynamic workflows, invoke identical and potentially faulty “common” services and hardware, as Figure 3 shows. This effectively reduces channel diversity and increases the likelihood of common-mode failure, whereby multiple channels give similar incorrect outputs, potentially causing the adjudication mechanism to forward an incorrect result. In many high-assurance systems, this is often far more dangerous than announcing a failure and possibly moving the system into a safe state.

FT-Grid attempts to minimize the common-service problem through the PreServ tool, which helps derive topological information about dynamic, demand-centric system workflows by analyzing provenance records. PreServ lets a user manually search through any number of public or private UDDI repositories (should more than one UDDI server be specified, then FT-Grid will collate and return matching services from all UDDI servers specified), select several functionally equivalent services, choose the parameters to supply to each service, and invoke those services in parallel. FT-Grid can then apply a technique such as weighted voting on the returned results to filter out any anomalies.

As Table 1 shows, multiple runs of experiments of FT-Grid running an NVD configuration on grid services showed a greater percentage of correct results than either a single (simplex) service or a traditional NVD scheme.

### CROWN-FIT

Our fault-injection technology framework is a network-level fault-injector framework designed to help assess the dependability of middleware systems. FIT contains a fault-injection engine capable of handling different middleware message formats, including both text

![Figure 2. N-version design system. An NVD system invokes multiple functionally equivalent channels—in this case, three—in parallel. An adjudication mechanism compares their outputs and can either forward a consensus result or else fails with no agreement.](image1)

![Figure 3. Common-service problem. Multiple demand-centric services could, during execution of their dynamic workflows, invoke identical and potentially faulty “common” services and hardware.](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Correct result</th>
<th>No result</th>
<th>Common-mode failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simplex run 1</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplex run 2</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplex run 3</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplex average</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional NVD run 1</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional NVD run 2</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional NVD run 3</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional NVD average</td>
<td>923.33</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT-Grid run 1</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT-Grid run 2</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT-Grid run 3</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT-Grid average</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and binary. CROWN-FIT is a specific tailoring of the FIT framework for CROWN-C and the Globus Toolkit. It is implemented as a plug-in for Eclipse and a platform-independent framework for developing applications. It works alongside CROWN Designer, a grid service development plug-in.

**Targeted fault injection**

CROWN-FIT’s major innovation is the ability to simulate code-insertion fault injection without modifying service source code. As Figure 4 shows, it accomplishes this by intercepting middleware messages within the protocol stack, decoding the middleware message in real time, and injecting appropriate faults.

Because FIT intercepts messages—grid middleware typically uses the SOAP (www.w3.org/TR/soap) message protocol—as complete entities, it is possible to corrupt, reorder, and drop complete messages rather than just part of a network packet that might be discarded before it reaches the middleware layer. FIT can thus modify messages and then pass them on to the rest of the protocol stack; in this way, the system can inject faults into the middleware without the protocol stack’s filtering them out. Targeted fault injection at the middleware level enables parameter perturbation similar to that achieved by code insertion at the API level. The system also can use it to perturb SOAP element attributes to assess middleware protocols.

**Case study**

To illustrate CROWN-FIT’s effectiveness within CROWN-C, we conducted a study that expanded on an earlier effort using FIT to demonstrate potential flaws in an underlying grid middleware. Our study focused on the lack of validation of SOAP messages within Globus-based grid middleware. This has serious implications for system integrity, as malicious SOAP messages are often used in, for example, denial-of-service and buffer-overflow attacks.

In a typical SOAP message, each element consists of a tag and attributes containing schema information. We used CROWN-FIT to invalidate the schema information contained in a variety of SOAP messages, implemented using Apache Axis 1.3, while keeping their XML syntactically correct. Specifically, we applied a CROWN-FIT fault model that substitutes new text for a specific schema address and another that adds an extra attribute to an element, in violation of SOAP schemas, and looked for any adverse effects.

The study fault injections, shown in Table 2, did not cause a single exception to be thrown, indicating that the Axis package performed no XML validation on the SOAP message. Because this activity is relatively time-consuming, the developers perhaps opted to minimize this overhead by turning XML validation off. This decision, however, assumes that middleware communicating with Axis 1.3 is nonmalicious. Such implicit trust might be valid for well-known and trusted grid environments, but it is risky when composing systems from third-party services that service discovery might have located. A broker can mitigate some of the risk, but a more robust middleware is desirable.

**GRID-MPA**

Dynamic authentication between organizations can be complex and time-consuming when there is a need to cre-

---

**Table 2. CROWN-FIT case study fault injections.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOAP element</th>
<th>Element attribute</th>
<th>Original value</th>
<th>Injected value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>xmins:xsd</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema</a></td>
<td>“invalid schema”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>xmins:xsi</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance">www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance</a></td>
<td>“invalid schema”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extra attribute</td>
<td></td>
<td>soapenv:Envelope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soapenv:Body</td>
<td>Extra attribute</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>soapenv:Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ns1:fooResponse</td>
<td>soapenv:encodingStyle</td>
<td><a href="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/">http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/encoding/</a></td>
<td>“invalid schema”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>xmins:ns1</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nik.looker.name/TestService/">www.nik.looker.name/TestService/</a></td>
<td>“invalid schema”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extra attribute</td>
<td></td>
<td>ns1:fooResponse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fooResponse</td>
<td>Extra attribute</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>fooResponse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ate intermediate authentication paths and convert credentials. Unless security realms have a direct authentication relationship in place, it is difficult to secure collaboration between their services in a just-in-time fashion.

**Cross-realm authentication**

To address **heterogeneous cross-realm authentication** (HCRA) issues in service-based business sessions, we have developed the Grid-MPA authentication system. The system refers to a business process execution as a *business session*, and the principals working within the business session as *session partners*.

In a grid context, session partners are grid service instances. Grid-MPA associates every session partner with a distinct identifier, and generates and distributes a secret key for every pair of collaborating session partners. The system employs *session authorities* (SAs) to manage the membership of session partners in business sessions and provide reliable real-time information for authentication between session partners.

Before a principal (service instance) joins a business session, it must attempt to register with the associated SA. The SA decides whether to accept it based on certain policies—for example, the SA might require the applicant to have a recommendation from a member, or multiple members, of the corresponding business session. Thus, as Figure 5 shows, collaborating instances within a business session authenticate with one another by simply using their session memberships. In this way, Grid-MPA generates a security boundary for business sessions that lets only trusted principals join; it also allows cryptographic verification of session partners’ identity.

Because authentication of a grid service instance within a business session is much simpler in Grid-MPA than in conventional HCRA, we refer to this as **simplified cross-realm authentication** (SCRA). In a multiparty session with *n* security realms, up to \((n – 1) \times (n – 2)/2\) authentication processes can be simplified as SCRA. Thus, Grid-MPA largely avoids the establishment of authentication paths between collaborative session partners as well as credential conversion.

**Empirical evaluation**

We evaluated Grid-MPA’s performance in CROWN-C and Globus Toolkit version 4. In both systems, time consumption is proportional to the number of business session partners introduced. For example, as Figure 6 shows, in CROWN-C, Grid-MPA executed in a stable state until more than 260,000 partners were introduced (all available memory was consumed after this amount). In addition, the overhead that Grid-MPA imposes is comparable with that imposed by the standard security mechanisms used in both middleware systems.

![Figure 5. Simplified cross-realm authentication. Grid-MPA lets collaborating instances within a business session authenticate with one another by simply using their session memberships. In a multiparty session with *n* security realms, up to \((n – 1) \times (n – 2)/2\) authentication processes can be simplified as SCRA.](image)

![Figure 6. Empirical evaluation of Grid-MPA on CROWN-C. Two sets of experiments were performed: ES1 simulated distributed applications with Grid-MPA, while ES2 simulated such applications without Grid-MPA (benchmark). “Sig” indicates the signature security mechanism for communications used by CROWN-C, while “No sec” indicates absence of the security mechanism. Time consumption of Grid-MPA is proportional to the number of business session partners introduced, while the overhead it imposes is comparable to that of CROWN-C’s security mechanism.](image)

We also formally verified the Grid-MPA protocols’ correctness with Burrows-Abadi-Needham (BAN) logic.\(^{17}\)

**ATN SERVICE**

Dynamically building mutual trust relationships between service requesters and providers from different security realms is difficult, especially when considering the need to preserve their privacy. To address this problem, we have developed ATNService,\(^ {18}\) a tool that
Figure 7. Automated trust negotiation in CROWN-C. When a client seeks to access a target service that ATNService protects, ATNService invokes a series of procedures including building a secure tunnel-based, trust negotiation, and target service authorization. When a client sends a service request message to a target service in another domain, the requesting SOAP message-processing chain contains a local RedirectHandler that will initialize an ATN engine. This ATN engine will then invoke ATNService for the target service.

Figure 8. ATNService performance evaluation. Overall negotiation execution time versus the number of concurrent requests increases linearly, demonstrating the effectiveness of policy caching and trust tickets.

supports automated trust negotiation\textsuperscript{19} between such parties, and implemented it into CROWN-C to complement existing grid security infrastructures.

Automated trust negotiation

During the trust negotiation process, ATNService invokes an ATN engine, an independent library that parses credentials and policies and manages the states of different negotiation sessions. It features three key components. The negotiation strategy component decides whether the negotiation process should continue, and, if so, it determines whether credentials can satisfy the specified access control policy and then discloses the corresponding satisfied credentials or new access control policies. The trust chain construction component collects necessary credentials and constructs a trust chain, or credential chain, from trusted issuers to the requester based on delegation credentials, role-mapping policies, and so on. The trust ticket manager component issues or verifies short-term tickets to avoid renegotiation when multiple service access requests occur in a short time interval.

Figure 7 illustrates the relationship between ATN engines and ATNService. When a client seeks to access a target service that ATNService protects, ATNService invokes a series of procedures including building a secure tunnel based on the WS-SecureConversation specification, trust negotiation, and target service authorization. When a client sends a service request message to a target service in another domain, the requesting SOAP message-processing chain contains a local RedirectHandler that will initialize an ATN engine. This ATN engine will then invoke ATNService for the target service.

Upon receiving negotiation requests from the client’s ATN engine, ATNService will also initialize an ATN engine for the service provider and store the state of negotiation into ATNContext. The two participants might disclose their credentials and access control policies for sensitive information for several rounds, until reaching a final “success” or “failure” decision. If the negotiation succeeds, ATNService will return a success message, and the context stored in ATNContext will update accordingly.

The client can insert the session ID into a SOAP message header and sign it before sending the message to the target service; the target service can verify the ID’s authenticity through its AuthzHandler and authorize service access if verification succeeds.

Finally, because many requesters might access a special target service, ATNService supports the caching of credentials and access control policies with a dedicated queue. This mechanism is intended to avoid frequent initialization of the negotiation engine.

Empirical evaluation

We evaluated ATNService in CROWN-C with encouraging results. As Figure 8 shows, overall negotiation execution time versus the number of concurrent requests increases linearly, demonstrating the effectiveness of policy caching and trust tickets. For example, when ATNService receives 25 concurrent requests, service execution time is 6 seconds with policy caching and trust tickets, 16 seconds with policy caching only, and 50 seconds with no policy caching. In the case of 50 concurrent requests, service execution time is 9, 50, and 117 seconds, respectively. It is believed that most service invocations in CROWN-C benefit from the trust-ticket and policy-caching mechanisms.
We learned several important lessons when working to integrate the dependability and security technologies developed at the University of Leeds into the existing Chinese CROWN grid middleware. Because this integration primarily occurred through various plug-ins to the existing CROWN architecture, as opposed to designing the middleware for improved dependability and security from scratch, there were obvious challenges such as where in the architecture to place each component and how different components would communicate.

Service orientation let us overcome many of these integration issues by exploiting the standards-based nature of service computing, as well as its provision for loose coupling and late binding. We created new technologies as either Web services or, in the case of CROWN-FIT, as Eclipse plug-ins that enabled the components to communicate and be invoked in standard ways with other parts of the system, although at the cost of some efficiency due to the overhead of communicating through SOAP messaging and so on.

To fully understand these trade-offs, COLAB continues to collect and evaluate data using various grid applications such as the gViz visualization service and the Advanced Regional Eta-Coordinate Model (AREM) weather prediction tool.
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