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ABSTRACTION

There are some statistical-based sentence extraction methods applied to English documents to get the automatically summaries. In this paper, we present a Vietnamese text summarization case-study based on evaluation and extraction of highly informative sentences to abstract documents, assisting users in reducing the time required to study and grasp information in Vietnamese, particularly appropriating to news from Vietnamese sites. Our case-study combines various statistical sentences extraction methods which do not require more linguistic resources whereas provide fast approaches. From a set of sentences, we choose the most important ones depending on an input compression rates and generate a summarized document. Particularly, we use mostly Vietnamese linguistic characteristics to preprocess the source and improve the result. After using some content evaluating methods, comparing with other current approaches, our investigation shows interesting and satisfactory results. We get approximately 0.73 for the precision of traditional evaluating method, approximately 0.67 for the average of content similarities.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Language processing plays a fundamental role in information and knowledge science. With the popularity of the Internet use, various problems and needs such as Information Extraction, Text Summarization on the Web have emerged requiring new solutions in language technology. Vietnamese language technology is still in its infancy. Our researchers are carrying out various investigation, researches to deal with these problems. Among them Vietnamese Text Summarization is one of the research topics that we are focusing on in this work.
and satisfactory result. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in the next section a brief description of model that we use for our study is given. In Section 3 we describe structualization of documents. In Section 4, our sentence extraction methods are described. Finally evaluation and conclusions are given in Section 5 and Section 6 respectively.

2. THE MODEL

Our case-study is distinctly divided into three phases. First, in the initial phase, we structuralize the free-text document into smaller structured units i.e. paragraphs, sentences and words so we can process more easily in the following phases. Then, in the main phase, we choose important sentences which contain major information of the document by assigning weights to each of them depending on their importance. Some methods for evaluating the importance of a certain sentence are title-based, TFxIPF, position-based, proper noun-based and word co-occurrences... After choosing these important sentences, in the final phase, we re-arrange them by considering their original order and generate the result. Particularly, in all phases, we often apply linguistic characteristics of Vietnamese to our processing. And this really makes our result better.

The model of our case-study is shown in Figure 1 below.

In this model, the input is the Vietnamese free-text document, and it will be normalized and structuralized in the initial phases. The normalization and structuralization help us to apply evaluation methods which only work with linguistic units such as words, sentences or paragraphs.

The main phase, Sentence Evaluation and Extraction, will be detailed below. These phases will help decrease a number of sentences, retain the most essential of them and remove redundant others.
Finally, in the last phase, depending on the compression rate, a certain number of sentences will be chosen and re-arranged, based on sentence clues, to generate the target - an automatically summarized document.

3. STRUCTURALIZATION

For the purpose of documents processing, the free-text input will be preprocessed and modeled into the Document object. This object includes an array of Paragraph objects which represent document paragraphs. The Paragraph object, in turn, has an array of Sentence objects which correlate one-to-one with sentences. A Sentence object includes the vector of terms which it contains. Besides that, each Document, Paragraph and Sentence object has some additional information such as the position of the paragraph in the document, the uniqueness of the sentence in the paragraphs, the number of words in the sentences and the correlative string content of the objects… In general, with this structuralization, we can work with these objects as the actual linguistic units.

The most important and difficult work in this phase is the process of Word Segmentation because Vietnamese is a monosyllable language like Japanese, Chinese or Korean. Unlike English, there are no word boundaries in Vietnamese. Although it’s no problem when Vietnamese people speak or write, the computer can’t understand what a word is. We can’t use the blank spaces to determine the word boundaries like in English. To solve this problem, Japanese, Chinese and Korean researchers proposed some methods with high accuracy. In Vietnam, There are also some complex Word Segmentation methods such as those of Huyen et al [12], Ha Le An [13]… Especially, there is a method of Dinh Dien which achieved approximately 97% of accuracy [14]. But these methods require more lexical resources as well as corpora. Moreover, they are time consuming and not suitable for our approach. Here we use the method of Max Length Word Matching to achieve the word segmentation. Based on a Vietnamese wordlist, we find the longest string which matches with a Vietnamese word and produce the array of terms. After that, we use the vector space model to vectorize the array into the vector of indexes of term. Although it’s a very simple way to segment words, we choose it because it’s easy for implementing, fast for processing and have reasonable accuracy for the following phases.

Here is the Max length matching algorithm applied to Vietnamese Word Segmentation:

| Input | String s which we want to segment.  
| dic  | which contains list of Vietnamese words.  
| Output | The corresponded array of Vietnamese words.  

| Procedure |  
| 1. | {Declaration}  
| | termList is an array of string;  
| | lmax is a positive integer number;  
| | tempQueue is a queue of string;  
| 2. | {load all dic’s Vietnamese words}  
| | termList := getWords(dic);  
| | {get the length of the longest word in dic}  
| | lmax := getLengthOfLongestWord(dic);  
| 3. | tempString := getSubstring(s,1,lmax);  
| 4. | tempString := trimToEvenGram(tempString);  
| {Grams here we mean strings delimited by spaces}  
| 5. | If tempString in termList then  
| | Add(tempString into tempQueue);  
| | s = s − tempString;  
| | Else  
| | s = trimTheMostLeftGram(s);  
| 6. | If s Is Not Empty Then Goto 3;  
| 7. | Return toArray(tempQueue);  

**Figure 2. The Max Length Matching algorithm**

Some works remaining in this phase are Sentence Segmentation and Notation Disambiguation. Sentence Segmentation can be carried out by using sentence delimiters. Some sentence delimiters such as point, semicolon and three-dots. We have to distinguish sentence points and the decimal point or the point lie in internet addresses as well as email addresses. This work can be attained by the Notation Disambiguation module.

At the same time, other properties of Document object would be set. We note that Title is also a sentence and it’s modeled by a special Sentence object. In addition, some position and association clues of each sentence are also gathered for the purpose of generating final result.

4. SENTENCE EXTRACTION METHODS

In the main phase, we implement some suitable methods to extract essential sentences. For the purpose of quantifying the importance of each sentence, we assign weights for them depending on certain methods.
4.1. Title-based method

The idea of this method is the title of document should be chosen for the summary. Furthermore, some appropriate words (terms) belong to the title can be used for evaluating other sentences in the document. So, we first determine the title, choose it to be the title of the summary and extract terms from it. These terms are called Title Word. Then we count the number of Title Word in each sentence and assign Title Weight for them. The more Title Words they contain, the higher Title Weight they have.

4.2. Position-based method

In some types of document such as scientific documents or news, the first and the last sentences in a paragraph are more important and contain more the percentages of document meaning than the others. So we can assign greater position weight for the first and the last sentences of all paragraphs. This idea is also true with the position of each paragraph in the document.

4.3. Proper noun-based method

Proper noun-based method is similar to the Title-based. Instead of extracting Title Words which are presented in a Vietnamese wordlist, we extract the proper nouns in the title, use them for assigning the Proper Noun Weights to the sentences. However, the way to determine proper nouns is clearly different from the process of Word Segmentation. This is a complex problem and nowadays we haven’t found the perfect solution for this yet. Here we use some heuristics to determine proper nouns based on Vietnamese proper noun’s characteristics. Just simple but we get the satisfactory results.

4.4. Word Co-occurrences method

The idea of this method is what paragraph that has more correlation with others will be important. The correlation is evaluated by the number of common terms between paragraphs. Alternatively, we can use some correlating formulae such as cosine or dice, too. Based on them, we assign the same Correlation Weight for all sentences which belong to the same paragraph and different Correlation Weight for the others.

Assume that a document have n paragraph P1, P2, ..., Pn. We determine the correlation of Pi with Pj by using the Cosine :

\[ PC_{ij} = \cos(P_i, P_j) = \frac{\sum P_i \cdot P_j}{\sqrt{\sum (P_i)^2} \sqrt{\sum (P_j)^2}} \quad i,j = 1, n \quad (1) \]

\[ PC_{ij} \] will be stored as the (i,j) element of an n×n 2-dimension array.

The Correlation Weight of paragraph Pi will be calculated as following :

\[ CP(P_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} PC_{ij} \quad j = 1, n \quad (2) \]

Based on them, we assign the same Correlation Weight for all sentences which belong to the same paragraph and different Correlation Weight for the others.

4.5. TFxIPF (Term Frequency times Inverse Paragraph Frequency)

This method originates from the well-known TFxIDF Estimate. TFxIDF is used to determine specific terms in a certain paragraph. One terms is called a specific term of a paragraph if it occurs more in the paragraph and occurs less in other paragraphs of the document.

Here, one term isn’t considered in a document but in a paragraph and its TFIPF Weight is calculated as below :

\[ w_i = tf \times ipf = tf \times \log \frac{N}{n_i} \quad (3) \]

Where tf is the times which term i occurs in the paragraph, N is the total number of paragraphs in the document and ni is the number of paragraphs that contain term i.

Then all terms are calculated the TFIDF Weight and sorted in the descending order of this estimate. A preordained percentage of terms which have the highest TFIDF Weight will be used to evaluate all sentences of document in the way that similar to Title or Proper noun-based methods.

4.6. Linear Combination.

To combination all above sentence extracting methods, we use a linear formula to calculate the final weight of each sentence :

\[ W = a \cdot W_{TB} + b \cdot W_{PS} + c \cdot W_{PN} + d \cdot W_{CG} + e \cdot W_{TFIDF} \quad (4) \]

Where :

- \[ W_{TB}, W_{PS}, W_{PN}, W_{CG}, W_{TFIDF} \] in this order are Title Weight, Position Weight, Proper Noun Weight, Correlation Weight and TFIPF Weight.
- \( a, b, c, d, e \) are the linear coefficients.
As we know, all above methods can’t be used for all types of document and each method has different effect. For example, when we use this approach to summarize a story, the position-based method will be unsuitable while the most efficient method here is the TFIPF. In this case, the coefficient of position-based is set to zero and the coefficient of TFIPF is set to the highest. Other example, if we can’t determine the title, the coefficient of Title method is certainly set to zero.

So, these linear coefficients express “the contribution” of each method. They are manually or machine learning-based refined by monitoring the result. Moreover, setting the coefficients and watching the corresponding result will help us to determine which methods should be used which others. That will refine the later results.

**5. EVALUATION**

We evaluate this approach by apply it to summarize Vietnamese news and short scientific documents. After using some content evaluating methods [15,16], comparing with other current approaches, our research shows interesting and satisfactory results.

In the document set including news of several subjects we collected from the Vietnamese Vnexpress online newspaper ([http://vnexpress.net/Vietnam/Home](http://vnexpress.net/Vietnam/Home)), we randomly choose 55 documents being input for our application and for Vietnamese linguisticians to make comparison and evaluation. The testing was carried out at five compression rates: 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%.

In this case-study, we use two summarization evaluation methods: the traditional method with the precision and the content similarities-based method.

**5.1. Precision**

Precision is measured by bringing into comparison each pair of summarized results of the same document between standard method and two methods which are examined now – basic method and our method.

**Precision**:

\[ P = \frac{A}{A + B} \]  

Where \( A \) is the number of sentences chosen by both 2 methods, \( B \) is the number of sentences chosen by the standard method (summarized by linguistic experts) and not chosen by the treated method.

From that, the precision of the whole method is averaged out for every document group which has the same compression rate. Following is the result:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compression rate</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 3. The traditional evaluating method*

**5.2. Content Similarity**

The formula for defining the content similarity between set to evaluate and set needs to be evaluated is:

\[ Sim(S_i, J_j) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{ij} \cdot J_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (S_{ij})^2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} (J_{ij})^2}} \]  

Where \( m \) is the document number of set to evaluate \( J \). \( S \) is the result document generated by our application.

The result is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compression rate</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 4. The content similarities evaluating method*
CONCLUSION

This article presents the combining of various methods in features extraction, applied to automatic summarization of Vietnamese documents. The summarization application is used in the processes of searching and summarizing news sites, allowing users to reduce required reading time. Through experimental results, this application shows relatively high precision in summarizing documents. However, in order to make it easier to read and understand, we will improve methods to compress sentences, to display documents focus on the features of Vietnamese language, and develop an effective applied model, well combining various methods to improve the compatibility among various writing.
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