The use of the Hilbert transform in ECG signal analysis
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Abstract

This paper presents a new robust algorithm for QRS detection using the first differential of the ECG signal and its Hilbert transformed data to locate the \( R \) wave peaks in the ECG waveform. Using this method, the differentiation of \( R \) waves from large, peaked \( T \) and \( P \) waves is achieved with a high degree of accuracy. In addition, problems with baseline drift, motion artifacts and muscular noise are minimised. The performance of the algorithm was tested using standard ECG waveform records from the MIT-BIH Arrhythmia database. An average detection rate of 99.87\%, a sensitivity (Se) of 99.94\% and a positive prediction (+P) of 99.93\% have been achieved against study records from the MIT-BIH Arrhythmia database. A detection error rate of less than 0.8\% was achieved in every study case. The reliability of the proposed detector compares very favorably with published results for other QRS detectors. © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Accurate determination of the QRS complex, in particular, accurate detection of the \( R \) wave peak, is essential in computer-based ECG analysis. However, this is often difficult to achieve. Noise contamination, due to baseline drifts changes, motion artifacts and muscular noise, is frequently encountered [1]. In addition, morphological differences in the ECG waveform increase the complexity of QRS detection, due to the high degree of heterogeneity in the QRS waveform and the difficulty in differentiating the QRS complex from tall peaked \( P \) or \( T \) waves [2].

Many different approaches have been used to improve the accuracy of QRS detection, including the use of the Hilbert transform. The use of the Hilbert transform in ECG analysis was first described by Bolton and Westphal [3–6]. In general, this method of ECG waveform analysis uses

∗ Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-161-200-4906; fax: +44-161-200-4911.
E-mail address: p.a.gaydecki@umist.ac.uk (P.A. Gaydecki).
vectorcardiograph and polarcardiograph representations and examines the concept of pre-envelope and envelope of a real waveform given by the Hilbert transform. They developed a prototype two stage QRS detector based on the determination of a zero crossing in the Hilbert transformed data of the original ECG waveform coincident with a large magnitude in its envelope.

In this paper, a new approach to QRS detection using other properties of the Hilbert transform is presented. The new algorithm uses the first differential of the ECG signal and its Hilbert transformed data to find regions of high probability to locate the $R$ peaks in the ECG waveform. Similar to the method developed by Bolton and Westphal, a second stage detection algorithm uses these initial estimations to locate the real $R$ peaks in the ECG wave. This has a number of advantages over previously described techniques. The unwanted effects of large peaked $T$ and $P$ waves are minimized and the new algorithm performs excellently in the presence of significant noise contamination. Moreover, in contrast to the method of Bolton and Westphal, determination of the envelope and pre-envelope of the given data is not required.

2. The Hilbert transform

Given a real time function $x(t)$, its Hilbert transform [7,8] is defined as

$$\hat{x}(t) = H[x(t)] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(\tau) \frac{1}{\tau - t} \, d\tau. \tag{1}$$

It can be seen from (1) that the independent variable is not changed as result of this transformation, so the output $\hat{x}(t)$ is also a time dependent function. Furthermore, $\hat{x}(t)$ is a linear function of $x(t)$. It is obtained from $x(t)$ applying convolution with $\left(\frac{1}{\pi t}\right)^{-1}$ as shown in the following relationship:

$$\hat{x}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi t} \ast x(t) \tag{2}$$

rewriting (2) and applying the Fourier transform, we have

$$F\{\hat{x}(t)\} = \frac{1}{\pi} F\left\{\frac{1}{t}\right\} F\{x(t)\}. \tag{3}$$

Since,

$$F\left\{\frac{1}{t}\right\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} e^{-j2\pi fx} \, dx = -j\pi \text{sgn} \, f, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\text{sgn} \, f \text{ is } +1 \text{ for } f > 0, \text{ 0 for } f = 0 \text{ and } -1 \text{ for } f < 0$$

then the Fourier transform of the Hilbert transform of $x(t)$ is given by (3) as

$$F\{\hat{x}(t)\} = -j \text{sgn} \, f F\{x(t)\}. \tag{5}$$

In the frequency domain, the result is then obtained by multiplying the spectrum of the $x(t)$ by $j (\pm 90^\circ)$ for negative frequencies and $-j (-90^\circ)$ for positive frequencies. The time domain result can be obtained performing an inverse Fourier transform. Therefore, the Hilbert transform of the original function $x(t)$ represents its harmonic conjugate [8].
In considering the concept of analytic signal or pre-envelope of a real signal $x(t)$ [9], it can be described by the expression:

$$y(t) = x(t) + j\hat{x}(t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The envelope $B(t)$ of $y(t)$ is defined by

$$B(t) = \sqrt{x^2(t) + \hat{x}^2(t)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

and its instantaneous phase angle in the complex plane can be defined by

$$\phi(t) = \arctan\left(\frac{\hat{x}(t)}{x(t)}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

As shown in the Fig. 1 $B(t)$ and $x(t)$ have common tangents and the same values at the points where $\hat{x}(t) = 0$, i.e., the envelope determined using (7) will have the same slope and magnitude of the original signal $x(t)$ at or near its local maxima. Similarly, from (7) it can be seen that $B(t)$ is always a positive function. Hence, the maximum contribution to $B(t)$ at points where $x(t) = 0$ is given by the Hilbert transform. This can be easily seen in Fig. 2 where the maximum contribution to the envelope of the first differential of the ECG $B(d/dt(\text{ECG}))$ is given by its Hilbert transform $H[d/dt(\text{ECG})]$ at points where $d/dt(\text{ECG}) = 0$.

3. The new approach to QRS detection using the Hilbert transform

One of the properties of the Hilbert transform is that it is an odd function. That is to say that it will cross zero on the x-axis every time that there is an inflexion point in the original waveform (Fig. 2). Similarly a crossing of the zero between consecutive positive and negative inflexion points in the original waveform will be represented as a peak in its Hilbert transformed conjugate. This interesting property can be used to develop an elegant and much easier way to find the peak of the QRS complex in the ECG waveform corresponding to a zero crossing in its first differential waveform $d/dt(\text{ECG})$. The block diagram of the proposed approach is shown in Fig. 3.
As with most QRS detector algorithms, the first stage of the proposed algorithm is formed by a filtering section [10]. We used a band pass FIR filter windowed using a Kaiser–Bessel window. The band stop frequencies were set at 8 and 20 Hz in order to remove muscular noise and maximize the QRS complex respectively. Then, the first differential of the resulting filtered sequence is performed in order to remove motion artifacts and base line drifts. The rising slope of the $R$ wave will be represented as a maximum and the falling slope will be represented as a minimum in the first
diZV'erential sequence. The peak of the $R$ wave will be equivalent to the zero crossing between these two positive and negative peaks (see Fig. 2).

So given the filtered ECG waveform sequence $x(n)$, its first differential ($y(t) = d/dt(EGC)$) in discrete domain can be obtained by

$$y(n) = \frac{1}{2\Delta t} [x(n+1) - x(n-1)] \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1,$$

where $m$ is the total number of samples and $\Delta t$ is the sampling frequency.

The initial condition is specified by $x(-1)$ when $n=0$, and the final condition $x(m)$ when $n=m-1$. These conditions minimize the error at the boundaries. The Hilbert transform $h(n)$ of the sequence $y(n)$ that represents the first differential of the ECG waveform is then obtained using the following methodology:

1. Obtain the Fourier transform $F(n)$ of the input sequence $y(n)$,
2. set the DC component to zero,
3. multiply the positive and negative harmonics by $-j$ and $j$ respectively,
4. perform the inverse Fourier transform of this resulting sequence.

Since this algorithm for Hilbert transformation works well with short sequences, a moving 1024 points window is used to subdivide the input sequence $y(n)$ before obtaining its Hilbert transform. In this work, the sample frequency used was 360 Hz. To optimize accuracy, the starting point of the next window should match the last $R$ point located in the previous ECG subset.

The peaks in the Hilbert transformed sequence $h(n)$ represent regions of high probability of finding a real QRS peak. In practice, these peaks often differ from the true $R$ wave peak position by a few milliseconds. In order to guarantee accurate detection of the $R$ peaks, a second stage detector is required. Because the $P$ and $T$ waves are minimized in relation to the relative peak corresponding to QRS complex in the Hilbert sequence, a simple threshold detection is used to locate the peaks in the $h(n)$ sequence. The threshold must be adaptive in order to guarantee accurate detection of the $R$ peaks. The threshold level is set using the following criteria: first the level of noise present in the subset under analysis is determined using the equivalent RMS value of the $h(n)$ sequence and its maximum amplitude in the window, if the RMS value is equal or greater than 18% of the maximum value of the $h(n)$ sequence, the level of noise in the segment is considered to be high and therefore the threshold level is setup at 39% of the maximum amplitude of $h(n)$, if the maximum value of the sequence under study is greater than two times the amplitude of the maximum value of the previous subset window of 1024 points, then the threshold is raised to 39% of the maximum amplitude of the previous subset $h(n)$. When the RMS value is lower than 18% of the maximum value of the $h(n)$ sequence, the amount of noise in the subset is considered to be low and the threshold level is decreased to 1.6 times the RMS value. If two peaks in the Hilbert sequence $h(n)$ are located very close each other (less than 200 ms), only one of the peak has probabilities of a real $R$ peak. The decision is made in base of the amplitude of the peak and their position in relation to the last $R$ peak located using an adaptive time threshold based on the average $R–R$ interval length of the previous $R$ peaks located.

The second stage detector uses the information provided by the first approximation. A defined width window subset (i.e., ±10 samples form the location of the peak found in the corresponding
Table 1
QRS detection performance using the MIT-BIH database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MIT-BIH record</th>
<th>Actual number of beats in record</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>Failed detection (FP+FN)</th>
<th>Detection error rate (%)</th>
<th>Average timer error (ms)</th>
<th>Se (%)</th>
<th>+P (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2273</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>1865</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>99.95</td>
<td>99.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>2187</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>99.91</td>
<td>99.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>2084</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>2229</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>99.78</td>
<td>99.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>2572</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>99.88</td>
<td>99.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>99.67</td>
<td>99.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>2532</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>99.72</td>
<td>99.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>2124</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>99.95</td>
<td>99.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>2539</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>2412</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>41.40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>1535</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>99.93</td>
<td>99.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>2278</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>1863</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>99.95</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>2476</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>1518</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>1619</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>45856</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>99.94</td>
<td>99.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( h(n) \) sequence) is selected in the original ECG waveform to locate the real \( R \) peak. Once again a simple maximum peak locator in the values of this subset sequence is used.

4. Results and discussion

The detector was tested using entire records from the MIT-BIH Arrhythmia database [11]. From this database a set of ECG waveform signals recorded using the modified limb lead II (MLII) and modified leads V5 and V1 ECG electrodes configuration which contain mechanical and electrical artifacts was chosen to test the performance of the new algorithm. Beat by beat comparison was performed according to the recommendation of the American National Standard for ambulatory ECG analyzers (ANSI/AAMI EC38-1994) [12]. The results are shown in Table 1. A false negative (FN) occurs when the algorithm fails to detect a true beat (actual QRS) quoted in the corresponding annotation file of the MIT-BIH record and a false positive (FP) represents a false beat detection. Sensitivity (Se) [12], positive prediction (+P) [12], detection error rate [13] and average time error were calculated using the following equations respectively:

\[
\text{Sensitivity} \% = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}},
\]
Table 2
Performance comparison with other detectors For noisy MIT-BIH record 105 containing 2572 QRS complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>Failed detection</th>
<th>Detection error rate (%)</th>
<th>Se (%)</th>
<th>+P (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed detector</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>99.88</td>
<td>99.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural-based adaptive filtering</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>99.84</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelet transforms</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>99.50</td>
<td>99.42</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topological mapping</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>99.84</td>
<td>98.43</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized filtering and dual edge thresholding</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>99.19</td>
<td>98.66</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear adaptive filtering</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>99.15</td>
<td>98.47</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandpass filtering and search-back</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>99.15</td>
<td>97.98</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandpass filtering</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>99.15</td>
<td>97.46</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter banksa</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>99.26</td>
<td>97.58</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This result reported over 2139 beats only.

Positive predictivity (%) = \( \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \times 100 \)  
Detection error rate (%) = \( \frac{FP + FN}{\text{Total number of QRS complex}} \times 100 \)  
Average time error (ms) = \( \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{TP} |\text{located QRS-actual QRS}|}{TP} \)

where TP (true positives) is the total number of QRS correctly located by the detector. The detector shows outstanding performance for signal with noise even in the presence of pronounced muscular noise and baseline artifacts. The reliability of the proposed detector compares very favorably with published results for other QRS detectors especially for the difficult noisy MIT-BIH record 105. The predominant features of this record are high grade of noise and artifacts [11]. Comparative results are shown in the Table 2.

5. Conclusion

This paper presents a new approach to QRS detection using properties of the Hilbert transform. Using the MIT-BIH arrhythmia database, the algorithm performed highly effectively with accurate QRS peak detection in over 99% of cases, even in the presence of significant noise contamination. At present, the algorithm performs significantly better with the MLII configuration than with other ECG leads. However, we believe that with further modification, this approach will provide the most accurate method of QRS detection for all ECG configurations.
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