Frequency impact on the bistatic radar scattering from an ocean surface
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Abstract—In this paper we study the frequency impact on the normalized bistatic cross section (NBCS) of the sea surface. Numerical simulations are presented and analyzed in the frequency range going from 1 to 14 GHz (\textit{L}- to \textit{K}\textsubscript{u}-band). We treat this problem with the unifying scattering model denoted small slope approximation (SSA). The computations were made by assuming the surface-height spectrum of Elfouhaily \textit{et al} for fully developed seas. Numerical results are obtained and discussed in both forward scattering configuration and fully bistatic one for different sea states and polarization ones.

I. INTRODUCTION

Bistatic polarimetric radars may potentially increase the available density of remote sensing radar data significantly, as relatively cheap secondary receive-only systems, operating bistatically against a single transmitter can supplement a conventional monostatic system [1]. Moreover, bistatic phenomena such as the Brewster effect can reveal target properties that are not revealed clearly in monostatic scattering.

However, radar signals frequency value represents a key parameter in remote sensing applications. The choice of this value depends on the exploring target and the geometrical configuration of the operational system. Usually, the majority of the current systems of ocean remote sensing operate in monostatic configuration and in the C-, X- and K\textsubscript{u}-bands [2], [3] because wavelengths of these bands have the same order of the sea surface wavelengths. We can quote the ERS system operating at C-band frequency [3] and QuikSCAT system operating at K\textsubscript{u}-band [2]. In the same way, the use of Global Positioning System (GPS) (operating at L-band) as a forwardscatter remote sensing tool has lead to fruitful research in the last few years [4].

The major publications about the sea surface works present results in each band separately. No thorough study has yet been presented in a bistatic configuration to analyze the frequency influence. This is the object of this paper. Approximate models are still a necessity owing to the insurmountable numerical complexity of realistic scattering problems [5]. We can refer to [5] which is the latest critical and up-to-date survey of the approximate models. Yet, we can quote some important models existing in the literature : the two-scale model (TSM) [6], the small slope approximation (SSA) [7], and the weighted curvature approximation WCA [8]. In this study, we choose the SSA model to treat the bistatic scattering problem. This model is a unifying theory that could reconcile small perturbation method (SPM) and Kirchhoff approximation (KA) without introducing roughness scale division parameter.

In the following section we recall briefly the SSA theoretical development and we point out the scattering dependence on the radar frequency value. The third section is devoted to NBCS numerical simulations of the ocean surface for several bistatic configuration particularly as a function of the signals frequency. The last section summarizes the paper and presents some suggestions.

II. THEORETICAL SCATTERING FORMULATION

Geometrical configuration adopted to resolve the wave-scattering problem from the sea surface is given in figure 1.

A. The SSA model

The SSA was proposed by Voronovich [7] as a unifying theory that could reconcile SPM and KA without introducing the roughness scale division parameter $K_d$. It is an analytical approach, appropriate for scattering from both large-(high-frequency regime), intermediate and small-scale (low-frequency regime) roughness scales within a single theoretical scheme. Thus it encompasses both Bragg and Kirchhoff mechanisms of scattering. It can be applied to an arbitrary wavelength, provided that the tangent of grazing angles of incident/scattered angles radiation sufficiently exceeds RMS slopes of roughness. It starts from an ansatz based on the invariance properties of the Scattering Amplitude (SA). Performing a horizontal or vertical translation $d$ affects the latter
by a phase shift $\exp(-i(k-k_0) \cdot d)$ or $\exp(-i(q-q_0) \cdot d)$, so a solution is sought in the form [9]:

$$S(k, k_0) = \int \exp[-i(k-k_0) \cdot r - i(q-q_0)h(r)] \times \Phi [k, k_0, r, h] \frac{dr}{(2\pi)^2}$$

(1)

where $k_0, q_0$ are horizontal and vertical projections of the wave vector of an incident wave, and $k, q$ are appropriate components of the wave vector of scattered wave. The unknown functional $\Phi$ is obtained by performing a functional Taylor with respect to the Fourier transform $h$ and imposing coefficients that give consistency with SPM as $h \to 0$. In practice, only the first two orders are tractable; the higher orders become far too intricate. At first order in the slope ($\text{SSA}_1$) we have [7]:

$$S_1(k, k_0) = \frac{2(q_0 q_0)}{q_k + q_0} B_1(k, k_0) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \times$$

$$\int \exp[-i(k-k_0) \cdot r - i(q-q_0)h(r)]dr$$

(2)

To avoid the computational complexity in the second order ($\text{SSA}_2$) and accepting an error about of 1 dB [10], we will present numerical results by using SSA at first order in the next section for different bistatic configurations.

B. Scattering dependence on the frequency value

To highlight scattering dependence on the signals frequency value, we write the scattering coefficient based on the SSA1 model for an isotropically rough surface [11]:

$$\sigma_{\alpha\alpha_0}(k, k_0) = 2 \left| \frac{2q_k q_0}{q_k + q_0} B_{\alpha\alpha_0}(k, k_0) \right|^2 \times$$

$$\int_0^\infty \left( e^{-\kappa|\rho(0)-\rho(r)|} - e^{-\kappa|\rho(0)|} \right) J_0(Kr)rdr$$

(3)

where $\kappa = (q_k + q_0)^2$, $\alpha, \alpha_0$ corresponds to the polarization of scattered and incident plane wave respectively. To illustrate the effective parameters which can be selected under a given frequency value (for a fixed geometric condition), we plot the integrand evaluation in equation (3) for scattering configuration along the specular direction at an incident angle equal to $60^\circ$ and wind speed of $4$ m/s.

Figure 2 shows that the value of $r$ over which the integrand is significant is about $2$ m for L-band signals ($F=1.58$ GHz). Under this condition, only points on the surface less than $0.3$ m apart remain correlated in their scattering contribution. For signals in Ku-band ($F=14$ GHz), the significant integration range of $r$ is reduced to about $0.15$ m. So, we can deduced that the effective surface parameters selected to explain scattering problem (bistatic) at $K_u$-band cannot be used to explain scattering at L-band.

Figure 3 points out the wind dependence on the integration range of $r$ in L-band at an incidence of $50^\circ$. Figure 3 shows that at $7$ m/s convergence of the integral along the specular direction requires integration over the lag distance about $1$ m

where the incident angle equal to $50^\circ$. For the same angle at wind speed of $4$ m/s the integration range increases to about $2$ m. Indeed, with increasing incident angle to $70^\circ$ a large surface is needed to be integrated over, up to about $4$ m at wind speed of $4$ m/s. It follows that only a portion of the correlation function is contributing significantly to the scattering coefficient and this portion is controlled by the frequency and how fast the correlation function decays (as a function of wind speed).

The next section is dedicated to predict the NBCS of the sea surface by using the SSA scattering model seen in section II and the Elfouhaily [12] sea surface spectrum.

III. Numerical results

In this section we present the numerical simulations to analyze the behavior of the NBCS ocean surface especially as a function of the emission frequency. Results will be presented in the case of a forward scattering configuration (along the specular direction) and in a fully bistatic configuration one. We note that a deeply comparison between the SSA and TSM model in bistatic configuration was made in [10].

A. Forward scattering : comparison between different models

The forward configuration is a particular case of the bistatic configuration where the $z$-axis, the incident wave vectors and the scattered wave vectors are in the same plane ($\phi = \phi_s = 0^\circ$). Figure 4 compares the results yielded by the SSA with those of the geometric optic of Kirchhoff approximation (KAGO), the small perturbation model (SPM) and the two scale
model (TSM). The emitter incident angle is equal to 50°, we vary the scattering angle from 0° to 85°, electromagnetic frequency is fixed at 14 GHz (K_u-band).

As is apparent in figure 4, which is the maximum energy is received around the specular direction 50° which is a logical result (because this is the true specular direction as given by Snell’s law). Thus, there is a good agreement between the results obtained with SSA and those of geometric optics Kirchhoff Approximation (GO-KA) near-specular directions where it is well known that the last model works well. Also, the SSA results for VV-polarization present a higher concordance with those obtained with the TSM model then for the case of HH-polarization but the difference remains within about 2 dB. Therefore, graphs in figure 4 show the limit of the SPM model in this configuration.

B. Scattering along the specular direction

This configuration involves that incident emission and reception directions must be the same and the corresponding azimuth also must be equal. We present in figure 5 the NBCS variations along the specular direction for 40° incidence angle at three wind speeds {5, 10, 15 m/s}, (a) VV-polarization and (b) HH-polarization apparent in figure 5 the NBCS values decrease with increasing of the frequency values which is the opposite behavior of the backscattering [13]. It must be noted that the difference between NRCS values at L- and K_u-band is of about 5 dB in this scattering case along the specular direction.

Figure 6 shows the NBCS variations along the specular
direction versus the incident angle 0° – 80° for frequencies of 1.58, 5.5 and 14 GHz, corresponding, relatively to L-, C- and K_u-band radar. The wind speed is fixed to 4 m/s and the upwind direction is under consideration.

In examining curves in figure 6 several items of importance may be deduced. First, for both VV- and HH-polarizations the NBCS values are quasi constant in the incidence region [0° – 60°]. This behavior can be an important tool in exploring the sea clutter. Second, for VV-polarization in part (a) beyond 60° there is an important decreasing in NBCS results, on the other hand, in part (b), the horizontally polarized scattering coefficient continues to rise with incident angle up to 80° except for L-band frequency. Beyond 70°, in this particular case (F= 1.58 GHz), the coefficient turns back down. This down turn is due to integration into negative correlation region [11].

C. Fully bistatic scattering dependence on the frequency

We present in figure 7 the NBCS numerical results in a fully bistatic configuration. It is defined with the following parameters: \( \theta = \theta_s = 40°, \phi = 0 \), and \( \phi_s = 40° \). Both the co- and cross-polarization are simulated. In examining graphs of figure 7 we can see that contrary to the scattering along the specular direction (6), the NBCS increases with the frequency value like the backscattering case. This is due to the fact that in this geometrical configuration (fully bistatic) the Bragg mechanism of scattering becomes significant. That, as this mechanism is sensitive to capillarity waves, when the frequency increases the interaction electromagnetic signals with waves (capillarity) tends to amplify the intensity in the appropriate direction of this fully bistatic configuration.

IV. Conclusion

In this paper we have analyzed the ocean surface bistatic scattering dependence on the radar frequency value. Numerical
The recently WCA model seems to be promising to improve the frequency value is the contrary of the scattering along the specular direction which limits the using of the adopted theoretical model. In a fully bistatic configuration, the NBCS variations versus the frequency value is the contrary of the scattering along the specular direction due to the fact of the Bragg mechanism. The recently WCA model seems to be promising to improve some particular bistatic cases predicted with the SSA model which will be exploited in our future work.
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