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We consider a vector variational inequality in a finite-dimensional space. A new gap function is proposed, and an equivalent optimization problem for the vector variational inequality is also provided. Under some suitable conditions, we prove that the gap function is directionally differentiable and that any point satisfying the first-order necessary optimality condition for the equivalent optimization problem solves the vector variational inequality. As an application, we use the new gap function to reformulate a stochastic vector variational inequality as a deterministic optimization problem. We solve this optimization problem by employing the sample average approximation method. The convergence of optimal solutions of the approximation problems is also investigated.

1. Introduction

The vector variational inequality (VVI for short), which was first proposed by Giannessi [1], has been widely investigated by many authors (see [2–9] and the references therein). VVI can be used to model a range of vector equilibrium problems in economics, traffic networks, and migration equilibrium problems (see [1]).

One approach for solving a VVI is to transform it into an equivalent optimization problem by using a gap function. A gap function was first introduced to study optimization problems and has become a powerful tool in the study of convex optimization problems. Also a gap function was introduced in the study of scalar variational inequalities. It can reformulate a scalar variational inequality as an equivalent optimization problem, and so some effective solution methods and algorithms for optimization problems can be used to find solutions of variational inequalities. Recently, many authors extended the theory of gap functions to VVI and vector equilibrium problems (see [2, 4, 6–9]). In this paper, we present a new gap function for VVI and reformulate it as an equivalent optimization problem. We also prove that the gap function is directionally differentiable and that any point satisfying the first-order necessary optimality condition for the equivalent optimization problem solves the VVI.

In many practical problems, problem data will involve some uncertain factors. In order to reflect the uncertainties, stochastic vector variational inequalities are needed. Recently, stochastic scalar variational inequalities have received a lot of attention in the literature (see [10–20]). The ERM (expected residual minimization) method was proposed by Chen and Fukushima [11] in the study of stochastic complementarity problems. They formulated a stochastic linear complementarity problem (SLCP) as a minimization problem which minimizes the expectation of a NCP function (also called a residual function) of SLCP and regarded a solution of the minimization problem as a solution of SLCP. This method is the so-called expected residual minimization method. Following the ideas of Chen and Fukushima [11], Zhang and Chen [20] considered stochastic nonlinear complementary problems. Luo and Lin [18, 19] generalized the expected residual minimization method to
solve a stochastic linear and/or nonlinear variational inequality problem. However, in comparison to stochastic scalar variational inequalities, there are very few results in the literature on stochastic vector variational inequalities. In this paper, we consider a deterministic reformulation for the stochastic vector variational inequality (SVVI). Our focus is on the expected residual minimization (ERM) method for the stochastic vector variational inequality. It is well known that VVI is more complicated than a variational inequality, and they model many practical problems. Therefore, it is meaningful and interesting to study stochastic vector variational inequalities.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries are given. In Section 3, a new gap function for VVI is constructed and some suitable conditions are preliminary results. In this section, we will introduce some basic notations and definitions. Throughout this paper, denote by \( R_n \) the Euclidean norm of a vector or matrix \( x \), by \( | \cdot | \) the inner product in \( R^p \) and \( \| \cdot \| \) the Euclidean norm of a vector \( x \).

**Definition 1.** A function \( \varphi \) : \( K \rightarrow R \) is said to be a gap function for VI \(_{\varphi} \) (2) if it satisfies the following properties:

(i) \( \varphi(x) \geq 0 \), for all \( x \in K \);

(ii) \( \varphi(x^*) = 0 \) iff \( x^* \) solves VI \(_{\varphi} \) (2).

**Definition 2.** A function \( \psi : K \rightarrow R \) is said to be a gap function for VVI (1) if it satisfies the following properties:

(i) \( \psi(x) \geq 0 \), for all \( x \in K \);

(ii) \( \psi(x^*) = 0 \) iff \( x^* \) solves VVI (1).

Suppose that \( G \) is an \( n \times n \) symmetric positive definite matrix. Let

\[
\varphi_{\xi}(x) := \max_{y \in K} \left\{ \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{p} \xi_j F_j(x), x - y \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \| x - y \|_G^2 \right\},
\]

where \( \| x \|_G := \langle x, Gx \rangle \). Note that

\[
\sqrt{\lambda_{\min} |x|} \leq |x|_G \leq \sqrt{\lambda_{\max} |x|},
\]

where \( \lambda_{\min} \) and \( \lambda_{\max} \) are the smallest and largest eigenvalues of \( G \), respectively. It was shown in [21] that the maximum in (3) is attained at

\[
H_{\xi}(x) := \text{Proj}_{K,G \xi}(x - G^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \xi_j F_j(x)),
\]

where \( \text{Proj}_{K,G} \) is the projection of the point \( x \) onto the closed convex set \( K \) with respect to the norm \( | \cdot |_G \). Thus,

\[
\varphi_{\xi}(x) = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{p} \xi_j F_j(x), x - H_{\xi}(x) \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \| x - H_{\xi}(x) \|_G^2.
\]

**Lemma 3.** The projection operator \( \text{Proj}_{K,G \xi} \) is nonexpansive; that is,

\[
\left| \text{Proj}_{K,G}(x) - \text{Proj}_{K,G}(y) \right|_G \leq |x - y|_G, \quad \forall x, y \in R^n.
\]

**Lemma 4.** The function \( \varphi_{\xi} \) is a gap function for VI \(_{\varphi} \) (2) and \( x^* \in K \) solves VI \(_{\varphi} \) (2) iff it solves the following optimization problem:

\[
\min_{x \in K} \varphi_{\xi}(x).
\]

The gap function \( \varphi_{\xi} \) is also called the regularized gap function for VI \(_{\varphi} \) (2). When \( F_j \) (\( j = 1, \ldots, p \)) are continuously differentiable, we have the following results.

**Lemma 5.** If \( F_j \) (\( j = 1, \ldots, p \)) are continuously differentiable, then \( \varphi_{\xi} \) is also continuously differentiable in \( x \), and its gradient is given by

\[
\nabla_{x} \varphi_{\xi}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \xi_j F_j(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \xi_j \nabla F_j(x) - G \left( H_{\xi}(x) - x \right).
\]

**Lemma 6.** Assume that \( F_j \) are continuously differentiable and that the Jacobian matrices \( \nabla F_j(x) \) are positive definite for all \( x \in K \) (\( j = 1, \ldots, p \)). If \( x \) is a stationary point of problem (8), that is,

\[
\nabla_{x} \varphi_{\xi}(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in K,
\]

then it solves VI \(_{\varphi} \) (2).
3. A New Gap Function for VVI and Its Properties

In this section, based on the regularized gap function $\varphi_\xi$ for VVI (2), we construct a new gap function for VVI (1) and establish some properties under some mild conditions.

Let

$$\psi(x) := \min_{\xi \in B_\xi} \varphi_\xi(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Before showing that $\psi$ is a gap function for VVI, we first present a useful result.

**Lemma 7.** The following assertion is true:

$$S = \bigcup_{\xi \in B} S_\xi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

**Proof.** Suppose that $x^* \in \bigcup_{\xi \in B} S_\xi$. Then, there exists a $\bar{\xi} \in B$ such that $x^* \in S_{\bar{\xi}}$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \bar{\xi}_j \langle F_j(x^*), y-x^* \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^p \bar{\xi}_j F_j(x^*), y-x^* \right\rangle \geq 0,$$

for any fixed $y \in K$. Since $x^* \in S_{\bar{\xi}}$, it follows that $\langle F_j(x^*), y-x^* \rangle \geq 0$, $\forall y \in K$.\hspace{1cm} (13)

For any fixed $y \in K$, since $\bar{\xi} \in B$, there exists a $j \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ such that

$$\langle F_j(x^*), y-x^* \rangle \geq 0,$$

and so

$$\left(\langle F_1(x^*), y-x^* \rangle, \ldots, \langle F_p(x^*), y-x^* \rangle \right)^T \notin \text{int} \mathbb{R}_+^p,$$

$$\forall y \in K. \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

Thus, we have

$$\left(\langle F_1(x^*), y-x^* \rangle, \ldots, \langle F_p(x^*), y-x^* \rangle \right)^T \notin \text{int} \mathbb{R}_+^p,$$

$$\forall y \in K. \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

This implies that $x^* \in S$ and $\bigcup_{\xi \in B} S_\xi \subseteq S$.

Conversely, suppose that $x^* \in S$. Then, we have

$$\left(\langle F_1(x^*), y-x^* \rangle, \ldots, \langle F_p(x^*), y-x^* \rangle \right)^T \notin \text{int} \mathbb{R}_+^p,$$

$$\forall y \in K, \hspace{1cm} (17)$$

and so

$$\left\{\left(\langle F_1(x^*), y-x^* \rangle, \ldots, \langle F_p(x^*), y-x^* \rangle \right)^T : \forall y \in K\right\} \cap (- \text{int} \mathbb{R}_+^p) = \emptyset.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Since $K$ is convex, from Theorems 11.1 and 11.3 of [22], it follows that

$$\inf_{y \in K} \left\{\sum_{j=1}^p b_j \langle F_j(x^*), y-x^* \rangle \right\} \geq \sup_{y \in (- \text{int} \mathbb{R}_+^p)} \langle b, y \rangle,$$

where $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_p) \neq 0$. Moreover, we have $b > 0$. In fact, if $b_j < 0$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$, then we have

$$\sup_{y \in (- \text{int} \mathbb{R}_+^p)} \langle b, y \rangle = +\infty.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

On the other hand,

$$\inf_{y \in K} \left\{\sum_{j=1}^p b_j \langle F_j(x^*), y-x^* \rangle \right\} \geq \sup_{y \in (- \text{int} \mathbb{R}_+^p)} \langle b, y \rangle,$$

$$\forall y \in K,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, $b > 0$. This implies that, for any $z \in K$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^p b_j \langle F_j(x^*), z-x^* \rangle \geq \sup_{y \in (- \text{int} \mathbb{R}_+^p)} \langle b, y \rangle = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Taking $\xi = b / (\sum_{j=1}^p b_j)$, then $\xi \in B$ and

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^p \xi_j F_j(x^*), z-x^* \right\rangle \geq 0, \forall z \in K,$$

which implies that $x^* \in S_\xi$ and $S \subseteq \bigcup_{\xi \in B} S_\xi$.

This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

Now, we can prove that $\psi$ is a gap function for VVI (1).

**Theorem 8.** The function $\psi$ given by (11) is a gap function for VVI (1). Hence, $x^* \in K$ solves VVI (1) iff it solves the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in K} \psi(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

**Proof.** Note that for any $\xi \in B$, $\varphi_\xi(x)$ given by (3) is a gap function for VVI (2). It follows from Definition 1 that $\varphi_\xi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in K$ and hence $\psi(x) = \inf_{y \in \xi \in B} \varphi_\xi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in K$.

Assume that $\psi(x^*) = 0$ for some $x^* \in K$. From (6), it is easy to see that $\varphi_\xi(x^*)$ is continuous in $\xi$. Since $B$ is a closed
and bounded set, there exists a vector $\bar{\xi} \in B$ such that $\psi(x^*) = \varphi_\bar{\xi}(x^*)$, which implies that $\varphi_\bar{\xi}(x^*) = 0$ and $x^* \in S_\bar{\xi}$. It follows from Lemma 7 that $x^*$ solves VVI (1).

Suppose that $x^*$ solves VVI (1). From Lemma 7, it follows that there exists a vector $\bar{\xi} \in B$ such that $x^* \in S_\bar{\xi}$ and so $\varphi_\bar{\xi}(x^*) = 0$. Since, for all $\xi \in B$, $\varphi_\xi(x^*) \geq 0$, we have $\psi(x^*) = \min_{\xi \in B} \varphi_\xi(x^*) = 0$.

Thus, (11) is a gap function for VVI (1). The last assertion is obvious from the definition of gap function.

This completes the proof. □

Since $\psi$ is constructed based on the regularized gap function for VVI, we wish to call it a regularized gap function for VVI (1). Theorem 8 indicates that in order to get a solution of VVI (1), we only need to solve problem (24). In what follows, we will discuss some properties of the regularized gap function $\psi$.

**Theorem 9.** If $F_j$ $(j = 1, \ldots, p)$ are continuously differentiable, then the regularized gap function $\psi$ is directionally differentiable in any direction $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and its directional derivative $\psi'(x; d)$ is given by

$$
\psi'(x; d) = \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle \nabla_x \varphi_\xi(x), d \rangle,
$$

where $B(x) := \{ \xi \in B : \varphi_\xi(x) = \min_{\xi \in B} \varphi_\xi(x) \}$.

**Proof.** It follows since the projection operator is nonexpansive that $\varphi_\xi(x)$ is continuous in $(\xi, x)$. Thus, $B(x)$ is nonempty for any $x \in K$. From Lemma 5, it follows that $\varphi_\xi(x)$ is continuously differentiable in $x$ and that

$$
\nabla_x \varphi_\xi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^p \xi_j F_j(x) - \left( \sum_{j=1}^p \xi_j \nabla_x F_j(x) - G \right) \left( H_x(x) - x \right)
$$

is continuous in $(\xi, x)$. It follows from Theorem 1 of [23] that $\psi$ is directionally differentiable in any direction $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and its directional derivative $\psi'(x; d)$ is given by

$$
\psi'(x; d) = \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle \nabla_x \varphi_\xi(x), d \rangle.
$$

This completes the proof. □

By the directional differentiability of $\psi$ shown in Theorem 9, the first-order necessary condition of optimality for problem (24) can be stated as

$$
\psi'(x; y - x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in K.
$$

If one wishes to solve VVI (1) via the optimization problem (24), we need to obtain its global optimal solution. However, since the function $\psi$ is in general nonconvex, it is difficult to find a global optimal solution. Fortunately, we can prove that any point satisfying the first-order condition of optimality becomes a solution of VVI (1). Thus, existing optimization algorithms can be used to find a solution of VVI (1).

**Theorem 10.** Assume that $F_j$ are continuously differentiable and that the Jacobian matrices $\nabla_x F_j(x)$ are positive definite for all $x \in K$ $(j = 1, \ldots, p)$. If $x^* \in K$ satisfies the first-order condition of optimality (28), then $x^*$ solves VVI (1).

**Proof.** It follows from Theorem 9 and (28) that, for some $\xi \in B(x^*)$,

$$
\langle \nabla_x \varphi_\xi(x^*), y - x^* \rangle \geq 0
$$

holds for any $y \in K$. This implies that $x^*$ is a stationary point of problem (8). It follows from Lemma 6 that $x^*$ solves VVI (1). From Lemma 7, we see that $x^*$ solves VVI. This completes the proof. □

From Theorems 8 and 10, it is easy to get the following corollary.

**Corollary 11.** Assume that the conditions in Theorem 10 are all satisfied. If $x^* \in K$ satisfies the first-order condition of optimality (28), then $x^*$ is a global optimal solution of problem (24).

### 4. Stochastic Vector Variational Inequality

In this section, we consider the stochastic vector variational inequality (SVVI). First, we present a deterministic reformulation for SVVI by employing the ERM method and the regularized gap function. Second, we solve this reformulation by the SAA method.

In most important practical applications, the functions $F_j$ $(j = 1, \ldots, p)$ always involve some random factors or uncertainties. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space. Taking the randomness into account, we get a stochastic vector variational inequality problem (SVVI): find a vector $x^* \in K$ such that

$$
\left( (F_1(x^*, \omega), y - x^*), \ldots, (F_p(x^*, \omega), y - x^*) \right)^T 
$$

is not in $\text{int} \mathbb{R}^p_+$, $\forall y \in K$, a.s.,

where $F_j : \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are mappings and a.s. is the abbreviation for “almost surely” under the given probability measure $P$.

Because of the random element $\omega$, we cannot generally find a vector $x^* \in K$ such that (30) holds almost surely. That is, (30) is not well defined if we think of solving (30) before knowing the realization $\omega$. Therefore, in order to get a reasonable resolution, an appropriate deterministic reformulation for SVVI becomes an important issue in the study of the considered problem. In this section, we will employ the ERM method to solve (30).

Define

$$
\psi(x, \omega) := \min_{\xi \in B} \varphi_\xi(x, \omega),
$$

where

$$
\varphi_\xi(x, \omega) := \max_{y \in K} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^p \xi_j F_j(x, \omega, x - y) - \frac{1}{2} \| x - y \|^2_G \right\},
$$
The maximum in (32) is attained at
\[ H_\xi(x, \omega) := \text{Proj}_{K,G} \left( x - G^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \xi_j F_j(x, \omega) \right), \quad (33) \]
and, for any scalar c,
\[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left( |M_j(\omega)| c + |Q_j(\omega)| \right) \right] < +\infty. \quad (41) \]

The following results will be useful in the proof of the convergence result.

**Lemma 13.** Let \( f, g : \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R} \) be continuous. If \( \min_{x \in B} f(\xi) < +\infty \) and \( \min_{x \in B} g(\xi) < +\infty \), then
\[ \left| \min_{\xi \in B} f(\xi) - \min_{\xi \in B} g(\xi) \right| \leq \max_{\xi \in B} \left| f(\xi) - g(\xi) \right|. \quad (42) \]

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we assume that \( \min_{x \in B} f(\xi) \leq \min_{x \in B} g(\xi) \). Let \( \bar{\xi} \) minimize \( f \) and \( \tilde{\xi} \) minimize \( g \), respectively. Hence, \( f(\bar{\xi}) \leq g(\tilde{\xi}) \) and \( g(\bar{\xi}) \leq g(\tilde{\xi}) \). Thus
\[ \left| \min_{\xi \in B} f(\xi) - \min_{\xi \in B} g(\xi) \right| = g(\tilde{\xi}) - f(\bar{\xi}) \leq g(\bar{\xi}) - f(\bar{\xi}) \leq \max_{\xi \in B} \left| f(\xi) - g(\xi) \right|. \]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Lemma 14.** When \( F_j(x, \omega) = M_j(\omega)x + Q_j(\omega) \) \((j = 1, \ldots, p)\), the function \( \varphi_\xi(x, \omega) \) is continuously differentiable in \( x \) almost surely, and its gradient is given by
\[ \nabla_x \varphi_\xi(x, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \xi_j F_j(x, \omega) \]
\[ - \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} \xi_j M_j(\omega) - G \right) \left( H_\xi(x, \omega) - x \right). \quad (44) \]

**Proof.** The proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.2 in [21], so we omit it here. \( \square \)

**Lemma 15.** For any \( x \in K \), one has
\[ \left| x - H_\xi(x, \omega) \right| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_{\text{min}}} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left| F_j(x, \omega) \right|. \quad (45) \]

**Proof.** For any fixed \( \omega \in \Omega \), \( \varphi_\xi(x, \omega) \) is the gap function of the following scalar variational inequality: find a vector \( x^* \in K \), such that
\[ \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{p} \xi_j F_j(x^*, \omega), y - x^* \right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in K. \quad (46) \]
Hence, $\varphi_\xi(x, \omega) \geq 0$ for all $x \in K$. From (4) and (34), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \| x - H_\xi(x, \omega) \|^2_G \leq \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^p \xi_j F_j(x, \omega), x - H_\xi(x, \omega) \right\rangle \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^p \xi_j F_j(x, \omega) \right\| \| x - H_\xi(x, \omega) \|_G,$$

and so

$$\| x - H_\xi(x, \omega) \|_G \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_{\min}} \sum_{j=1}^p | F_j(x, \omega) |.$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

It follows from (4) that

$$\| x - H_\xi(x, \omega) \|_G \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min}}} \| x - H_\xi(x, \omega) \|_G \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_{\min}} \sum_{j=1}^p | F_j(x, \omega) |.$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

This completes the proof. \(\square\)

Now, we obtain the convergence of optimal solutions of problem (37) in the following theorem.

**Theorem 16.** Let \(\{x^k\}\) be a sequence of optimal solutions of problem (37). Then, any accumulation point of \(\{x^k\}\) is an optimal solution of problem (35).

**Proof.** Let $x^*$ be an accumulation point of $\{x^k\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x^k$ itself converges to $x^*$ as $k$ tends to infinity. It is obvious that $x^* \in K$. At first, we will show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} \psi(x^k, \omega) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \psi(x^*, \omega) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)

From Lemma 12, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} \psi(x^k, \omega) - \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} \psi(x^*, \omega) \right| = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

It follows from Lemma 13 and the mean-value theorem that

$$\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} \psi(x^k, \omega) - \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} \psi(x^*, \omega) \leq \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} \left| \psi(x^k, \omega) - \psi(x^*, \omega) \right| \leq \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} \left| \psi(x^k, \omega) - \psi(x^*, \omega) \right| \leq \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} \max_{\xi \in B} \left| \nabla \varphi_\xi(y^{k,j}, \omega) \right| \| x^k - x^* \|,$$

where $y^{k,j} = \lambda_{k,j} x^k + (1 - \lambda_{k,j}) x^*$ with $\lambda_{k,j} \in [0, 1]$. Because $\lim_{k \to \infty} x^k = x^*$, there exists a constant $C$ such that $|x^k| \leq C$ for each $k$. By the definition of $y^{k,j}$, we have $|y^{k,j}| \leq C$. Hence, for any $\xi \in B$ and $\omega \in \Omega_k$,

$$\left| \nabla \varphi_\xi(y^{k,j}, \omega) \right| \leq \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_k} \left| \nabla \varphi_\xi(y^{k,j}, \omega) \right| \| x^k - x^* \| \leq C.$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)
Since $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k$ converges to zero, and so by Lemma 15, the second inequality is from Lemma 15. Thus, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \left( |M_j (\omega_j)| C + |Q_j (\omega_j)| \right)$$

where the second inequality is from Lemma 15. Thus,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N_k \omega \in \Omega_k} \sum_{j \in \Omega_k} \psi (x^*, \omega) \right\| - \frac{1}{N_k \omega \in \Omega_k} \sum_{j \in \Omega_k} \psi (x^*, \omega) \right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{2C}{\lambda_{\min}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^p |M_j (\omega_j)| \right)^2$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ above, we get from Lemma 12 and (50) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \left( |M_j (\omega_j)| C + |Q_j (\omega_j)| \right) \left( \frac{2}{\lambda_{\min}} |G| + 1 \right)$$

which means that $x^*$ is an optimal solution of problem (35). This completes the proof.  

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11171237, 11101069, and 71101099), by the Construction Foundation of Southwest University for Nationalities for the subject of applied economics (2011XWD-S0202), and by Sichuan University (SKQY201330).

**References**


Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com