Introduction

Cancer is a group of more than 100 different diseases that affect the cells of body. Cancer of the colon and rectum (also referred to as colorectal cancer) varies around the world and is one of the most important causes of death in Western world (Ju et al., 2007; American Cancer Society, 2008; Toyoda et al., 2009). It is common in the Western world and is rare in Asia and Africa (MedicineNet, 2011). It's estimated that worldwide in 2008, 1.23 million new cases of CRC cancer were diagnosed and death of it was more than 600,000 people of the world. More than half of all deaths from the disease occur in the more developed regions of the world (WHO, 2008). New cases of CRC in the United States in 2010 was estimated 102,900 (colon); 39,670 (rectal) and deaths of it was estimated 51,370 (National Cancer Institute, 2010). Based on many different studies, the researchers found: a) patients treated within four weeks of surgery had a better chance of survival compared with people who started chemotherapy more than four weeks post-surgery. b) Monthly treatment delay increased risk of disease recurrence after surgery and also increased risk of death after. c) Even supposing risk of recurrence went up with every extra one month after operation that a patient didn’t start chemotherapy; there was still some benefit to receiving chemotherapy even after three months post-surgery (About.com, 2011). In Iran, the incidence rate of CRC is lower than Western countries (Sadjadi et al., 2005; Ministry of Health and Medical Education, 2006) but incidence rate of disease in younger people is higher than expected (Pahlavan and Jensen, 2005; Ansari et al., 2006, Foroutan et al., 2008) for that reason CRC is a major public health problem in Iran (Safae et al., 2008).

Many different studies were conducted to determine risk factors of CRC and their importance (Giovannucci, 2002; Bingham et al., 2003; Corrao et al., 2004; Chan et al., 2008; American Cancer Society, 2008; Kelsall et al., 2009). The survival rate of CRC patients was related to tumor grade, tumor size, type of first treatment, body mass index (Boyle and Langman, 2000; Moghimi-Dehkordi et al., 2008; Asghari et al., 2009). The analyzing of CRC data...
and prediction of CRC was made on statistical methods such as survival analysis. In the previous decades, the data analysts have used a various survival methods for determining of prognostic factors and survival rate of patients. One alternate method to prediction of CRC survival is artificial neural network (ANN). ANN models are flexible and nonlinear methods that allow better fit to the data and leads to accurate prediction (Bishop, 1997). Use of ANN method for CRC was reported in few studies (Bottaci et al., 1997; Annand et al., 1999; Grumett et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004; Kyung-Joong et al., 2004; Bitttern et al., 2005; Ahmed et al., 2008). In this study, the ANN model was used to determine the risk factors of CRC patients and then the accuracy prediction of this model was compared to Cox regression model.

Materials and Methods

In this study, we analyzed the data from 1219 patients with CRC were been collected by cancer registry of the Research Center for Gastroenterology and Liver Disease of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (Asghari et al., 2009). At first we dropped out those patients with lower than one-month or higher than six years survival time. Based on this exclusion criterion a total of 1007 patients (786 colon cancer and 204 rectum cancer subjects) were entered in the study. The event (non-censored patients status) was defined as death from CRC occurring within follow-up time beginning from the date of first diagnosis. Other survived patients were considered as censored cases. In this historical cohort study, the required information for each patient including age at diagnosis, sex, marital status, familial history, BMI, high risk behavior (i.e. tobacco smoking, or alcohol history, or opium, or IV drug user, or betel use), weight loss, pathologic tumor stage, tumor grade, tumor size, pathologic primary tumor, pathologic distant metastasis, pathologic regional lymph node, and first treatment were used in the analysis. We also registered the survival time of each patient (in month). Pathologic stage of tumor was defined as I, II, III, and IV according to American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) on TNM staging criterion (1988). In addition, Tumor grade was considered on a three-grade scale (well differentiated, moderately differentiated, and poorly differentiated).

Estimated survival time was reported monthly and was represented as mean (±standard deviation). The survival probabilities were compared in groups by the generalized Wilcoxon test procedure (Kleinbaum and Klein, 2005). The p-values less than 0.05 were considered as significant (it is mentioned that Back-ward stepwise method with significant level entry 0.10 and removal to the model 0.15 was used to model building). The assumptions of proportionality have been tested by Shoenfield residuals ph-test (Kleinbaum and Klein, 2005).

In the ANN modeling process, we randomly divided the data into two subsets: nearly 70% of patients for training the models and the remaining (nearly 30%) for testing and validation the model. After evaluating the model, we applied multiple layer perceptron (MLP) networks to determine important risk factors. In this context, we use independent variable importance analysis by using normalized importance, the risk factors were determined. In addition, the areas under receiver operation characteristic (AUROC) curves were used for comparing the prediction power of the described models. Note that, in fitting ANN model we used a three-layer MLP network with 14 variables in input layer, 5 to 20 nodes in middle layer and one node in output layer. Because of patient’s status was bi-state (dead or censored), the logistic transfer function was utilized as the transfer function in middle and output layers. In addition, we have utilized back-propagation learning algorithm with learning rate of 0.01 to 0.40 and momentum of 0.80 to 0.95 for learning net. Concordance index was calculated for two models (ANN and Cox model) as a measure of ability of model predictions. Data were analyzed using R 2.12.0 software.

Of the 1007 CRC patients 216 (21.4%) died (44 due to rectum cancer) and 791 (78.6%) were censored (618 cases in colon patients); 628 patients (62.4%) were men (600 patients with colon and others with rectum cancer) and others were women. The mean±SD of survival times for colon and rectum cancer were 51.4±37.3 and 52.1±35.4 respectively. The mean age at diagnosis in colon and rectum cancer were 53.7 (±14.6) and 52.4±(13.7) respectively. One, three and five-years estimated survival probability in colon patients were 0.92, 0.71, and 0.48 and for rectum patients were 0.86, 0.71, and 0.42 respectively. For analysis of data using ANN method, in the first step, the data was divided in training (nearly 70% of patients) and testing (nearly 30% of patients) groups. The generalized Wilcoxon test showed that the estimated survival curves using the training and testing groups have no significant difference (P=0.268). Afterward, MLP (three-layer) model was fitted to data based on 5 to 20 nodes in middle layer, and with 0.8 to 0.95 momentum and learning rate 0.01 to 0.40. The best model had 12 hidden node, learning rate of 0.15, and momentum of 0.90. For this model, sum of squares error prediction of colon and rectum patients’ survival were 11.38 and 44.46 respectively. In addition, average relative error prediction of colon and rectum patients’ survival were 0.94 and 1.01 respectively.

In the next step, based on validation set, the NN model was used to determine the risk factors. Based on importance analysis in ANN strategy, pathologic distant metastasis, pathologic regional lymph nodes, tumor grade, high risk behavior, pathologic primary tumor, familial history and tumor size variables were determined as ordered important variables for colon cancer. Tumor grade, pathologic stage, age at diagnosis, tumor size, high risk behavior, pathologic distant metastasis and first treatment variables were determined as ordered important factors for rectum cancer (Table 1).

For comparing the accuracy of the models’ prediction, we used accuracy classification table for the testing subset. The results were presented in Table 2. The ANN model lead to more accurate predictions compared to the Cox model (true prediction of 89.0% vs. 78.6% for colon and 82.7% vs. 70.7% for rectum cancer patients). The areas
Table 1. Cox and NN Modeling Results for Determining the Effects of Prognostic Factors on CRC Patients’ Survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordered variables</th>
<th>Colon Ordered variables</th>
<th>Normalized importance*</th>
<th>Rectum Ordered variables</th>
<th>Normalized importance*</th>
<th>Colon Ordered variables</th>
<th>P_value</th>
<th>Rectum Ordered variables</th>
<th>P_value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>High risk behavior</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional lymph nodes</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>Pathologic stage</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>First treatment</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>Pathologic stage</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk behavior</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>High risk behavior</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic primary tumor</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>High risk behavior</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pathologic stage</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Primary tumor</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>First treatment</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial history</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>First treatment</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Regional nodes</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>BMi</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Regional nodes</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>Familial history</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic stage</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>Primary tumor</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>Primary tumor</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First treatment</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>Familial history</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>Regional nodes</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Familial history</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td>0.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>Regional nodes</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* gain value

Table 2. Classification Accuracy of ANN and Cox Models in Testing Subsets of CRC patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>True prediction</th>
<th>ANN</th>
<th>Cox</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24 (63.2)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>(44.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Survived</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>163 (94.8)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>(86.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>187 (89.0)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>(78.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum</td>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8 (66.7)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(41.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Survived</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40 (86.9)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>(78.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48 (82.7)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>(70.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

under ROC curves, calculated from testing colon and rectum data subset, for ANN model were 0.73, and 0.68 and for Cox model, 0.62 and 0.59.

Discussion

Because of increasing rate of CRC in Iran especially in youth through recent decades (Hosseini et al., 2004, Pahlavan and Jensen, 2005), this may be a major public health problem. Therefore prediction of CRC survival probability and determining of risk factors of CRC patients is important. Published studies have reported marital status, race and education site-specifically (Charles and Thomas, 1992; Tavani et al., 1999; Troisi et al., 1999; Cheng et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2004; Li et al., 2007), BMI (LeMarchand et al., 1992; Asghari-Jafarabadi, 2009), high risk behavior (Cho et al., 2004; Erhardt et al., 2002; Giovannucci et al., 1995; Mizoue et al., 2006; Asghari-Jafarabadi, 2009), Tumor size (Li et al., 2007), Tumor grade (Li et al., 2007) are as risk factors. Another study with large sample data (72,214 patients) showed that marital status, tumor size, stage, gender, race, site, grade, age, and income variables have had significant relation to colon cancer survival (Lai and Stotler, 2010). All of these researcher have used statistical survival analysis methods and few studies have been used other techniques such as ANN methods (Bottaci et al., 1997; Annand et al., 1999; Grumett et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004; Kyung-Joong et al., 2004; Bittern et al., 2005; Ahmed wt al., 2005, Alladi et al., 2008). They concluded that NN approach is superior to popular techniques. Only one study was reported important variables in prediction, based on gain values (Kassem-Fathy, 2011). He used 19 covariates as input variables and reported primary tumor size, historic stage A and largest tumor size have highest gain values.

In the present study, the ANN model was used to determine the important risk factors of CRC patients and also the results of this method was compared to Cox regression model. Our findings indicate that the ANN strategy was an appropriate technique for prediction and determining of risk factors and this method was efficient than Cox regression. Therefore, the ANN model is suggested to determine the important risk factors of survival probability of CRC patients.
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