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ABSTRACT

Three dimensional digital video services are gathering a lot of attention in recent years, thanks to the introduction of new and efficient acquisition and rendering devices. In particular, 3D video is often represented by a single view and a so called depth map, which gives information about the distance between the point of view and the objects. This representation can be extended to multiple views, each with its own depth map.

Efficient compression of this kind of data is of course a very important topic in sight of a massive deployment of services such as 3D-TV and FTV (free viewpoint TV). In this paper we consider the application of distributed coding techniques to the coding of depth maps, in order to reduce the complexity of single view or multi view encoders and to enhance interactive multiview video streaming. We start from state-of-the-art distributed video coding techniques and we improve them by using high order motion interpolation and by exploiting texture motion information to encode the depth maps. The experiments reported here show that the proposed method achieves a rate reduction up to 11.06% compared to state-of-the-art distributed video coding technique.

Index Terms— Distributed video coding, image interpolation, multiview video-plus-depth, depth map coding

1. INTRODUCTION

Multiview video is gathering increasing attention, thanks to the availability of acquisition and rendering systems, paving the way to a large number of interesting research topics and applications, such as 3D and free viewpoint TV (FTV) [1]. In this context, the problem of efficient compression is more urgent than ever, in sight of the huge amount of data required to represent 3D video. In particular, a representation which is gaining attention from the research and industry communities is based on the use of depth map in addition to a standard texture. This allows an easy generation of more virtual views with depth-image-based rendering (DIBR) methods [2]. Moreover, systems with multiple views, each one with its depth map are already envisaged, since they promise a simple implementation and increase as its quality increases. We remark that we can modify the DVC system, since the number of bits needed to correct the SI decreases as its quality increases. We remark that we can modify the image interpolator without affecting the encoder.

In this work we focus only on the first one, which is usually faced by coding separately the color video and the depth data by a simulcast coding structure (where each view is coded independently) or a multiview-coding structure (where the views are jointly coded) [4]. For example Ho and Oh [5] share the motion information between the texture and the depth. They proposed to code the texture video with H.264/AVC. For the depth maps, instead of estimating the vectors directly on them, candidate motion modes are generated by exploiting motion information of the corresponding texture video.

An extension of H.264/AVC to multiview video coding (MVC) has been proposed [6] in order to exploit also the inter-view correlation. For the depth map, instead of estimating motion vectors directly on the depth map, candidate motion modes are generated by exploiting motion information of the corresponding texture video.

In this paper we consider a DVC approach [7] to the MVD problem. This approach makes sense in many application scenarios: for example, acquisition systems could prevent inter-camera communication; or, one may be interested in low-complexity encoding techniques which do not make use of motion or disparity estimation/compensation (ME/MC or DE/DC).

In particular, we consider one of the most popular distributed video coding systems, that is the one proposed by Girod et al. [7]. In this framework, a video stream is structured into groups of pictures (GOP), in which some selected frames, called key frames (KF), are coded using any “intra” method. Usually, KFs are coded with the Intra mode of H.264/AVC with an assigned quantization parameter (QP). For the other frames, called Wyner-Ziv frames (WZFs), only the parity bits, obtained by a channel encoder, are sent to the decoder. These bits are used to correct an initial estimation of the WZF obtained from the decoded KFs. This estimation is called side information (SI) and the process generating it is called image interpolation. The image interpolation step has a crucial importance in a DVC system, since the number of bits needed to correct the SI decreases as its quality increases. We remark that we can modify the image interpolator without affecting the encoder.

The DVC approach can easily be extended to the MVD case, as shown in Fig. 2. In this example, each view is composed by a sequence of texture images and another sequence of depth maps. Each sequence is DVC-coded, and this for each view. The advantage of the presence of the WZF in the video stream is the flexibility of this structure in the context of interactive multiview video [8]. In fact, the WZF can be decoded independently from the reference frame available at the decoder. If the video is coded with H.264/AVC and
At first, the two KFs are spatially filtered to reduce noise. We search for the vector \( v(\mathbf{q}) \) that intercepts the frame \( k \) in the point closest to \( p \). Let \( q \) be this point.

Then, we split the vector \( v(q) \) into

\[
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} v(\mathbf{q}) \\
\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p}) \triangleq -\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p})
\]

and we center them in \( p \). The vectors \( \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}) \) and \( \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p}) \) are refined around the positions \( \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}) \) and \( \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p}) \), using a vector \( \mathbf{e} \in W = \{-1, 0, 1\} \times \{-1, 0, 1\} \) for \( \mathbf{e} \) that gives the best matching between the macroblocks \( B_{k+1}^{p+\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p})+\mathbf{e}} \) and \( B_{k+1}^{p+\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p})-\mathbf{e}} \), where we define \( B_{k}^{p} \) as the MB of the frame \( I_{k} \) centered in \( p \). Then, the two new vectors are

\[
\mathbf{v}_{\text{NEW}}(\mathbf{p}) \triangleq \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{p}) + \mathbf{e} \\
\mathbf{w}_{\text{NEW}}(\mathbf{p}) \triangleq -\mathbf{v}_{\text{NEW}}(\mathbf{p})
\]

Afterwards, a weighted median filter is run over the motion vectors in order to regularize them. The vectors computed in this way are used for compensating the KFs and the average of the resulting images constitutes the side information.

We consider the DISCOVER technique used on depth maps as our reference. In fact, it is one of the most popular techniques in DVC coding. In order to distinguish it from the proposed methods, it is labeled as “ZD”, that is DISCOVER on the \( Z \) (or depth) data.

### 3. PROPOSED METHOD: HIGH ORDER MOTION INTERPOLATION (HOMI)

The algorithm for image interpolation in DISCOVER is computationally quite simple, but it carries out only a linear interpolation between adjacent key frames \( I_{k-2} \) and \( I_{k+1} \). We propose to exploit also the key frames \( I_{k-3} \) and \( I_{k+3} \), in order to perform a higher order interpolation and to increase the SI quality. In this way, we can include also acceleration of objects into our model. This method, called high order motion interpolation (HOMI), was proposed for texture image coding in our previous work [9], and we adapt it here to depth maps. For sake of clarity, we report here the basic ideas of HOMI (see Fig. 3). It consists in five steps:

1. The frames \( I_{k-3}, I_{k-1}, I_{k+1} \) and \( I_{k+3} \) are filtered in order to reduce noise.
2. We estimate \( \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}) \) from \( I_{k-1} \) to \( I_{k+1} \) and \( \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p}) \) from \( I_{k} \) to \( I_{k+1} \) for each macroblock by using DISCOVER, as described in the previous section.
3. We carry out block matching to find the position of \( B_{k}^{p+\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p})} \) in \( I_{k-3} \). This position is called \( \mathbf{p} + \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{p}) \). Likewise we define the vector \( \mathbf{w} \) by matching \( B_{k}^{p+\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p})} \) in \( I_{k+3} \).
4. By interpolating the positions \( \mathbf{p} + \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p}) \), and \( \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p}) \) we obtain the new position \( \bar{\mathbf{p}} \).
5. We define the new vectors as the displacement between \( \bar{\mathbf{p}} \) and \( \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}) \) [resp. \( \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p}) \)].

Some further details are needed to complete the description of the method. The block matching in the third step is performed around the positions obtained by extending vectors \( \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}) \) and \( \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p}) \) in \( I_{k-3} \) and \( I_{k+3} \), these are \( \mathbf{p} + 3\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}) \) and \( \mathbf{p} + 3\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p}) \). Then, we search for the refinement vector \( \delta \mathbf{u} \) [resp. \( \delta \mathbf{w} \)] such that the following functional is minimized:

\[
J(\delta \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{q} \left| B_{k}^{p+\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p})}(\mathbf{q}) - B_{k}^{p+3\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p})+\delta \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{q})} \right| + \lambda \left\| \delta \mathbf{u} \right\| \tag{1}
\]
with $\lambda > 0$ a regularization constant. The regularization term penalizes a too large deviation from the linear model: with $\lambda \to \infty$ the proposed algorithm becomes equivalent to DISCOVER. Then, we define

$$\tilde{u} \triangleq 3u + \delta u$$

$$\tilde{w} \triangleq 3w + \delta w$$

The fourth step consists in interpolating the positions of the current block in the four images. By using a piecewise cubic Hermite interpolation we find the position $\tilde{p}$ in the frame $k$. The interpolated motion vectors are shown in red in Fig. 3.

The last step consists evaluating the new motion vectors for $p$ as:

$$\tilde{u}(p) = u + p - \tilde{p}$$

$$\tilde{w}(p) = w + p - \tilde{p}$$

The HOMI algorithm can be used in order to find the motion vectors of depth maps using these images as key frames. We call this technique TD-ZH, because we use the vectors obtained using DISCOVER on depth data, to initialize HOMI, which in turn is run over Z data. This technique has the potential to improve the results of DISCOVER for depth map coding. However, we want to better exploit the correlation between texture images and depth maps, and therefore to introduce other new coding methods.

We observe that motion in depth maps is very similar to motion in texture sequence. So we could use DISCOVER vectors computed on texture in order to perform the motion compensation and the image interpolation of depth maps. We call this method TD (DISCOVER over Texture).

This straightforward method can be improved if we refine TD vectors by using HOMI over texture data. We call the resulting technique TD-TH.

Finally we consider a last technique, which uses DISCOVER over texture data to initialize the HOMI algorithm, which on the other hand is run over depth-map data. This method is called TD-ZH. The rationale behind it is that texture data are much richer in information than depth maps, and it could provide an initialization that is closer to real object movement. However we point out that texture movement does not always correspond to depth map motion, and vice versa: for example, an object moving over a static background which is at the same distance from the camera, would not result in depth map movement. The proposed methods are resumed in Table 1.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We have implemented the reference method and all the proposed techniques shown in Table 1, and we have run several tests to validate and compare them to the reference. In a first stage, we use as evaluation metric the PSNR of the SI with respect to the original WZF. More precisely for each of the new methods, we compute the PSNR difference with respect to DISCOVER (ZD). This quantity is called $\Delta_{\text{PSNR}}$.

In a second stage, we compute end-to-end performances (i.e. rate reduction and PSNR improvement) of the proposed techniques when inserted into a complete DVC coder.

The experiments are conducted as follows. We use the test depth map sequences ballet and breakdancer at a resolution of $384 \times 512$ pixels. We encode the depth map KFs by the INTRA mode of H.264, using four quantization step values, namely 31, 34, 37 and 40. The $\Delta_{\text{PSNR}}$ values are computed as average along the sequences.

The optimal value of $\lambda$, i.e. the one minimizing the cost function $J(\cdot)$ in Eq. (1), is found from experiments. We observe that for the TD method $\lambda$ is not needed, while for TD-TH we can use the values reported in our previous work for texture images [9]. We obtain the values shown in Table 2 by maximizing the average PSNR over all the sequences and at all the QPs. We observe that, when only texture data is used, we need a stronger regularization, while if they are used only as initialization $\lambda$ must be smaller to enable larger correction (since vectors are not initialized on depth maps). However, if texture is not used at all, an intermediate regularization strength is needed.

By using the optimal parameters found in the previous section,
Table 2. Values of λ for different techniques and GOP sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOP size</th>
<th>ballet</th>
<th>ZD-ZH</th>
<th>TD</th>
<th>TD-ZH</th>
<th>TD-ZH</th>
<th>ZD-ZH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. ΔPSNR [dB] for sequence ballet and breakdancers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOP size</th>
<th>ballet</th>
<th>ZD-ZH</th>
<th>TD</th>
<th>TD-ZH</th>
<th>TD-ZH</th>
<th>ZD-ZH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Rate-distortion performance for ballet and breakdancers by Bjontegaard metric [11]

multiview video streaming. In fact, WZFs can be correctly decoded independently of which frames are available at the decoder. This may not be possible for P-frames/B-frames: when the user changes the reference, the reference frame may not be available at the decoder side. The use of WZF allows to have a continue playback of the video during the view switching.

6. REFERENCES