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Abstract — In video streaming service, the user’s Quality of Experience (QoE) is not only related to video signal quality received at consumer’s devices, the users’ subjectivity must also be considered. In this context, a video quality assessment method that takes into account the user’s preference for video content is proposed in this research. In order to perform this task, the users’ profiles that include their preferences were stored in a video server. Then, subjective tests of video quality assessment were conducted, in which evaluators had different video content preferences. Results show that the evaluators’ QoE is highly correlated with the user’s preference for video content type. Based on these experimental results, a function named Preference Factor (PF) is defined and used to adjust the quality index values obtained by an objective video quality metric running in the end user’s device. The PF function also depends on video content type and quality index score. Using the PF function, the enhanced Video streaming Quality Metric (e-VsQM) is proposed and the results of its performance evaluation demonstrate that PF improves an objective video quality metric. Furthermore, e-VsQM has low complexity and can be utilized in different video services. Thus, an application scenario is presented, in which the proposed video quality metric is implemented.

Index Terms — Video Streaming, Video Quality Metrics, Subjective Test, QoE, MOS.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, many video service applications over the Internet have gained popularity generating a huge volume of traffic every day, in which one of the most successful video services is video streaming. In order to meet end users’ expectations, researches on video QoE are relevant for users, content providers, and consumer electronics manufacturers.

In video streaming services, the user’s QoE assessment is a complex task because QoE can be influenced by different factors, which can be classified in the following categories, human, system and context [1]. Human factors are concerned with the user’s subjectivity composed of different mechanisms of human information processing, from sensorial to cognitive processes that include personal characteristics, such as users’ preferences [2]-[4]. System influence factor is strongly related to end users’ devices. In multimedia services, design characteristics and processing capacities of electronic devices impact on user’s QoE [5], [6]. Context influence factors are concerned with the space and time in which a service is used. Also, social and economic aspects are considered.

The multimedia consumer electronics involves video adaptation techniques to deliver a good video quality service [7] with an optimized use of network bandwidth, and computational resources of devices to improve user’s QoE [8]. Also, researches in video encoding solutions start to use video content identification to outperform algorithms with popular segmentation techniques, improving both network and device performance [9].

Subjective video quality assessment methods are necessary to quantify user’s QoE of multimedia services. As a result, an index value, mostly known as Mean Opinion Score (MOS), is obtained, which is the mean of the scores granted by at least fifteen evaluators. Furthermore, device manufactures improve their quality services taking into account subjective test results [10]. In turn, objective video quality metrics use a mathematical model or an algorithm to establish a video quality score, which reflects the experimental results of subjective video quality assessment. Thus, an objective video quality metric is more reliable if its scores are highly correlated with the subjective assessment provided by evaluators [11]. Analyzing the state of the art, many proposals of objective video quality metrics are verified; each one with different approaches, but none of them considers the user’s subjectivity characteristics as an input parameter.

In this context, the major contribution of this research is to demonstrate that objective video quality metrics, running on users devices, can be improved by considering the user’s preferences for video content type; therefore, video quality metrics can reflect a more realistic user’s QoE.

This paper is an extended contribution to [12], and its main goal is to propose a new objective video quality metric, named e-VsQM, in the video streaming service that considers the user preference for video content in addition to the video signal quality. In order to define the e-VsQM metric, subjective tests were conducted, in which assessors with different preferences for video content type participated. As a result, a function that works as a correction factor was obtained for each video content category. Once the proposed metrics has been defined, a network architecture solution is introduced, in which the e-VsQM metric is implemented.
It is worth noting that using the proposed solution, the video service providers will have personalized information regarding the user’s QoE. Hence, this information can be useful to offer additional features to specific users, or also be included in solutions such as (DASH) [13]; for instance, users with no preference for a specific video content are not interested in obtaining a higher video resolution, avoiding unnecessary resources of both network and user’s device.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section II presents a review of Video Quality Assessment Methods and QoE definition and its influence factors. Section III introduces the proposed Video Quality Model used to determine the e-VsQM. Section IV shows the Test Implementation and the proposed Network Architecture. Section V presents the results that include the e-VsQM performance evaluation. Finally, section VI presents the conclusions.

II. VIDEO QUALITY ASSESSMENT METHODS AND QUALITY OF EXPERIENCE

In this section, firstly, the most popular current test methodologies for video quality assessment are reviewed and classified. Secondly, some considerations to determine the QoE of multimedia services are treated.

A. Current Methodologies for assessing Video Quality

Currently, there are many image and video quality assessment methods, each meeting different purposes. These methods can be classified in different ways depending on the criteria set adopted, as verified in Fig. 1.

As can be observed in Fig. 1, in general, video quality assessment methods can be performed by using subjective or objective test methods.

There are several subjective tests methods for video quality assessment, most of them described in the ITU recommendations ITU-R BT-500 [14] and ITU-T P.910 [15]. The latter method is focused on multimedia services. These tests are generally conducted under laboratory conditions, in which the supervisor explains the test instructions to the assessors. Later, assessors watch a test video; they grant an adjective score using different scales. One of the most accepted scales is the 5-point MOS scale described in the Absolute Category Rating (ACR) method standardized in ITU-T Recommendation P.910 shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading Value</th>
<th>Estimated Quality</th>
<th>Perceived Impairment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Imperceptible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Perceptible but</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Not annoying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Annoying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>Very annoying</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nevertheless, in recent years, some researches [16]-[19] have demonstrated the possibility to perform quality assessment of multimedia services through remote assessors using the Internet. The main purpose of this new methodology is to considerably reduce the time to perform subjective tests, because, this is one of the main difficulties in conducting subjective tests in laboratory environments.

In order to perform remote tests, both commercial platforms [16] and social networks [20] can be used. In the first one, assessors receive a monetary compensation for each evaluation. In the second one, assessors perform the same task without any payment.

Objective methods for video quality assessment can be classified by using several considerations. They are classified depending on the type of input data into the following five categories [21]: (1) Parametric packet-layer models try to predict the MOS index only from the packet-header information and do not consider the media signals. (2) Bitstream-layer models use encode bitstream information and also packet-layer information. (3) Media-layer models use the video signal to estimate the MOS index. (4) Parametric planning models require a priori knowledge about the system being tested. (5) Hybrid models combine two or more of the preceding models.

Depending on the type of application service, objective methods are divided into two categories [11]: (1) In-service methods have time constraints because they are used in real time applications [22], [23]. (2) Out-of-service methods do not have time restrictions, and are used in different tasks, such as, video codec performance evaluation and video streaming services [24], [25].

Considering video transmission services, different transport layer protocols can be used, the most common being Transport Control Protocol (TCP) and User Datagram Protocol (UDP). Thus, different types of video impairment are obtained. TCP protocol is a reliable protocol because it guarantees the delivery of all packets to end user. But, if there are packet losses in the transmission channel, the TCP transfer rate decreases, and if this new rate is smaller than the playback.
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Subjectivity needs to be considered in the objective metric model, such as, preference for video content.

B. Quality of Experience and its Influence Factors

In communication services, the notion of quality has been associated to the concept of Quality of Service (QoS) for many years. However, QoS is related to technical aspects and does not treat the user’s subjectivity. The concept of QoE appeared to fill this lack and its definition most frequently used, given by ITU-T is: “the overall acceptability of an application or service, as perceived subjectively by the end user” [40].

Recently, the concept of QoE is extended to: “the degree of delight or annoyance of a person whose experience involves an application, service, or system. It results from the person’s evaluation of the fulfillment of his or her expectations and needs with respect to the utility and/or enjoyment in the light of the person’s context, personality and current state” [41]. Considering this definition, one can infer that there are different factors influencing the QoE. In [1], these influence factors are classified into three categories, system, context and human.

System influence factors refer to properties and characteristics that determine the technically produced quality of an application or service, and they are sub-classified into media-related, network-related and device-related system influence factors [42], [43]. Hence, in video streaming service, the video signal quality assessed at the end user’s device influences QoE, in which the signal quality is related to media and network factors.

Context influence factors are related to, physical, temporal, social and economic contexts. Physical and temporal contexts describe the characteristics of location and space, including acoustic and lighting conditions, and also time of day, week, month or season. The social context is defined by the interpersonal relations existing during the experience. Finally, the economic context considers costs, subscription type, or brand of the system or application [1].

A human influence factor is any characteristic of a human user. The characteristic can describe the demographic and socio-economic background, the physical and mental constitution, or the user’s emotional state [1], [44]. Preferences and attitudes are considered as factors that may influence QoE at higher level of human cognitive process. Thus, human influence factors are related with the user’s subjectivity, for instance, in video streaming service, the user’s preference for a video content type is a factor that influences QoE.

In short, user’s QoE can be influenced by a wide range of factors, which are complex and interrelated. Hence, to determine the user’s QoE in video streaming service, the video signal quality perception at end user’s devices needs to be complemented with other criteria set related with sensorial processing, human cognitive process and psychological approaches. Consequently, QoE needs to be a multi-disciplinary study, and incorporate disciplines such as: cognitive science, psychology, sociology, economy, and information technology.

In order to better analyze subjective test results, the assessors’ profiles need to be considered. Some information such as, age, gender, education level, personal knowledge, attitudes, expectations, emotions and preferences need to be known [2]. In this research, the user’s preference for video content is taken into account to improve an objective video quality metric.

III. THE PROPOSED VIDEO QUALITY METRIC

In order understand the impact of users’ preferences on visual QoE, preliminary video quality subjective tests were performed, in which the users’ profiles were considered, specifically their explicit preference for video content type. The experimental results of these subjective tests are presented in Fig. 2 [12]. These results clearly showed that evaluators preferring a specific video content granted different score compared with evaluators without preference for the same content type. Then, the conclusion was that an objective video quality metric has to consider the user’s preference for video content type and an extensive number of subjective tests were conducted.
As stated before, current objective video quality metrics do not consider the user’s preference, because their algorithms are only based on video signal, network or application parameters.

Hence, the concept of Preference Factor (PF) is introduced herein. PF is related to the human factor and it is a function that works as a correction factor, because it adjusts the MOS index scores obtained by an objective metric, in order to improve the correlation with the real user’s QoE.

Experimental results of subjective tests showed that PF values depend on:
- User’s preference, which could be, preference (p) or no preference (np);
- Video content type; this work considered three content types, sport, documentary and news;
- Score level of MOS index values.

In this work, twenty different impairment videos for each content type were evaluated, and are explained in the next section. An MOS index for each video assessed resulted from the subjective tests, and these MOS scores will be used to find the ratios between the scores given by the users with and without preference in relation to the global MOS index.

Variables $R_p$ and $R_{np}$ are defined and represent the ratios between MOS scores granted by users with and without preference, respectively. They are presented in (1) and (2).

$$R_p = \frac{MOS_{i, preference}}{MOS_{i, mean}} \tag{1}$$

$$R_{np} = \frac{MOS_{i, no-preference}}{MOS_{i, mean}} \tag{2}$$

In which $MOS_{i, mean}$ is the mean value considering the total number of users with and without preference in conjunction for the video test number “i”. In this work the maximum value of “i” is twenty. Because the number of assessors with preference and no-preference in each video assessed “i” was the same, the relation between $R_p$ and $R_{np}$ is given by:

$$R_{np} = 2 - R_p \tag{3}$$

For each video content type, there are twenty relations of $(MOS_{i, mean}, R_p)$ or $(MOS_{i, mean}, R_{np})$ obtained from the subjective tests. With this information, a function named $PF_p^{CT}$ that represents the PF function based on the $R_p$ values for each video content type can be modeled empirically by:

$$PF_p^{CT} = \alpha \times \ln(MOS_{p, mean}) + \beta \tag{4}$$

Where the $CT$ index represents the video content type and is limited to Sport, Documentary and News, and the $p$ index represents that the user prefers $CT$. Also, $MOS_{p, mean}$ values are in the range from 0.5 to 4.5. Table II shows the values for each variable that can well fit the function presented in (4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
<th>VARIABLE VALUES FOR THE FUNCTION $PF_p^{CT}$ CONSIDERING DIFFERENT CONTENT TYPES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Video Content Type</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentary</td>
<td>0.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News</td>
<td>0.452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is worth noting that results show that the logarithmical model is really reliable, because the maximum error obtained by using $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values in (4) for Sport, Documentary and News were 0.02, 0.03 and 0.03, respectively at a 5-point MOS scale.

Similarly, to determine a function that represents the $R_{np}$ values called $PF_{np}^{CT}$, equation (3) and (4) are used, as presented in (5).

$$PF_{np}^{CT} = 2 - \alpha \times \ln(MOS_{p, mean}) - \beta \tag{5}$$

Where, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ assume the values presented in Table II.

Once the $PF_p^{CT}$ and $PF_{np}^{CT}$ functions are defined, they can be used in different video streaming services, in which the $MOS_{p, mean}$ variable is replaced in (4) and (5) for the MOS index obtained by an objective metric as shown in Fig. 3. Some outputs of objective metrics are not mapped to the 5-point MOS scale, making a scale conversion necessary.

The video quality metric named e-VsQM is proposed herein, and is an improvement of the objective metric named VsQM, defined in a previous work [28]. The VsQM is used to assess video streaming services that use the TCP as the transport protocol layer. Thus, the VsQM metric uses the information captured by a customized video player, and is
based on the number, duration and temporal location of pauses that occur during a video streaming transmission. The VsQM metric is mapped to the 5-point MOS scale and is defined by

\[ \text{VsQM} = C \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{i} \frac{N_i \cdot L_i \cdot W_i}{T_i}\right) \]  

(6)

Where:

- \( C \) is a constant for scaling purposes;
- \( N_i \) is the number of pauses;
- \( L_i \) is the average length of pauses, in seconds, which happened in the same temporal segment;
- \( W_i \) is a weight factor which represents the degree of degradation that each segment adds to the total video degradation;
- \( T_i \) is time period in seconds of each segment;
- \( k \) is the number of temporal segments of a video.

This work considered four segments for all the tests.

Finally, e-VsQM metric improves the VsQM metric performance by adding the functions defined in (4) or (5), both represented by \( PF_{(P,n,P)}^{CT} \) as shown in (7).

\[ \text{e-VsQM} = \text{VsQM} \cdot PF_{(P,n,P)}^{CT} \]  

(7)

In order to use the e-VsQM metric, the user’s preference for video content needs to be stored in the video server application. Also, each video file needs to be previously classified into one of the content-type categories.

### IV. Test Implementation and the Proposed Network Architecture

In this section, firstly, the video database used as test material in the video quality subjective test is explained. Secondly, a service application scenario is presented, in which the e-VsQM is implemented. And finally, the crowdsourcing method used to conduct remote subjective tests is treated.

#### A. Video Database

It is worth noting that video databases such as LIVE [45] and VQEG [33] only consider video lengths of 10 seconds, and users’ profiles are not presented. Using this video length, the effects of degradation caused by pauses cannot be properly evaluated. In this work, the video length was established considering that the average length of the most viewed videos in current video sharing services is in the range of 3 to 5 minutes; the lengths of the videos used in the laboratory tests was thus 4 minutes. Also, videos with different characteristics had to be considered to establish the relation of video content type with the user’s preferences. The following video content categories were considered: news (reporter talking), documentary (regarding technology) and sports (soccer).

Based on all these considerations, a database of 60 videos considering the following criteria was created: the content type of the video, the video length, the number of pauses, the length and temporal location of each pause. Thus, for each video content type category, 20 impairment videos were considered. For clarification purposes, Fig. 4 shows four impairment videos with different number of pauses and each pause with different lengths and temporal locations.

![Fig. 4. Example of four impairment videos created inserting pauses with different lengths and temporal locations](image)

Also, as stated in ITU-T recommendation P.910, videos can be characterized using the following parameters: Temporal Information (TI) and Spatial Information (SI). The set of impairment videos was created from three original videos, the main characteristics of which are presented in Table III.

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>News</th>
<th>Documentary</th>
<th>Sport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Video Length (s)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video/audio Format</td>
<td>H.264/ACC</td>
<td>H.264/ACC</td>
<td>H.264/ACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>640x360</td>
<td>640x360</td>
<td>640x360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame Rate (fps)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Information (TI)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Information (SI)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B. Service Application Scenario

The test scenario considers a video streaming service over HTTP/TCP. In order to insert some network impairments, a network emulator was used as a transmission channel. Thus, number of pauses and their lengths are controlled reducing the bandwidth of the transmission channel. This procedure was performed during preliminary tests. However, to guarantee that pauses appear at the same time instant and with a fixed duration in all the videos assessed, these were edited. Then, during subjective tests, impairment videos stored at video server were transmitted without any network degradations.

In the client device, the VsQM metric is implemented. For this, a customized player was used, in order to monitor and to capture the following buffer parameters: (a) number of pauses, which is the number of rebuffering events throughout the video; (b) length of each pause, which corresponds to the duration of the rebuffering state; and (c) temporal location of each pause. With these parameters and using (6), the VsQM value is determined. This information is displayed at the device screen in graphic format, and it is also sent to the video server using a notification mechanism.

Fig. 5 presents the proposed network architecture with emphasis on the user’s device implementation. In this work,
the notification mechanism was implemented using a socket interface [46].

![Diagram](image1.png)

**Fig. 5.** Network architecture of video streaming service with emphasis on the video quality metric implemented at the user’s device.

The processing resource required for VsQM implementation is much lower than video decoding processing and does not affect the performance of the current electronic devices. Also, the client application has an interface in which users can register their profiles including their preferences for video content. This information is stored in the video server.

Fig. 6 shows the implementation in the video server platform, in which the users’ profile and the classified video content types were previously stored. The VsQM value is received from the client and used with the video identification (V_id) and user’s preference as inputs of the algorithm that calculates the e-VsQM value. The e-VsQM algorithm has low complexity, and is presented in Table IV.

![Diagram](image2.png)

**Fig. 6.** Network architecture of the video streaming service with emphasis on the solution implemented in the video server.

C. Subjective Tests Using the Crowdsourcing Method

In order to evaluate the e-VsQM performance, additional tests were conducted by remote assessors connected to a commercial platform, using the crowdsourcing method briefly described in section II. To perform this task, a web interface was built and is presented in Fig. 7. This interface contains the video files and the instructions to perform the video quality assessment tests. The assessors’ tasks are basically:

- First, registering in the crowdsourcing platform as a worker;
- Filling in the user’s profile questions, such as age, gender, geographic location, and mainly the user’s preference for video content;
- Downloading and assessing the video file.
- Answering some test validation questions, so as to validate if the assessor watched the totality of the video sequence. If assessors answer incorrectly, their results are not considered and they do not receive a monetary compensation. In this case, another worker will perform the same task.

![Diagram](image3.png)

**Fig. 7.** Interface for video quality assessment using the crowdsourcing method.

**TABLE IV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Video ID: Vi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CT; sport = [Vsi, Vi2, Vi3, ... , Vsi] / i.e.: Vi = Vsi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CT: documentary [Vsi, Vi2, Vi3, ... , Vsi]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CT: news [Vsi, Vi2, Vi3, ... , Vsi]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Up = User preference for video CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>// Example: Up = { CT; CT2 }</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Read (VsQM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>If (Vid ∈ Up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>eVsQM = VsQM * PF CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Else</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>eVsQM = VsQM * PF CT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Algorithm 1: Video Quality Metric Aware of User Preference for Video Content**

**Algorithm 1:**

**VsQM Algorithm:**

\[
VsQM = \sum_{i} N_{i} * L_{i} * W_{i} / T_{i}
\]

- \(N_{i}\): the number of pauses;
- \(L_{i}\): length of pauses;
- \(W_{i}\): weight factor of each temporal segment;
- \(T_{i}\): period of each temporal segment.

**C. Subjective Tests Using the Crowdsourcing Method**

In order to evaluate the e-VsQM performance, additional tests were conducted by remote assessors connected to a commercial platform, using the crowdsourcing method briefly described in section II. To perform this task, a web interface was built and is presented in Fig. 7. This interface contains the video files and the instructions to perform the video quality assessment tests. The assessors’ tasks are basically:

- First, registering in the crowdsourcing platform as a worker;
- Filling in the user’s profile questions, such as age, gender, geographic location, and mainly the user’s preference for video content;
- Downloading and assessing the video file.
- Answering some test validation questions, so as to validate if the assessor watched the totality of the video sequence. If assessors answer incorrectly, their results are not considered and they do not receive a monetary compensation. In this case, another worker will perform the same task.
V. RESULTS

In a first phase, subjective tests were performed in a laboratory environment, and their results served to determine the $PF^CT_p$ and $PF^CT_{ap}$ functions. In a second phase, the performance of the e-VsQM is evaluated using the crowdsourcing method. These phases are explained as follows.

A. Subjective Test in a Laboratory Environment

A 21.5-inch LCD monitor was employed with the following characteristics: 1920x1080 pixels resolution, widescreen ratio of 16:9. The test environment had no reflecting ceiling walls or floors, or any disturbing objects. The tests were conducted in 13 weeks, and during this period the same test room was kept constant. All the tests were performed individually and a time limit was not enforced. An instruction session was performed before the tests, in which the assessors were shown sample videos and the experiment process was explained. In the tests, an observation distance of 50 cm to 60 cm was considered. Assessors used the scale presented in Table I. Each video received at least 15 scores and the total number of assessors was 107. With the test results, a statistical analysis was performed and no assessor was identified as an outlier.

The $PF^CT_p$ and $PF^CT_{ap}$ functions defined by (4) and (5) are depicted in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9, respectively.

![Fig. 8. Relation between MOS index and the Preference Factor function ($PF^CT_p$) considering sport, documentary and news as content types.](image)

![Fig. 9. Relation between MOS index and the Preference Factor function ($PF^CT_{ap}$) considering sport, documentary and news as content types.](image)

Functions $PF^CT_p$ and $PF^CT_{ap}$ are observed to change according to both the MOS index values and the video content type. Analyzing the $PF^CT_p$, the sport video content type has the lowest values, and news presents the highest values, which means that the user’s QoE is more degraded for users preferring sports videos. Conversely, if a user does not prefer sports, the QoE is the least affected, because the $PF^CT_{ap}$ function has the highest values for sports. Additionally, the increasing or decreasing rate of the functions $PF^CT_p$ and $PF^CT_{ap}$ changes according to the MOS values.

B. Performance Evaluation of the e-VsQM

The performance evaluation of the e-VsQM metric was performed using the crowdsourcing method. For this, additional 12 impairment videos were used as test material, in which 4 videos for each content type were considered. In order to have different impairment videos in relation to that used in the laboratory environment, a different number and distributions of pauses were inserted. Also, the video length was 6 minutes.

The crowdsourcing platform rules stipulate that each work requirement, named campaign, should be evaluated by at least 30 users. Thus, for each rated video, 3 campaigns were sent, achieving 90 MOS scores for each video assessed.

The first analysis meant to determine the reliability of the results obtained by remote users regarding the results from the laboratory environment. Six video sequences were used. The results of both remote and laboratory tests are shown in Table V. With these results, the Pearson correlation coefficient was 0.9797, which gives enough confidence to perform additional tests using the same method.

![Table V. Results of video quality tests performed in a laboratory environment and using crowdsourcing method.](image)

Fig. 10 presents the MOS values obtained by the remote users (crowdsourcing), and the objective MOS values estimated by the VsQM and e-VsQM using (6) and (7), respectively. Each assessor only evaluates video content types of his or her preference. Four impairment videos were considered. Video sequences indexed with “1” and “4” represent videos with the lowest and highest number of pauses, respectively.

As depicted in this figure, VsQM presents a sole MOS score for video sequences with the same index, for instance, “Esp.1”, “Doc.1” and “News1”, because VsQM does not consider the user’s preference for video content. Using the functions $PF^CT_p$ and $PF^CT_{ap}$, e-VsQM obtains MOS scores highly correlated with Crowdsourcing results. The Pearson
correlation coefficients obtained are \( \rho_1(\text{e-VsQM, Crowdsourcing}) = 0.99 \) e \( \rho_2(\text{VsQM, Crowdsourcing}) = 0.97 \). The maximum errors obtained at a 5-point MOS scale were 0.2 and 0.8 for e-VsQM and VsQM, respectively. Also, the sports videos were observed to obtain the lowest MOS score values if only the video sequences with the same index are considered.

![Fig. 10. Performance comparison of the objective MOS determined by e-VsQM and VsQM in relation to Crowdsourcing results.](image)

**VI. CONCLUSION**

Experimental results highlight the relevance of considering the assessor’s preference for video content in video quality assessment tests. Thus, assessors with an explicit preference granted the lowest MOS scores. Based on these results, functions \( PF_{CT}^p \) and \( PF_{CT}^{np} \) were defined, which depend on user’s preference, video content category and MOS scores. These functions work as a correction factor and meant to consider the user’s subjectivity in an objective metric. These functions were used to improve the VsQM metric [28]. As a result, a new no-reference objective metric for video streaming service running over TCP named e-VsQM was defined.

The results from the e-VsQM performance evaluation demonstrated that functions \( PF_{CT}^p \) and \( PF_{CT}^{np} \) can be used to improve the accuracy of an objective video quality metric to estimate the user’s QoE, because they are related to the human factors. It is important to note that e-VsQM considers human and system influence factors of QoE discussed in section II. Additionally, a network architecture solution is presented, in which applications to calculate the e-VsQM are installed in both video server and user’s device. Hence, video service providers can have more realistic and personalized information regarding the user’s QoE, which can be useful to new applications. Also, e-VsQM has low complexity and could be utilized in different video services.
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