A Comparative Evaluation of Length Estimators

David Coeurjolly¹ and Reinhard Klette²

Abstract

Relative convex hulls have been suggested for multigrid-convergent surface area estimation. Besides the existence of a convergence theorem there is no efficient algorithmic solution so far for calculating relative convex hulls. This article discusses an approximative solution based on minimum-length polygon calculations. It is illustrated that this approximative calculation also proves (experimentally) to provide a multigrid convergent measurement.
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Abstract

The paper compares previously published length estimators having digitized curves as input. The evaluation uses multigrid convergence (theoretical results and measured speed of convergence) and further measures as criteria. The paper also suggests a new gradient-based method for length estimation.

1 Introduction

The digitization of curves or boundaries has been studied in image analysis for about 40 years [1]. Since these first studies, many algorithms have been proposed to estimate the length of a digitized curve. Some approaches are based on local metrics such as the chamfer metrics, other approaches are based on polygonalizations of digital curves, e.g. directed on subsequent calculations of maximum-length digital straight segments (DSSs) or of minimum length polygons (MLPs), and we also propose a new length estimator based on calculated gradients.

The computational problem is as follows: The input is a sequence of chain codes \(i(0), i(1), \ldots, i(k)\) with \(i(k) \in A \in \{0, \ldots, 7\}\), \(k \geq 0\). An off-line DSS recognition algorithm decides for finite words \(u \in A^*\) whether \(u\) is a DSS or not. An on-line DSS recognition algorithm reads successive chain codes \(i(0), i(1), \ldots\) and specifies the maximum length \(k \geq 0\) such that \(i(0), i(1), \ldots, i(k)\) is a DSS, and \(i(0), i(1), \ldots, i(k), i(k+1)\) is not. An off-line algorithm is linear iff it runs in \(O(n)\) time, i.e. it performs at most \(O\(|u|)\) basic computation steps for any input word \(u \in A^*\). An on-line algorithm is linear iff it uses on the average a constant number of operations for any incoming chain code symbol.

An obvious benefit of local metrics based approaches is that they support linear on-line implementations. Linear off-line algorithms for DSS recognition were published in 1981 in [2] and in 1982 in [5]. A linear off-line algorithm for cellular straight segment recognition, based on convex hull construction, is briefly sketched in [4]. Two linear on-line algorithms for DSS recognition were published in 1982 in [3]; one of them is an on-line version of the off-line algorithm published in [2].

The general problem of decomposing a digital curve into a sequence of DSSs, which includes DSS recognition as a subproblem, is discussed in, e.g. [9, 10, 13, 20]. Obviously, linear on-line DSS recognition algorithms will support linear decomposition algorithms, but linear off-line algorithms will only allow quadratic runtime behavior.

An MLP-approximation [15, 21] calculates a minimum-length polygon circumscribing a given (closed) inner boundary (given by a sequence of chain codes), and being in the interior of an outer boundary (typically in Hausdorff-Chebyshev distance 1 to the inner boundary). Gradient-based length estimation uses input data as in case of DSS approaches. The notions on-line, off-line, or linear time apply for MLP or gradient-based approaches as defined for the case of DSS algorithms.

In this article, we present a comparative evaluation of length estimators. We are especially interested in evaluating these algorithms (and underlying methodologies) with respect to the accuracy of length estimation. Multigrid convergence is one option of characterizing this accuracy, and experimental studies provide another way for performance testing. Our experiments are directed on illustrating accuracy and stability of the chosen algorithms on convex and non-convex curves (see Fig. 1 for the used test data). These Jordan curves are digitized for increases in grid resolution, allowing to study and illustrate experimental multigrid convergence. In our experiments we use a grid size ranging from 30 to 1000.
Figure 1. Test data set as proposed in [20].

Our studies on multigrid convergence require digitizations of planar Jordan curves up to a given grid resolution: we assume an orthogonal grid with grid constant $0 < \theta < 1$ in the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, i.e., $\theta$ is the uniform spacing between grid points parallel to one of the coordinate axes. Let $r = 1/\theta$ be the grid resolution, and the r-grid $\mathbb{Z}_r^2$ has resolution $r$, defined by r-points whose coordinates are $(i\cdot r, j\cdot r)$, with $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, we consider a Jordan curve $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$, bounding a set $S$. Let $D_r(\gamma)$ be a grid-digitization of $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{Z}_r^2$, defined by grid-intersection digitization, or by a digital border in $\mathbb{Z}_r^2$. Common models are Gauss digitization (i.e., union of all r-grid squares with centroid in $S$), and inner or outer Jordan digitization (i.e., union of all r-grid squares contained in the interior of $S$, or having a non-empty intersection with $S$). See, e.g., [23] for details.

We denote by $F(\gamma) \in \mathbb{R}$ a property of curve $\gamma$, which is the length $l(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$ in this article. We denote by $E$ an estimated feature. Assume that $E$ is defined for digitizations $D_r(\gamma)$, for $r > 0$. The estimated feature $E$ is said to be multigrid convergent if $E(D_r(\gamma))$ converges to $F(\gamma)$, for $r \to \infty$. More formally:

$$|E(D_r(\gamma)) - F(\gamma)| \leq \varepsilon(r)$$

with $\lim_{r \to \infty} \varepsilon(r) = 0$. The order $O(1/\varepsilon(r))$ denotes the speed of this convergence. Multigrid convergence of estimated features is a standard constraint in numerical mathematics for discrete versions of ‘continuous’ features.

2 Local metrics

Local metrics were historically the first attempts towards a solution of the length estimation problem. These algorithms can be viewed as shortest path calculations in weighted adjacency graphs of pixel locations. Weights have been designed with the intention of approximating the Euclidean distance. For example, horizontal and vertical moves in the orthogonal r-grid may be weighted by $1/r$, and diagonal moves may be weighted by $\sqrt{2}/r$. More generally, a chamfer metrics definition first lists elementary moves and then associates weights to each move, see [6, 7, 11].

In order to make length estimation as accurate as possible, the use of statistical analysis has been suggested to find those weights which minimize the mean square error between estimated and true length of a straight segment. For example, [7] presents a best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE for short) for straight lines, and defines the following length estimator:

$$E_{BLUE}(D_r(\gamma)) = \frac{1}{r} \cdot (1.059 \cdot n_i + 1.183 \cdot n_d), \quad (1)$$

where $n_i$ is the number of isothetic steps and $n_d$ of diagonal steps in the r-grid. We include this estimator into our comparative study. It ensures a superlinear convergence $O(r^{1.5})$ of asymptotic length estimation [10] in case of digitized straight lines, but fails to be multigrid convergent for more general situations of digitized arcs or curves.

3 Polygonal approaches

Many algorithms have been published for the DSS recognition problem. These approaches are based on characterizations of digital lines, such as syntactic chain code properties [2, 5], arithmetical properties defining tangential lines [13], properties of feasible regions in the (dual) parameter space [8, 10], or use linear programming tools such as the Fourier-Motzkin algorithm [14]. All these algorithms present a solution for deciding whether a given sequence of r-grid points is a DSS, or even for segmenting a digital curve into a sequence of maximum-length DSSs. The length estimator $E_{DSS}$ is then defined by the length of the obtained poly-line.

In our comparative study we include two representative implementations of DSS-based length estimators: if the digital curve is defined as an 8-curve, we use the Debled-Reveillard's algorithm [13] and call it the $E_{DB-DSS}$ estimator. If the digital curve is defined as a 4-curve, we consider a length estimator based on Kovalevsky's algorithm [9] and call it the $E_{K-DSS}$ estimator. We use the algorithm as detailed in [20].

These two DSS-based length estimators are known to be multigrid-convergent for convex Jordan curves $\gamma$ [12, 23, 25].

MLP-based length estimators consider a situation where a given simple digital curve $C$ is described by two discrete curves $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$, bounding sets $S_1$ and $S_2$ respectively, such that $S_2$ is contained in the interior $S_1^\circ$ of set $S_1$, and $C$ is contained in $B = S_1 \setminus S_2$. The task consists in calculating that MLP which is contained in $B$ and circumscribes $\gamma_2$. The length estimator $E_{MLP}$ is then defined by the length of this (uniquely defined [15, 18]) MLP.
In our comparative study we include two representative implementations of MLP-based length estimators: the grid-continua MLP approach of [15, 18] has been derived for the model of using inner and outer Jordan digitization, and defining $B$ to be the difference set between outer and inner Jordan digitization. We use the MLP algorithm as reported in [20]. We call it this $E_{SZ,MLP}$ estimator. As another MLP-method we include the approximation-sausage MLP approach of [21, 24] defining the $E_{AS,MLP}$ estimator. We use the algorithms provided by the authors of this approach.

These two MLP-based length estimators are known to be multigrid-convergent for convex Jordan curves $\gamma$ [15, 21, 24].

4 New gradient-based approach

We use a gradient integration process to estimate the length of a curve. Let $\mathbf{n} : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ denote the gradient or normal vector field associated with curve $\gamma$. The length of $\gamma$ can be expressed as

$$l(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \mathbf{n}(s) \, ds \quad (2)$$

The main idea of our gradient-based approach consists in using discrete estimates of products $\mathbf{n}(s) \, ds$.

The discrete tangent on a digital curve was proposed in [16], and it is based on a chosen DSS definition (see Fig. 2): the discrete tangent at point $p$ of a digital curve is the longest DSS centered at point $p$. Note that this definition is applicable whatever DSS definition (and related recognition algorithm) is chosen. A straightforward application of a linear on-line DSS recognition algorithms (at any point $p$) leads to an $O(n^2)$ solution. However, the optimization proposed in [19] allows to compute all tangents in linear time, assuming a cellular approach (i.e. a grid square has four 0–cells as its vertices etc.) for defining pixel locations. The Viallard algorithm computes the discrete tangent and thus the discrete normal vector at each 0–cell of the curve.

We define the normal vector $\mathbf{n}$ associated to a 1–cell as the mean vector of both vectors calculated at its two bounding 0–cells. We also define an elementary normal vector $n_{el}$ to a 1–cell as the unit vector orthogonal to this 1–cell (see Fig. 2). Hence, the discrete version of eq. (2) is:

$$E_{TAN}(D_\gamma(\gamma)) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbf{n}(s) \cdot n_{el}(s) \quad (3)$$

where ‘$\cdot$’ denotes the scalar product and $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of all 1–cells of $D_\gamma(\gamma)$, which is assumed to be an alternating sequence of 0–cells and 1–cells. The main idea of this approach is to compute the contribution of each 1–cell to the global length estimation by projecting the 1–cell according to the direction of the normal. In [26] it is shown that length estimator $E_{TAN}$ is multigrid convergent for convex Jordan curves.

5 Evaluation

We compare the chosen length estimator algorithms based on practical experiments and available theoretical results. Note that all are linear on-line algorithms. Theoretical studies should answer the following questions:

- **multigrid**: Is the estimator multigrid convergent for convex curves (if ‘yes’, we are also interested in an analysis of convergence speed)?
- **discrete**: Does the core of the algorithm only deal with integers?
- **unique**: Does the result depend on initialization?
- **3D extension**: May the approach be extended to length estimation of digital curves in 3D space?

Table 1 informs on the situation.

We consider two measures: the relative error in percent between estimated and true curve length, and for DSS- and MLP-approaches, also a trade-off measure defined as the product of relative error times the number of generated segments. In [18, 20] it has been called the efficiency of convergence.

In Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, experimental convergence is evident for all methods. Errors are calculated for all curves, transformed into a mean value for a given grid size, and curves are generated by sliding means (of 30 values) along different grid sizes. The trade-off measure is presented for polygonal approaches. In Fig. 5, runtimes of polygonalization-based estimators compared to a local-metric estimator are presented.
Table 1. Length estimators used in this comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>multigrid</th>
<th>discrete</th>
<th>unique</th>
<th>3D extension</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DR-DSS</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VK-DSS</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ-MLP</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>[15, 21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS-MLP</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>this article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local metrics</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>depends</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>[6, 7]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Evident multigrid convergence of length estimators: sliding means of relative errors and same results on a logarithmic scale.

Figure 4. Up: the trade-off measure for polygonal approaches, down: relative errors for a rotating square.

Figure 4 shows the behavior of theses estimators when a square curve of fixed shape is rotated in a grid of resolution 128.

6 Conclusions

The experiments show that the local-metrics approach is not multigrid convergent for the test data set, but all five global approximation methods confirm known theoretical convergence results by experimental evidence. Furthermore, the increase in run-times of the studied polygonal methods is only minor compared to that of local-metric algorithms. Hence, the use of an (incorrect) local-metric algorithm is also not justified by a run-time argument. The choice of a global method may depend on preferences defined by the context of an image processing software package, and the authors may recommend any of the five studied methods. Studies on test data might be useful for selecting the most efficient implementation for a given application context.
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