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Abstract—We propose a novel low-complexity artificial neural network (ANN)-based nonlinear equalizer (NLE) for coherent optical orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (CO-OFDM) and compare it with the recent inverse Volterra-series transfer function (IVSTF)-based NLE over up to 1000 km of uncompensated links. Demonstration of ANN-NLE at 80-Gb/s CO-OFDM using 16-quadrature amplitude modulation reveals a Q-factor improvement after 1000-km transmission of 3 and 1 dB with respect to the linear equalization and IVSTF-NLE, respectively.
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I. INTRODUCTION

COHERENT optical orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (CO-OFDM) is a high spectral efficient modulation format able to virtually eliminate inter-symbol interference (ISI) caused by the fiber chromatic dispersion (CD) and polarization mode dispersion (PMD) [1]. One major drawback of CO-OFDM systems that hitherto remains unsolved is their vulnerability to fiber nonlinear effects due to the high peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR) of OFDM signals. Several digital signal processing (DSP) techniques have been investigated for nonlinearity compensation. The three most recent and prominent are the digital back propagation (DBP) [2], nonlinearity pre- and post-compensation [3], and the inverse Volterra-series transfer function (IVSTF) equalization [4], [5]. The main disadvantage of DBP is the extensive use of fast Fourier transform (FFT), which results in significant DSP computational complexity. The second method requires a combination of pre- and post-compensation algorithms, whose implementation is complex and presents marginal performance enhancement.
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II. ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK NONLINEAR EQUALIZER

The schematic diagram of the proposed ANN-based NLE for the 16-QAM CO-OFDM receiver is depicted in Fig. 1(a), where \( s(k) \) is the training vector, \( i.e. \) the pre-known subcarrier set transmitted during the training stage. Since the CO-OFDM signal consists of \( k \) subcarriers, ANN-NLE is comprised of \( k \) sub-neural networks, with each sub-network compared to linear equalization (LE), e.g. \(<0.5 \text{ dB} \) in the Q-factor [3]. IVSTF equalization has been considered as an effective method for combating fiber nonlinearity with reported \( 1 \text{ dB} \) improvement in the Q-factor for 256-Gb/s polarization-division-multiplexed 16-quadrature amplitude modulation (16-QAM) [4], [5]. It is worth noting that IVSTF-NLE can be implemented fully in time domain, in frequency domain, or in combined time-frequency domain. We adopted the last approach for simplicity, since convolutions with the fiber linear impulse response are performed in the frequency domain and squaring operations are carried out in the time domain [4], [5].

For a time and frequency-varying channel, e.g. single-mode fiber (SMF), equalization based on linear filters is a non-optimisation strategy because of the linear decision boundaries of the filters [6]. An alternative approach would be based on equalizers with nonlinear decision boundaries, such as artificial neural networks (ANNs) based on a multilayer perceptron (MLP). These equalizers perform a complex mapping between input and output spaces, having complex decision regions with nonlinear decision boundaries [7]. ANN has been adopted as an attractive solution for combating nonlinear impairments in wireless communications [7], [8]. However, the application of ANN in optical OFDM for linear and nonlinear impairments compensation has never been reported.

In this letter, a novel versatile ANN-based NLE is presented for a single-polarization 16-QAM CO-OFDM system, which is a first step toward the implementation of an ANN-based NLE for dual-polarization CO-OFDM. The fiber nonlinearity compensation capability is evaluated for up to 80-Gb/s signals over 1000 km of uncompensated link, and a comparison with the benchmark IVSTF-based NLE [4], [5] is provided.

Results with ANN-NLE reveal an enhancement with respect to LE of the Q-factor by \( \sim3 \text{ dB} \) at 1000 km of standard SMF (SSMF) transmission. It is also shown that, ANN-based NLE outperforms in Q-factor (up to 2 dB) when compared to the benchmark IVSTF equalization for signals at bit-rates of \( >40-\text{Gb/s} \) at 1000 km of transmission.
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being associated to each subcarrier. The received symbols for every subcarrier $x(k)$ are fed to NLE neurons where they are multiplied with the weight value for a given OFDM subcarrier and neuron $w(k, i)$. Afterwards, the outputs of the different neurons are summed to generate $\hat{s}(k)$, an estimation of the undistorted signal. In the training stage, the well-known minimum mean-square error (MMSE) algorithm, which is standard in the new feed-forward networks, is used to determine the error signal and update the weights. The weights are iteratively updated until the desired error value is reached, thus indicating the optimum match between the sub-network output and the transmitted (undistorted) OFDM subcarrier symbol. The error signal is given as:

$$e(k) = s(k) - \hat{s}(k)$$

(1)

where $\hat{s}(k)$ is calculated in terms of a nonlinear activation function $\varphi(k, i)$, performing the NLE and $w(k, i)$, which is given by:

$$\hat{s}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{16} w(k, i) \varphi(k, i) x(k).$$

(2)

The nonlinear activation function is application dependent and it is mostly required to be a differentiable function. For the proposed ANN-NLE a sigmoid function is used, which can satisfy a conflicting relationship between the boundedness and the differentiability of a complex function. This is called the “split” complex activation function, where two conventional real-valued activation functions process the in-phase and quadrature components.

It is important to mention that the number of neurons in every neural sub-net is equal to the number of the signal modulation format level, which in the case of 16-QAM is 16. In this letter, the ANN-NLE is based on the feed-forward network that uses the Riedmiller’s resilient back-propagation (RR-BP) algorithm [9]. The training function updates the weights and the bias values according to the RR-BP algorithm, which is computationally more efficient than other training algorithms, and it performs an approximation to the global minimization achieved by the steepest descent [10]. Hence, as mentioned, RR-BP minimizes the difference between the ANN output and the desired output, i.e. the target output. This is achieved in real-time splitting the complex OFDM data into two real-valued data collections; the real $\hat{s}_r(k)$ and imaginary $\hat{s}_i(k)$ parts are fed separately into two ANN sub-networks and the outputs are recombined and given by:

$$\hat{s}_{Final} = \hat{s}_r(k) + j \cdot \hat{s}_i(k)$$

(3)

For our numerical investigations, the employed transfer functions for the hidden layer are differentiable and similar to the hyperbolic tangent function, as suggested in [11]. For the output layer, the linear function “purelin” is used. The block identified as MMSE in Fig. 1(a), represents the subsystem that implements the RR-BP algorithm used to find the weights that minimize the error vector (the vector whose $k^{th}$ component is $e(k)$):

$$E(n) = || S(n) - \hat{S}(n) ||^2$$

(4)

where $S(n)$ and $\hat{S}(n)$ are the desired and calculated output vectors, respectively. The weights are updated according to the following 5 steps by applying gradient descent on the cost function $E(n)$ in order to reach a minimum:

- **Step 1:** Initialize the weights and thresholds to small random numbers.
- **Step 2:** Present the input vector, $X(n)$, and the desired output vector $S(n)$.
- **Step 3:** Calculate $\hat{S}(n)$ from the $X(n)$ and compute the error vector $E(n)$ using (4).
- **Step 4:** Adapt weights based on:

$$w(k, i)_{n+1} = w(k, i)_n - \hat{n} \frac{\partial E(n)}{\partial w(k, i)_n}$$

(5)

where $w(k, i)_n$ is the weight of the $i^{th}$ neuron of the $k^{th}$ sub-neural network ($i^{th}$ symbol of the $k^{th}$ subcarrier) at the $n^{th}$ iteration, and $\hat{n}$ is the learning rate parameter. It is worth mentioning that when $\hat{n}$ is very small, the...
algorithm will take long time to converge; whereas, when 
\( n \) is too large the system may run into an unstable state.

- **Step 5:** If \( E(n) \) is above the threshold, go to step 2.

The schematic block diagram of benchmark IVSTF equalizer is depicted in Fig. 1(b), which is similar to that reported in [4] and [5] to account for single-polarization CO-OFDM. Compared to ANN, the IVSTF-NLE is placed just after the ADCs to reduce DSP complexity by means of reducing the number of FFT/IFFT blocks. The IVSTF-NLE inherits some of the features of the hybrid time- and frequency domain implementation, such as non-frequency aliasing and simple implementation. From Fig. 1(b), it can be clearly identified that CD, \( i.e., (H_{CD})^2 \), and the fiber nonlinearity are combated by the linear and nonlinear compensator tool, respectively.

It should be mentioned that for purposes of reduced complexity and processing time, very high order Volterra kernels have not been considered in this letter, thus offering \( \sim 50\% \) reduced computational complexity compared to the single-step/span DBP [5]. The number of operations in IVSTF-NLE is proportional to \( N_{sc} \cdot K \cdot \log_2(N_{sc} \cdot K) \) with \( N_{sc} \) representing the number of subcarriers and \( K \) the oversampling factor (4 in our case). On the other hand, the number of operations required by the ANN-NLE is proportional to \( N_{sc} \cdot M \), where \( N_{sc} \) and \( M \) are the number of subcarriers and the number of levels in each dimension of the constellation, respectively. Therefore, since clearly \( M < K \cdot \log_2(N_{sc} \cdot K) \), ANN-NLE is more efficient from the computational complexity point of view.

### III. CO-OFDM System Model

The proposed ANN and benchmark IVSTF equalization schemes were validated by carrying out numerical simulations in a Matlab/VPI-transmission-Maker co-simulation environment (electrical domain in Matlab and optical domain in VPI-version 9). For the IVSTF-NLE, we have calculated up to 3rd order Volterra kernels [4], [5]. A CO-OFDM system with homodyne reception was considered using 16-QAM subcarrier modulation and payload bit-rates (BRs) ranging from 40-Gb/s up to 80-Gb/s, before adding the different transmission overheads, \( i.e., \) the cyclic prefix (CP) and training symbols for channel estimation. A 64-point IFFT/FFT pair was used to reduce the complexity of the ANN-NLE, and 1000 OFDM symbols were simulated. A transmission of up to 1000 km (10 homogeneous spans \( \times 100 \text{ km} \) was considered. A large CP overhead of 25\% was added to virtually eliminate the ISI caused by CD and PMD, which also relaxes the synchronization requirements of the CO-OFDM demodulator. The receiver in-phase and quadrature signals were filtered by 2nd order low-pass filters (LPFs) with a 3-dB bandwidth of BR/6.15 Hz. The simulated Erbium-doped fiber amplifiers (EDFAs) had a noise figure of 6 dB and a gain of 20 dB. Ideal coherent receiver was assumed to focus on the impacts of the fiber-induced nonlinear effects. The digital-to-analog/analog-to-digital converter (DAC/ADC) sampling rate was set to BR/3.2 S/s. The DAC/ADC clipping ratio and quantization have been taken into account and set to 13 dB and 10-bits, respectively, even if they do not have a significant impact on the performance of OFDM for a number of subcarriers \( \geq 32 \) [12], [13]. The launched optical power for LE was at the optimum value, \( \sim 6 \text{ dBm} \). Balanced positive-intrinsic-negative (PIN) photo-detectors with responsivity of 0.9 A/W were used. For the SSMF the following parameters were considered: CD of 17 ps/nm/km, 0.2 dB/km of fiber loss, CD slope of 0.06 ps/km/nm\(^2\), PMD coefficient of 0.1 ps/km\(^0\), an intrinsic-negative (PIN) photo-detectors with responsivity of 0.9 A/W were used. For the SSMF the following parameters were considered: CD of 17 ps/nm/km, 0.2 dB/km of fiber loss, CD slope of 0.06 ps/km/nm\(^2\), PMD coefficient of 0.1 ps/km\(^0\), a nonlinear Kerr coefficient of 2.6 \( \times 10^{-20} \text{ m}^2/\text{W} \) and an effective core area of 80 \( \mu\text{m}^2 \). The transceiver parameters for the CO-OFDM transmission model are summarized in Table I.

### IV. Nonlinearity Compensation in CO-OFDM

Nonlinearity compensation capability was assessed based on Q-factor, which was estimated from the bit-error-rate (BER) obtained by error counting after hard-decision decoding. The Q-factor is related to the BER value by: \( Q = 20 \log_{10} \sqrt{2} \text{erfc}^{-1}(2BER) \). For a Gray-coded 16-QAM modulation, a \( 10^{-3} \) (the commonly adopted threshold for forward error correction [FEC] codes) results in a required Q-factor of 9.8 dB. Fig. 2 shows the Q-factor versus the transmission distance for 40-Gb/s 16-QAM CO-OFDM using ANN-NLE, IVSTF-NLE, or LE (the launched optical power was set to \( \sim 6 \text{ dBm} \), which was the optimum level for LE). It is shown that ANN-NLE can improve the Q-factor of LE by \( \sim 1 \text{ dB} \) and \( \sim 0.7 \text{ dB} \) at 600 km and 1000 km transmission-lengths, respectively. Compared to IVSTF-NLE, ANN-NLE offers a similar Q-factor improvement over the entire range. Both NLE approaches increase the reach by 800 km compared to

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description &amp; Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payload bit-rate</td>
<td>( 40-80 \text{ Gb/s} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcarrier modulation format</td>
<td>16-QAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating wavelength</td>
<td>1550 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of OFDM subcarriers</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic prefix (CP) overhead</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward-error-correction overhead</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANN training vector overhead</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFDM frame period</td>
<td>6.4-3.2 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo-detector type</td>
<td>PIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAC/ADC sampling rate</td>
<td>12.5-25 GS/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAC/ADC quantization bits</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAC/ADC clipping ratio</td>
<td>13 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPF roll-off function</td>
<td>Bessel-Thomson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFF 3 dB bandwidth (order)</td>
<td>6.5-13 GHz (2\text{nd} order)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E DFA gain (noise figure)</td>
<td>20 dB (6 dB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMF span number (length)</td>
<td>10 (100 km)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 2. Q-factor vs. transmission distance for 40-Gb/s CO-OFDM at optimum launched optical power of \( \sim 6 \text{ dBm} \) for IVSTF/ANN-NLEs and without (w/o) using NLE.](image-url)
the performance of ANN-NLE and IVSTF-NLE are similar, ANN-NLE outperforms IVSTF-NLE as bit-rate increases, reaching at a Q-factor improvement of ~1dB at 80-Gb/s. In comparison to LE, the Q-factor improvement when using ANN-NLE also increases as the bit-rate does, up to ~3dB for 80-Gb/s; which is an expected result since at higher bit-rates, the nonlinear effects are more significant and, hence, the effect of NLEs is more evident.

V. Conclusion
A novel low-complexity ANN-based NLE has been proposed for CO-OFDM systems. ANN-NLE proved to be a robust nonlinearity DSP technique for up to 80-Gb/s 16-QAM CO-OFDM systems. For 80-Gb/s transmission over 1000-km uncompensated link, ANN-NLE outperforms in terms of Q-factor, LE and IVSTF-NLE by 3 dB and 1 dB, respectively. This letter should trigger the implementation of nonlinear ANN-based equalizers in next generation core networks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT
The authors would also like to acknowledge the suggestive comments and help of Prof. Andrew D. Ellis from Aston Institute of Photonic Technologies and Miss Eleni Savva for editing from Aston University, School of Languages and Social Sciences, Aston Triangle, Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK.

REFERENCES