A Boundedness of a Batch Gradient Method with Smoothing $L_{1/2}$ Regularization for Pi-sigma Neural Networks
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Abstract: This paper considers a batch gradient method with $L_{1/2}$ regularization for Pi –sigma neural networks. In origin, by introducing an $L_{1/2}$ regularization term involves absolute value and is not differentiable into the error function. A key point of this paper, specifically, the smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization is a term proportional to the norm of the weights. The role of the smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization term is to control the magnitude of the weights and to improve the generalization performance of the networks. The weights are proved to be bounded during the training process, thus the conditions that are required for convergence analysis of batch gradient method in literature are simplified.
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1. Introduction

In fact, higher order neural networks (HONN) have been widely applied in many applications such as intention to enhance the nonlinear descriptive capacity of the feed forward multilayer perceptron networks [1 - 6]. Pi-sigma neural network (PSNN) is a class of higher-order feed forward polynomial neural network and is known to provide inherently more powerful mapping abilities than traditional feed forward neural networks. The (PSNN) modules are widely used for pattern classification and approximation problems [7 - 9]. By adding a penalty term of the error function [10 - 16], the penalty has become a common practice to make the network weights keeping bounded during the training process. The boundedness of the weights is an obvious fact when a convergence training method such as the quadratic programming used in support vector machines in [17] is used to minimize the cost function with penalty term. When using online gradient method to minimize the cost of error function with regularization penalty term, the boundedness of weights is not obvious because the decrease of the cost function and convergence of the method during the learning process are usually obtained by first condition the network weights are bounded. Recently, most of the studies have been focused on the $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty term adding to the error function usual is not smooth at the origin, which causes difficulty in the convergence analysis to speed this drawback, we use the modified $L_{1/2}$ regularization term is proposed by the usual one at the origin [18, 19], in [18] the $L_{1/2}$ regularization term is introduced into the batch gradient learning algorithm for the pruning of FNN. Some convergence analyses of the online gradient method (OGM for short) with fixed order inputs (OGM_F) and with special stochastic inputs (OGM SS) for PSNN were respectively presented in [20,21]. Especially, convergence analysis of the online gradient learning algorithm with $L_{1/2}$ regularization term for the pruning of FNN [19]. However, in [19 - 21] obtain both the weak and strong convergence results. The main purpose of this paper, in doing so, by prove that the weights are indeed bounded deterministically in the batch gradient learning algorithm process by adding a smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization, a term proportional to the norm of the weights. That the weights of the network will keep bounded in the training process.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The network model and the batch gradient method with smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization are described in the section. The convergence of this algorithm is discussed and a convergence theorem is established in section 3. and conclusion in section 4.
PSNN and Randomized Batch gradient with smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization

Structure of PSNN:

Consider a three-layer network consisting of $P$ input node, $N$ hidden nodes, and $1$-output nodes. Suppose that $\omega_k = (\omega_{k1}, ..., \omega_{kp})^T \in \mathbb{R}^P$ be the weight vector between the input units and the hidden unit $(k=1,2, ..., N)$. $\xi_k = (\xi_{k1}, \xi_{k2}, ..., \xi_{kp}) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, stands for input vector. To simplify the presentation, we write all the weight parameters in a compact form $= (\omega_{s1}, ..., \omega_{sp}) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^x$.

The weights on the connections between the product node and the summation node are fixed to one. We have included a special input unit $\xi_s = -1$, corresponding to the biases $\omega_{sp}$. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a transfer function for the hidden and output node, which is typically, but not necessarily, a sigmoid function. For any given input $\xi$ and weight $\omega$, the output of the network is

$$y = g\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i} \xi_{i}\right) \quad (1)$$

Randomized Batch gradient method for PSNN

In general, the batch gradient method is a simple and efficient learning method for feed-forward neural networks. Usually PSNN and the networks with pi-sigma building blocks are also trained by it but with randomized modification.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i} - g\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i} \xi_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i} \xi_{i}\right) \quad (2)$$

Where $g_i(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{i} - g(t))^2 \quad (1 \leq j \leq f, t \in \mathbb{R})$.

Batch gradient with $L_{1/2}$ regularization (BG $L_k$)

We denote the error function with $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty term is

$$E(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{f} (\omega_j - g_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\omega_{i}|^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

The gradient of error function with $L_{1/2}$ regularization respect to the weight vector $\omega_k (k = 1,2, ..., N)$ is

$$E_{\omega_k}(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{f} g_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i} \xi_{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i} \xi_{i} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\omega_{i}|^{1/2} \quad (4)$$

Starting from an arbitrary initial weight $W^0$, the batch gradient method with $L_{1/2}$ regularization update the weights iteratively by:

$$\omega_{k}^{n+1} = \omega_{k}^{n+1} - \eta \Delta \omega_{k}^{n+1}, m = 0,1,2, ... \quad (5)$$

and

$$\Delta \omega_{k}^{n+1} = g_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i}^{n+1} \xi_{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i}^{n+1} \xi_{i} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\omega_{i}^{n+1}|^{1/2} \quad (6)$$

Where $k = 1,2, ..., N$ ; and $\eta_m > 0$ represents the learning rate.

Smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization (BGSL $L_k$)

A modified $L_{1/2}$ regularization term is proposed by smoothing the usual one at the origin, resulting in the following error function with a smoothing $L_{1/2}$ regularization penalty term:

$$E(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{f} g_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i} \xi_{i}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\omega_{i}|^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

Where $f(x)$ is a smooth function that approximates $|x|$, for definiteness and simplicity, we choose $f(x)$ as a piecewise polynomial function:

$$f(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{8a^3}x^3 + \frac{3}{4a^2}x^2 + \frac{3}{8} & , x \geq a \\ -\frac{1}{8a^3}x^3 & , x < a \end{array} \right. \quad (8)$$

Where $a$ is a small positive constant. Then it is easy to get $f(x) \in [\frac{3}{8}, a, +\infty)$, $f''(x) \in (-1, 1)$ and $f''(x) \in (0, \frac{3}{2a})$.

The gradient of the error function can be written as (4) with

$$E_{\omega_j}(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{f} g_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i} \xi_{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i} \xi_{i} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\omega_{i}|^{1/2} \quad (10)$$

Where $\lambda > 0$ is a penalty parameter and $k = 1,2,3, ..., N$.

Starting from an arbitrary initial weight $W^0$, the batch gradient method with $L_{1/2}$ regularization update the weights iteratively by

$$\omega_{k}^{n+1} = \omega_{k}^{n+1} - \eta \Delta \omega_{k}^{n+1}, m = 0,1,2, ... \quad (11)$$

and

$$\Delta \omega_{k}^{n+1} = g_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i}^{n+1} \xi_{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \omega_{i}^{n+1} \xi_{i} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\omega_{i}^{n+1}|^{1/2} \quad (12)$$

Where $k = 1,2,3, ..., N$ ; and $\eta_m > 0$ represents the learning rate.

2. Main Results

Suppose that $K$ is any positive integer and consider the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^K$. For $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_K)^T$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_K)^T$, we define $x, y = \sum_{j=1}^{K} x_{i} y_{i}$ and $\|x\|^2 = (x \cdot x)^2$, the following assumptions in this paper are described below:

Assumption (A1)

$$|g_j(t)|, |g_j(t)|, |g_j(t)| (j = 1,2, ..., f) \text{ are uniformly bounded for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Assumption (A2)

$$0 \leq \eta_m < 1, 1 + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \eta_m < \infty$$

Assumption (A3)

$$\eta \text{ and } \lambda \text{ are chosen to satisfy } 0 \leq \eta < \frac{1}{\lambda |\xi_{i}|}, \text{ where}$$

$$C_1 = \frac{M}{1 + C_1 \eta_0^2 + C_1 \eta_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} |f(C_1 \eta_0^2 + C_1 \eta_1^2) + \frac{1}{2} f(C_1 \eta_0^2 + C_1 \eta_1^2) + \frac{1}{2} C_1 \eta_0^2 + C_1 \eta_1^2 \| N - 1 \| \xi_{i}^2}$$

$$C_2 = \max \{C_1 + C_1 \xi i, \eta_0, \xi_2, j \}$$

Assumption (A4)

The set $\Delta \omega \in \{ w \in \Omega; E_{w}(\omega) = 0 \}$ Contains finite points, where $\Omega$ is closed bounded region such that $(\omega_m) = \Omega$. 
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Theorem 3.1 (boundedness Theorem).

Suppose that the weight sequence \( \{o^m\} \) is generated by the algorithm (11) for any initial value \( o^0 \), that (A1) is valid, and then \( \{o^m\} \) is uniformly bounded.

Theorem 3.2 (convergence Theorem).

Suppose that the error function is given by (7), that the weight sequence \( \{o^m\} \) is generated by the algorithm (11) for any initial value \( o^0 \), and Assumption (A1) is valid.

Then we have

(a) \( E(o^{(m+1)}) \leq E(o^m) \),
(b) There is \( E^* \geq 0 \) such that \( \lim_{m \to \infty} E(o^m) = E^* \),
(c) \( \lim \| f\omega_{\omega, o}^m \| = 0 \), \( \lim \| f\omega_{\omega, o}^m \| = 0 \).

Moreover, if Assumption (A4) is valid, then we have the strong convergence:

(d) There exists \( o^* \in \Omega_0 \) such that \( \lim_{m \to \infty} o^m = o^* \).

Proofs

The next two lemmas will be used to prove our convergence result. Their proofs are omitted since they are quite similar to those of Lemma 3.5 in [22] and Theorem 3.5.10 in [23], respectively.

Lemma 4.1

Suppose that the learning rate \( \eta_m \) satisfies (A2) and that the sequence \( \{a_m\} (m \in \mathbb{N}) \) satisfies \( a_m \geq 0 \)

\[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \eta_m a_m < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad |a_{m+1} - a_m| \leq \mu a_m \]

for some constants \( \beta \) and \( \mu \). Then we have \( \lim_{m \to \infty} a_m = 0 \).

Lemma 4.2

Let \( F: \Phi \subseteq R^p \to R \) be continuous for a bounded closed region \( \Phi \). If the set \( \Phi_0 = \{ x \in \Phi : F(x) = 0 \} \) has finite points and the sequence \( \{x_m\} \in \Phi \)

satisfy: \( \lim_{m \to \infty} F(x_m) = 0 \) and \( \lim_{m \to \infty} |x_m - x_n| = 0 \).

Then, there exists \( x^* \in \Phi_0 \) such that \( \lim_{m \to \infty} x_m = x^* \).

Proof

By Assumption (A2), (18) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

\[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (o_{i}^{m+1} - o_{i}^{m})^2 \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (o_{i}^{m+1} - o_{i}^{m})^2 \right) \]

Similarly, easy to get

\[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (o_{i}^{m+1} - o_{i}^{m})^2 \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (o_{i}^{m+1} - o_{i}^{m})^2 \right) \]

By Assumption (A3), (12), (16), (26), (27) and differential mean value theorem, for \( 1 \leq j \leq 1, 1 \leq k \leq N, m = 0,1,2, \ldots \), we have

\[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (o_{i}^{m+1} - o_{i}^{m})^2 \right) \]
By Assumption (A1), there is a constant $C_0$ for (29) also founded. By (28) and (21), we have

$$\leq C_0 \eta_{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|\Delta^m \omega_{m} \|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \|f_i \|^2$$

(27)

Where $t_{j,m} \in \mathbb{R}$ is on the line segment between $\omega_{m}^j, \xi^j$ and $\omega_{m}^{j+1}, \xi^j$ and $C_0 = C_0 C_2^j + C_0 C^N + 1 + AM)/J$.

By mathematical induction to prove the following formula

$$\| r_j^m \| \leq C_0 \eta_{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|\Delta^m \omega_{m} \|^2 \quad 2 \leq j \leq J, 1 \leq k \leq N, m = 0,1,2,...$$

(28)

Where $C_{2,j}$ constant.

By (16) and (28), for $j = 2$ the (29) is clearly established. Suppose that $j < J (2 < j \leq J)$ (27) establish, Then: proof for (29) also founded. By (28) and (21), we have

$$\| r_j^m \| \leq C_0 \eta_{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|\Delta^m \omega_{m} \|^2 + C_{2,j-1} \eta_{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \|\Delta^m \omega_{m} \|^2$$

$$\leq C_0 \eta_{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \|\Delta^m \omega_{m} \|^2 \quad 1 \leq k \leq N, m = 0,1,2,...$$

(29)

Where $C_{2,j} = \max \{ C_0 + C_{2,j-1}, N(j - 1) \eta_0 \}, C_{2,j-1} \}$. Therefore $J = J, \ (29)$ established. By the mathematical induction for $2 \leq j \leq J$, then (29) it is also establish. Suppose $C_{2,j} = C_{2,j}$ in (23) easily to get (29). Next, by (16) and (23), we have

$$\| r_j^m \| = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \| r_j^m \| \leq C_0 \eta_{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \|\Delta^m \omega_{m} \|^2$$

(30)

Where $C_4 C_0 \eta_0$, the proof it is completed.

**Proof of Theorem 1.**

See [19], and By the Assumption (A2), i.e. $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \eta_m < \infty$, we can easily get that the sequence $S_m = \eta_0 + \eta_1 + \ldots + \eta_{m-1}$ is convergence sequence. By the Cauchy’s test for convergence, for $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, for $\forall m > N_1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\left| S_m - S_n \right| = \eta_m + \eta_{m+1} + \ldots + \eta_{n-1} < \epsilon$$

(31)

By (11), (12) and Assumption (A2) result in

$$\| \omega_{m}^{j+1} - \omega_{m}^j \| = \eta_{m} \| \Delta^m \omega_{m} \|^2$$

(32)

Where $C_4 \leq 1 + C_0 \eta_0$, the proof it is completed.

**Proof (of Theorem 2).**

Using Taylor expansion to first and second orders, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} (\omega_{m+1,i}^j \xi^j) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\omega_{m,i}^j \xi^j) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\omega_{m+1,i}^j \xi^j) \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\omega_{m+1,i}^j \xi^j)$$

(33)

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\omega_{m+1,i}^j \xi^j) \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\omega_{m+1,i}^j \xi^j)$$

(34)

Where $t_{i,m} \in \mathbb{R}$ is on the line segment between $\omega_{m}^j, \xi^j$ and $\omega_{m+1,j}, \xi^j$. Again applying the Taylor expansion and noting (11) and (38), we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} (\omega_{m+1,i}^j, \xi^j) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\omega_{m,i}^j, \xi^j)$$

(35)

Finally, (24) established on the basis of proof (25). By Lemma 4.3 for $2 \leq j \leq J, 1 \leq k \leq N, m = 0,1,2,...$

We have

$$\| \omega_{m}^j + \omega_{m+1}^j \| = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| \Delta^m \omega_{m} \|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| f_i \|^2$$

(36)

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| \Delta^m \omega_{m} \|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| f_i \|^2$$

(37)

This proof is completed.
Where \( t_{i,m} \in \mathbb{R} \) is on the line segment between \( \omega_{m}^{i} \cdot \xi^j \) and \( \omega_{m+1}^{i} \cdot \xi^j \), by combination (7), (11), and (12) and (39), we have

\[
E(\omega^{(m+1)}) - E(\omega^{m}) \leq - \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \frac{f'(\omega_{m}^{i} + \xi^j)}{f'(\omega_{m}^{i})} + \frac{f'(\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j)}{f'(\omega_{m+1}^{i})} \right) (\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j) - \omega_{m}^{i} \right|
\]

+ \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 \quad (40)

Where

\[
\delta_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \frac{f'(\omega_{m}^{i} + \xi^j)}{f'(\omega_{m}^{i})} + \frac{f'(\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j)}{f'(\omega_{m+1}^{i})} \right) (\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j) - \omega_{m}^{i} \right|
\]

\[
\delta_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \frac{f'(\omega_{m}^{i} + \xi^j)}{f'(\omega_{m}^{i})} \right) (\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j) - \omega_{m}^{i} \right|
\]

\[
\delta_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \frac{f'(\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j)}{f'(\omega_{m+1}^{i})} \right) (\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j) - \omega_{m}^{i} \right|
\]

where \( t_{i,m} \) and \( t_{n,k,m,i,j} \) lies in between \( \omega_{m}^{i} \cdot \xi^j \) and \( \omega_{m+1}^{i} \cdot \xi^j \), and from (23), (24) and (45), \( M = \frac{\sqrt{R}}{\sqrt{a}} \) and \( F(x) \equiv (f(x))^{2} \). Note that

\[
F'(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{2 f(x)}
\]

\[
F''(x) = \frac{f''(x)}{2 f(x)} \leq \frac{f''(x)}{2 \lambda f'(x)} \leq \frac{\lambda M}{f''(x)}
\]

By (25), (30) and Lemma 4.3 for \( 1 \leq j \leq J, 1 \leq k \leq N, m = 0,1,2, \ldots \) and Cauchy- Schwartz Theorem, we have

\[
\leq \frac{\lambda M}{f''(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m+1}^{i} - \omega_{m}^{i} \|^{2}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\lambda M}{f''(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m+1}^{i} - \omega_{m}^{i} \|^{2}
\]

\[
\leq C_{10} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m+1}^{i} - \omega_{m}^{i} \|^{2}
\]

\[
(41)
\]

Where \( C_{10} = \frac{\lambda M}{f''(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m+1}^{i} - \omega_{m}^{i} \|^{2} \)

By Assumption (A1), (A2), (12) and (25), we have

\[
\left| \delta_1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \frac{f'(\omega_{m}^{i} + \xi^j)}{f'(\omega_{m}^{i})} + \frac{f'(\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j)}{f'(\omega_{m+1}^{i})} \right) (\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j) - \omega_{m}^{i} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\lambda M}{f''(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m+1}^{i} - \omega_{m}^{i} \|^{2}
\]

\[
\leq C_{11} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m+1}^{i} - \omega_{m}^{i} \|^{2}
\]

Where \( C_{11} = C_{10}.J. \)

By Assumption (A1), (21), (24), and (26) for \( m = 0,1,2, \ldots \), we have

\[
\left| \delta_2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \frac{f'(\omega_{m}^{i} + \xi^j)}{f'(\omega_{m}^{i})} \right) (\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j) - \omega_{m}^{i} \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m+1}^{i} - \omega_{m}^{i} \|^{2}
\]

Where \( C_{12} = \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m+1}^{i} - \omega_{m}^{i} \|^{2} \)

Where \( C_{12} = \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m+1}^{i} - \omega_{m}^{i} \|^{2} \)

Using Assumption (A1), (A2), (25) and Cauchy- Schwartz Theorem, we get

\[
\left| \delta_2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( (\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j) - \omega_{m}^{i} \right) (\omega_{m+1}^{i} + \xi^j) - \omega_{m}^{i} \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\eta_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m+1}^{i} - \omega_{m}^{i} \|^{2}
\]

\[
(42)
\]

This completes the proof to statement (i) of theorem 3.2.

Proof to (ii) of theorem 3.2.

From the conclusion of (i), we know that the nonnegative sequence \( \{E(W^{m})\} \) is monotone. It is also bounded below. Hence there must exist \( E^{*} \geq 0 \) such that \( \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E(W^{m}) = E^{*} \). The proof to (ii) is thus completed.

Proof to (iii) of theorem 3.2.

It is follows from Assumption (A4) that \( \beta > 0 \). Taking \( \beta = \frac{1}{\eta_m} - C_4 \) and using (45), we suppose that \( M \) is positive integer, we have

\[
E(W^{(m+1)}) \leq E(W^{m}) - \beta \sum_{m=0}^{N} \| \omega_{m}^{i} - \omega_{m+1}^{i} \|^{2}
\]

\[
\leq E(W^{0}) - \beta \sum_{m=0}^{N} \| \omega_{m}^{i} - \omega_{m+1}^{i} \|^{2}
\]

Since \( E(W^{m+1}) \geq 0 \), we have

\[
\beta \sum_{m=0}^{N} \| \omega_{m}^{i} - \omega_{m+1}^{i} \|^{2} \leq E(\omega_{0}^{i}) \leq \infty.
\]

Let \( M \rightarrow \infty \), then

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{N} \| \omega_{m}^{i} - \omega_{m+1}^{i} \|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\beta} E(W^{0}) < \infty.
\]

Thus results in

\[
\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{I} \| \omega_{m}^{i} - \omega_{m+1}^{i} \|^{2} = 0.
\]

From (10) - (12) and (A1) it is easily get

\[
\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \| \omega_{m}^{i} - \omega_{m+1}^{i} \| = 0, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \| E(\omega_{m}^{i}) \| = 0 \quad (46)
\]

The proof to (iii) is thus completed.

Proof to (iv) of theorem 3.2.

Note that the error function \( E(W) \) defined in (7) is continuous and differentiable. According to (46), (A5) and
Lemma 4.2, we can easily get the desired results, i.e., there exists a point $\omega^{*} \in D_{0}$ such that $\lim_{m \to \infty} (\omega^{(m)})^{T} \omega^{*} = \omega^{*}$.

This completes the proof to (iv)

3. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigate a Batch Gradient Method with Smoothing $L_{1/2}$ Regularization for Pi-sigma Neural Networks. The Smoothing $L_{1/2}$ Regularization is a term proportional to the magnitude of the weights. We prove under moderate conditions that the weights of the networks are kept bounded in the learning process. The both weak and convergence results require the boundedness of the weights is preconditions.
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