A NEUROBIOLOGICALLY INSPIRED VOWEL RECOGNIZER USING HOUGH-TRANSFORM

A novel approach to auditory image processing
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Abstract: Many pattern recognition problems can be solved by mapping the input data into an n-dimensional feature space in which a vector indicates a set of attributes. One powerful pattern recognition method is the Hough-transform, which is usually applied to detect specific curves or shapes in digital pictures. In this paper the Hough-transform is applied to the time series data of neurotransmitter vesicle releases of an auditory model. Practical vowel recognition of different speakers with the help of this transform is investigated and the findings are discussed.

1 INTRODUCTION

Vowel recognition is a wide research area with many existing solutions. The authors will now present a method how a standard image processing algorithm like the Hough-transform can be applied to process audio signals. The time-varying audio signal is first transformed by a neurophysiologically parameterized Extended Zwicker / Meddis-Poveda auditory model into a two-dimensional spatiotemporal neurotransmitter vesicle release distribution. The Hough-transform is then applied to this image to detect the emerging vesicle release patterns evoked by vowels.

1.1 The Hough-transform

The Hough-transform is a technique that can be used to isolate features of a particular shape within an image (Shapiro, 1978). It was originally developed in the field of high-energy physics for the detection of charged particle tracks in bubble chambers to detect straight lines (Hough, 1959), (Hough, 1962). Since then it has been used as a standard image analysis tool for pattern recognition, and has been generalized to arbitrary shapes (Duda, 1972), (Ballard, 1981).

The procedure has similarities to regression methods, the common problem being to derive line parameters from points lying on that line (Ohlsson, 1992). The Hough-transform is very robust; points that are not on the line have little influence on the estimation. The main advantage of the technique is that it is tolerant of gaps in feature boundary descriptions and is relatively unaffected by image noise.

Hough-transform is a coordinate transform, which maps the input data directly to an n-dimensional feature space, in which the aggregating clusters indicate the occurrence of a feature. The attributes of a feature are quantitatively coded by the corresponding n-dimensional feature vector. The feature attributes are mapped linearly along the orthogonal feature axes. The power of the Hough-transform derives from the linearity of the feature maps.

Input tuple coordinates and feature coordinates are coupled by the corresponding Hough-transform equations (Duda, 1972). These equations can be given analytically for simple patterns like straight lines, circles and trigonometric functions (Ballard, 1981). Each input tuple is translated to its associated trajectory in the corresponding feature space. Multiple crossings of trajectories in the feature space indicate that a feature forming input tuple set belongs
to the same feature. The multiple intersections of the trajectories lead to clustering in the feature space. However, the intersection density peaks sharply for the best possible fit of an observed feature, therefore a single feature is represented in the feature space as a point distribution with characteristic decreasing profile (Davis, 1992).

1.2 Parallel Hough-transform

The Hough-transform algorithm is known to be computationally intensive (Swaaij, 1990). In the discrete form, it is a histogram accumulating technique. The feature space is subdivided into a grid of histogram cells, whose number defines the granularity of the feature space. The Hough-transform is therefore, in its discrete form, a histogram updating procedure in which for each point (or event) in the input data, we update the histogram in the Hough space. The result is a 2-D histogram representing for each point in the parameter (Hough) space, the probability of the existence of a shape with such parameters.

Hubel et al. (Hubel, 1978) demonstrated the natural orientation columns in the macaque monkey brain, which are believed to perform a kind of parallel Hough-transform, serving the orientation of the monkey by extracting features from the seen image in real-time. One can easily come to the idea of trying to model this naturally brilliant architecture, hoping that the same speed-up can be achieved.

Epstein et al. (Epstein, 2001) designed a parallel Hough-transform engine, where, in reducing the n-dimensional feature space to two dimensions the coordinate transform can be executed by a systolic array consisting of time-delay processing elements and adders.

1.3 Application to sound data

Generally speaking, sound is an oscillation of air pressure level in time. To process a piece of sound in a digital system, it first has to be digitized. The monaural sounds that we use in this project are recorded with a sampling rate of 44.1 kHz and a resolution of 16 bits. Due to some similarity between pattern recognition and statistical curve fitting problems, the Hough-transform may as well be directly applied to digitized sound data. The direct appliance to the time varying audio signal is discussed by Röver et al. for musical instrument identification (Röver, 2004). Brückmann et al. have shown that not only video signals as bars of different slopes, but also audio signals as sinusoids are self-learned by feed-forward timing neural networks. These nets learn the Hough-transform in most of the cases (Brückmann, 2004).

2 MOTIVATION: DELAY TRAJECTORIES

The application of the Hough-transform to the output of an auditory model is motivated by the fact, that a sound might be represented by regular shapes in an intermediate representation, which might be identifiable by the Hough-transform. We choose the neurotransmitter release distribution as the intermediate input for the Hough-transform. The patterns of the neurotransmitter concentration in the synaptic cleft have the appearance to be bundles of curves of different curvature, if quasi stationary signals such as vowels are applied (see Figure 1).

![Figure 1: waveform (top) and vesicle release delay trajectories (bottom) of vowel "a" (male speaker).](image)

According to the auditory image (AI) study from Greenberg et al. (Greenberg, 1997) the curvature of the resulting curve caused by a single impulse is solely dependent on the species, i.e., the anatomical properties of the basilar membrane (BM). On the other hand, when speaking of one species and complex sounds, then the resulting curves do have different curvature. We concentrate on these emerging vesicle data sets. We will try to detect these emerging curves composed of vesicles by fitting appropriate curves to the neurotransmitter vesicle release distribution, and then see whether a sound can be classified by the generated sequence of curve parameters. The curve parameters are the time of occurrence and their specific curvature. The Hough-transform is then applied to the auditory image of vowel sounds intonated by different speakers.

3 THE AUDITORY MODEL

The velocity of the basilar membrane excited by a time varying audio signal is computed according to the Extended Zwicker model as given by Baumgarte (Baumgarte, 2000). The mechano-chemical coupling of the BM velocity is mediated by the forced movement of the stereociliae of the inner hair cells (IHC). The movement depolarizes the IHCs resulting in neurotransmitter vesicle releases. This process is modelled according to the rate kinetics equations as given by Meddis and Poveda in (Sumner, 2002). The
neurotransmitter release distribution reflects the actual state of the BM velocity at a given time.

The auditory model processes the wave input file and generates 251-channel output data, where each channel has a different centre frequency, ranging from 5 Hz to 21 kHz. The channels can be imagined as slices of the basilar membrane in the cochlea with the data on them representing the sound information sent by the inner hair cells towards the brain.

4 CORE: THE NEURAL NET

The core of the system is an artificial Hubel-Wiesel network, which is extensively described in (Brückmann, 2002). This neural network is able to learn almost any set of different slopes or a set of sinusoids of different frequencies. It has been also shown, that the network is capable of self-learning, however, this process may consume large amount of time.

Katzmann showed (see Acknowledgements) that a more efficient learning method is available if the following rules are satisfied (see also Figure 2):

- the curves (to be taught) must be one pixel wide,
- for every x-value a function value (a pixel of the curve) must exist,
- the first “curve” should always be a straight horizontal line (y=1),
- the curves should be ordered by an index, where the (i+1)th curve must be at most one pixel wider (in y direction) than the ith one,
- all curves must start at first column (x=1) and go down to the rightmost, lowest point (x_{max}, y=1).

5 PARAMETERIZATION

To achieve a good performance, it is crucial to have the proper curves modelled and to do the Hough-transform on the appropriate data set.

5.1 Geometric model of the curves

Greenberg pointed out that the motion of the BM proceeds in an orderly fashion from the base to the point of maximum displacement, beyond which it damps out relatively quickly. The transit of the travelling wave is extremely fast at the base, but slowing dramatically for peak displacements at the apex of the cochlea (Greenberg, 1997). He showed, furthermore, that the delay trajectories can be efficiently modelled by the simple equation:

$$d_a = f_i^{-1} + k, \quad (1)$$

where the cochlear delay $d_a$ can be calculated from the given frequency $f_i$ and delay constant $k$. Basically, the equation above means that the delay trajectories have some kind of 1/x characteristics.

Based on this statement, and taking the rules listed in Chapter 4 into account, we found the following curve-equation for our digital system:

$$f_i(j) = \frac{f_{\text{min}} \cdot \nu \cdot (n_p - 1 - j)}{(j + f_{\text{min}}) \cdot (n_p - 1)}, \quad (2)$$

where $n_p$ is the size of the quadratic network in each direction (measured in pixels), $\nu$ denotes the index of the current curve ($\nu = 0, 1, \ldots, n_p - 1$), and $j$ is the index of the current pixel being calculated ($j = 0, 1, \ldots, n_p - 1$). Free variable $f_{\text{min}}$ can be used to set the average curvature, see Figure 3 for comparison.

Figure 2: a 4x4 network with four possible curves.

Please note that the curves created according to the method defined above will be inverted before use, i.e., the first curve being looked for in the auditory image will be a straight vertical line.

5.2 Hough parameters

As already mentioned in the introductory part, the extraction of the curves is performed by an artificial Hubel-Wiesel network in a parallel way. Parallel
operation stands for a line-wise instead of a pixel-wise approach.

As stated in Chapter 3, our auditory model has 251 channels of output, each corresponding to a specific centre frequency. Since speech processing does not require the whole spectral information, a spectral crop can be applied to decrease the number of channels to be processed. We now introduce two new system parameters: $C_b$ and $C_t$, which stand for bottom- and top (spectral) crop, respectively. Both are non-negative integers and mean the number of channels to be ignored (see Figure 4).

One other system parameter is $S_t$, which stands for time-scaling. $S_t$ is the number of consecutive data on each channel, which will be averaged and treated as one input data for the Hough-transform.

So, the main parameter quadruplet for the Hough-transform is $f_{\text{min}}$, $C_b$, $C_t$ and $S_t$. The best values are different for men and women voice, but $f_{\text{min}}=30$, $C_b=25$, $C_t=85$ and $S_t=6$ is a good compromise. From now on, these values will be referred as the default Hough parameters.

It is easy to see, that the height of the auditory image to be transformed, and hence, the size of the artificial Hubel-Wiesel network is $h=251-C_t-C_b$. The width ($w$) of the image depends on the duration of the input sound and on $S_t$.

6 THE TRANSFORMATION

Once the input sound file has been transformed into an auditory image, the Hubel-Wiesel network will be configured, i.e., the curves corresponding to a given $f_{\text{min}}$ will be taught.

Next, the cropped auditory image will be fed into the network. In each step, the image will be shifted by one column that the network will transform. Each step generates an output array of $h$ elements. Since our artificial Hubel-Wiesel network is quadratic, in $w$ steps, the Hough-transformed output image (having the same dimension as that of the input image) will be ready.

7 RECOGNITION

To achieve a clear transformed image (similar to Figure 6, bottom), and to enable an experimental vowel recognition, the Hough-transformed auditory image (AI) has to be post-processed. A typical post-processing step for Hough-transform is the so called butterfly filtering, which is a convolution filter, and is used to enhance the feature points in the transformed image. Still, since we only need several feature points for vowel recognition we chose another way of post-processing as follows.

7.1 Post-processing

The (greyscale) value for each pixel in the transformed image ranges from 0 to $h-1$. Let us denote the x and y position and the value of the global maximum pixel by $m_x$, $m_y$ and $m_v$, respectively. Furthermore, the pixels of the transformed
image will be referred as $P_{x,y}$, where, for example, $P_{5,8}$ means the value of the pixel that is the 5th from the left and the 8th from the bottom of the image.

Now, histogram $H_i$ will be built according to the pixel values of all the rows of the transformed image (see Equation 3 and Equation 4). $H_i$ will contain the sum of those pixel-values in a line, which are greater or equal to $\tau \cdot m_v$. Default value for $\tau$ is 0.75.

$$P_i(x,y) = \begin{cases} P_{x,y} & P_{x,y} \geq \tau \cdot m_v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$H_i(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{w} P_i(i,y)$$

Now, let smooth $H_i$ and take the positions of the three major peaks; denote them by $\Phi_1$ (highest peak), $\Phi_2$ and $\Phi_3$ (smallest peak). Taking the peak values from the histogram will determine the $y$ position of the areas, in which an adaptive (local) maximum search shall be initiated. The $x$ position of the search areas will be determined by calculating the highest autocorrelation value ($\rho$: best periodicity) of the transformed image, and by adding this $\rho$ several times to the $x$ position of the maximum pixel in the actual line (see Figure 7).

7.2 The resulting data set

Quadruplet $[\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \rho]$ contains sufficient information to carry out a simple vowel recognition. We introduce a redundant variable $r$ for easier discussion of the relation of the histogram peaks (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relation of peak positions</th>
<th>$r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_1 &lt; \Phi_2 &lt; \Phi_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_2 &lt; \Phi_1 &lt; \Phi_3$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_2 &lt; \Phi_3 &lt; \Phi_1$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_3 &lt; \Phi_2 &lt; \Phi_1$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_3 &lt; \Phi_1 &lt; \Phi_2$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_2 &lt; \Phi_1 &lt; \Phi_3$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We state that efficient and robust automated vowel recognition might be possible based on $H_i$ and $\rho$.

7.3 A simple recognition method

As the next step of a very simple vowel recognition and visualization procedure, the maximum value of each LM-area (see boxes on Figure 7) will be picked. Then, based on the histogram, $r$ will be evaluated. Most amazingly, $r$ itself is a very strong feature for vowels “a”, “o” and “u”, even for different speakers. See Figure 8, Figure 9 and Figure 10 for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relation of peak positions</th>
<th>$r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_1 &lt; \Phi_2 &lt; \Phi_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_2 &lt; \Phi_1 &lt; \Phi_3$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_2 &lt; \Phi_3 &lt; \Phi_1$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_3 &lt; \Phi_2 &lt; \Phi_1$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_3 &lt; \Phi_1 &lt; \Phi_2$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_2 &lt; \Phi_1 &lt; \Phi_3$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We state that efficient and robust automated vowel recognition might be possible based on $H_i$ and $\rho$.

7.4 Visualization of the results

One could doubt whether the maximum points would be found correctly. The maximum points can be
picked and the curves that they encode can be drawn back for verification. See Figure 11. The results do not need any further explanation.

Figure 11: Hough-transformed AI of vowel “i” by male speaker B with maximum points shown (bottom), and the corresponding delay trajectories with curves drawn back based on maximum point information (top). Please note that despite the similarity to Figure 8, \( r=2 \) in this case.

8 RESULTS

It has been shown that after the Hough-transformation of the auditory image, vowels can be recognized even with very simple processing methods. Despite the simplicity of the algorithm, recognition is speaker-independent for selected vowels (a, o, u). We insist that a competent (neural) system could do a more extensive and yet robust recognition based on \( H_t \) and \( \rho \).

9 CONCLUSIONS

The application of the Hough-transform to the neurotransmitter vesicle release distribution yields good results, especially in procuring invariant parameter settings for vowel descriptions for different speakers. According to these findings, the authors will try to model several computational maps in the brain structured to execute Hough-transforms. Furthermore, more sophisticated post-processing methods are being investigated to yield a more robust and possibly automated vowel recognition.
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