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Abstract: The parameter selection is very important for successful modelling of input–output relationship in a function classification model. In this study, support vector machine (SVM) has been used as a function classification tool for accurate segregation and genetic algorithm (GA) has been utilised for optimisation of the parameters of the SVM model. Having as input only five selected features, parameters optimisation for SVM is applied. The five selected features are mean of contrast, mean of homogeneity, mean of sum average, mean of sum variance and range of autocorrelation. The performance of the proposed model has been compared with a statistical approach. Despite the fact that Grid algorithm has fewer processing time, it does not seem to be efficient. Testing results show that the proposed GA–SVM model outperforms the statistical approach in terms of accuracy and computational efficiency.

1 INTRODUCTION

Selecting vital features out of the original feature set constitutes a challenging task. Supervised classification models are usually used together with optimization algorithms for feature selection, in which classification accuracies are used as fitness evaluation of the selected feature subsets (Kharrat, 2010a), (Kharrat, 2010b). In this work, we develop an approach to optimize the support vector machine parameters which combines the merits of support vector machine (SVM) and genetic algorithm (GA). Later we compare our proposed method with the statistical approach to show its superiority in terms of computational efficiency. Among the statistical methods, we use the Grid algorithm.

Support vector machine (Boser, 1992) is among the most popular classifiers used in supervised learning. Its principle lies in constructing an optimal separating hyperplane to maximize the margin between two classes of data. The choice of margin cost $C$ and kernel parameters have an important effect on the performance of SVM classifier (Chapelle, 2003). The optimal parameters that lead to the minimal generalization error are data-dependent. Two-dimensional grid is usually used to tune a pair of parameters such as $C$ and $\gamma$ (Gaussian function width in RBF kernel) due to its complexity. Even after parameter tuning, SVM classifier might deliver poor accuracy in classifying some particular datasets. Having as input these five selected features, we build a technique to optimize SVM parameters. There are many optimization techniques that have been used. One of the favored choices is Genetic Algorithm (GA).

Our present work depicts GA as an efficient tool only to optimize the SVM parameters, without degrading the SVM classification accuracy. The
proposed method performs parameters optimization setting in an evolutionary way. In the literature, some GA-based feature selection methods were proposed (Raymer, 2000), (Yang and Honavar, 1998), (Salcedo-Sanz, 2002). However, these papers focused on feature selection and did not deal with parameters optimization for the SVM classifier. Frohlich et al. (Frohlich and Chapelle, 2003) proposed a GA-based feature selection approach that used the theoretical bounds on the generalization error for SVMs. In Frohlich’s paper, the SVM regularization parameter can also be optimized using GAs.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes parameters optimization concepts. Section 3 describes system architecture for the proposed GA-SVM model. Section 4 presents the experimental results from using the proposed method to classify real world dataset and to depict the results. Section 5 summarizes and draws a general conclusion.

2 PARAMETERS OPTIMIZATION APPROACHES

Many kernel functions are used to help the SVM in obtaining the optimal solution. Among the used kernel functions are the polynomial, sigmoid and radial basis kernel function (RBF). Unlike a linear kernel function, the RBF is generally applied most frequently, because it can classify multi-dimensional data. Our work applies an RBF kernel function in the SVM to obtain an optimal solution. Two major RBF parameters applied in SVM, C and γ, must be set appropriately. Parameter C represents the cost of the penalty. Parameter γ has a much greater influence on classification outcomes than C, because its value affects the partitioning outcome in the feature space. Values for parameters C and γ that lead to the highest classification accuracy rate in this interval can be found by setting appropriate values for the upper and lower bounds (the search interval) and the jumping interval in the search. As well as the two parameters C and γ, other factors, such as the quality of the feature's dataset, may influence the classification accuracy rate. For instance, the correlations between features influence the classification result.

3 SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE FOR THE PROPOSED GA-SVM MODEL

Having the ability to perform better than other kernels (Kharrat, 2010c), a radial basis function (RBF) kernel SVM is adopted to establish support vector classifiers. When using the RBF kernel, there are two parameters (i.e. C and γ) to be tuned. Improper selection of the two parameters is demonstrated to cause over-fitting or under-fitting problems. The proposed GA-based approach is designed to optimize the parameters pair (C, γ) for the SVM. To implement our proposed approach, this research uses the RBF kernel function for the SVM classifier because the RBF kernel function can classify higher-dimensional data. In our study, classification accuracy, the numbers of selected features are the criteria used to design a fitness function. Thus, for the individual with high classification, a small number of features produce a high fitness value.

The procedure of the proposed GA-SVM method is shown in “Figure 1”, and the steps are detailed as follows.

Step1. Encode the parameters and the chromosomes representing the SVM parameters as a binary string.

Step2. Initialize the population and produce the initial population of chromosomes arbitrarily.

Step3. Include the five optimal features. Decode the binary chromosomes into the corresponding parameters representing the optimal features (Kharrat, 2010a).

Step4. Find the selected parameters. Decode the binary chromosomes into the corresponding parameters representing the optimized pair (C and γ).

Step5. Train the SVM model. Get the trained SVM model after implementing the optimized parameters to the training set. The test sets are guessed on the basis of the trained SVM model.

Step6. Compute the fitness. For each chromosome, the training set with corresponding feature subset among the five, and parameters pair (C, γ) are designed as entries to the SVM classifier to calculate the k-fold cross-validation accuracy (fitness).

Step7. The termination criteria are that the fitness value does not increase during the last M generations or that the maximum generation number reaches N. If the two criteria are achieved, then the iteration process stops. Otherwise go to Step8.
Step 8. Perform genetic operations to generate an offspring population. Genetic operations include: crossover, mutation, and tournoi reproduction.

Figure 1: System architecture of the proposed GA-based parameters optimization for support vector machine.

4 RESULTS

To evaluate the classification accuracy of the proposed system in different classification tasks, we tried a real human brain dataset from the Harvard Medical School website (Keith and Alex, 1999). These datasets consist of 83 images: 29 images are normal and 54 belonging to pathological brain. These normal and pathological benchmark sagittal, axial, and coronal images used for classification are three weighted ones (enhanced T1, proton density (PD) and T2) of 256×256 sizes and acquired at several positions of the transaxial planes.

To guarantee valid results for making predictions regarding new data, the dataset is further randomly partitioned into training sets and independent test sets via a k-fold cross validation. The advantages of cross validation are that all of the test sets were independent and the reliability of the results could be improved. The data set is divided into k subsets for cross validation. This study used k=5, meaning that all of the data will be divided into 5 parts, each of which will take turns at being the testing data set. The other four data parts serve as the training data set for adjusting the model prediction parameters.

The empirical evaluation was performed on Intel core 2 duo machine, with 4GO RAM and a processor speed of 2GHz, run under Windows XP environment. To search for the best C and $\gamma$, the Grid search algorithm is considered as a common method. In the Grid algorithm, pairs of (C, $\gamma$) are tried and the one with the best cross-validation accuracy is chosen. The results from the proposed method were compared with that from the Grid algorithm. In all of the experiments we use 5-fold cross validation to compute the accuracy of each learned classifier.

Table 1: Range values for $\gamma$ and C in GA and Grid algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>GA</th>
<th>Grid algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>[0...2^20]</td>
<td>[0...2^2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>[2^-20...0]</td>
<td>[0...2^2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The detail parameter setting for genetic algorithm is as the following: population size 30, crossover rate 0.9, mutation rate 0.1, arithmetic crossover, tournoi wheel selection, and elitism replacement. “Table 1” states range values for both parameters $\gamma$ and C in genetic algorithm as well as grid algorithm.

“Figure 3” depicts a typical evolving process of the GA. This process is characterized by three phases. In the first phase the fitness value increases gradually from the initialization value (86.9565%) to 91.3043% at the 30 generations. In the second phase the fitness value rises more slowly: from 98.5491% at the 40 generation to 99.8897% at the 70 generation. Whereas the third phase is characterized by stability. When the generation number reaches 80, the maximum fitness value is obtained and stays the same (100%) until the 100 generation.

Figure 3: Iteration process of the GA for simultaneous optimization (Generation/Fitness).
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Table 2: Genetically optimised SVM parameter for human brain dataset using GA-based approach and Grid algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test period</th>
<th>GA-based approach</th>
<th>Grid algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>γ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2</td>
<td>2383</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3</td>
<td>19277</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP4</td>
<td>133455</td>
<td>0.2502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP5</td>
<td>131847</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The optimised values of SVM parameters for human brain dataset using GA-based approach and Grid algorithm corresponding to each TP are given in “Table 2”. It can be observed that the optimum values of these parameters vary significantly over a wide range reflecting the superiority of GA to Grid algorithm. In the GA, pairs of \((C, \gamma)\) are tried and the one with the best accuracy is chosen. To obtain the best optimized pair of \((C, \gamma)\), the process lasts between 8 and 11 days, but the best accuracy is achieved with a longer period. The parameters \(C\) whose values exceed 2000 achieve high accuracy surpassing 98% to 100%. In the Grid algorithm the accuracy rate is low despite the short period of training. Comparison of the obtained results of GA with those of Grid algorithm demonstrates that GA-SVM approach has a better classification accuracy than the Grid algorithm tested.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This study presents an evolutionary computing optimization approach, capable of searching for the optimal parameter values for SVM by using a subset of selected features. Compared with the statistical approach, the proposed GA-based approach has higher accuracy with fewer selected features. It outperforms the statistical approach in terms of computational efficiency. Moreover, the proposed GA-based approach has proved to be effective in optimizing parameters for the SVM. Results of this study are obtained with an RBF kernel function. However, other kernel parameters can also be optimized using the same approach. This is of particular significance to medical decision in the medical diagnostic field.

For future work, we intend to add coefficient of ponderation for each of the five selected features. We would also to extend our approach to real-world problems and other public datasets such as heart disease and breast cancer.
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