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# A GENERALIZED MANDELBROT SET OF POLYNOMIALS OF TYPE $E_{d}$ FOR BICOMPLEX NUMBERS 
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#### Abstract

We use a commutative generalization of complex numbers called bicomplex numbers to introduce the bicomplex dynamics of polynomials of type $E_{d}, f_{c}(w)=w(w+c)^{d}$. Rochon [9] proved that the Mandelbrot set of quadratic polynomials in bicomplex numbers of the form $w^{2}+c$ is connected. We prove that our generalized Mandelbrot set of polynomials of type $E_{d}$, $f_{c}(w)=w(w+c)^{d}$, is connected.
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## 1. Introduction

In 1982, A. Norton [8] gave some straightforward algorithms for generation and display fractal shapes in 3-D. For the first time, iteration by quaternions appeared. Subsequently, theoretical results were obtained in [5] for the quaternionic Mandelbrot set defined by quadratic polynomial in quaternions of the form $q^{2}+c$. Rochon [9] used a commutative generalization of complex numbers called bicomplex numbers to give a new version of the Mandelbrot set in dimensions three and four. Moreover, he proved that the generalization in dimention four is connected.

In this article, we introduce a one-parameter family of polynomials of type $E_{d}, f_{c}(z)=z(z+c)^{d}$. First, in the complex dynamics of $f_{c}$, we consider the connectedness locus or, what is the same, the Mandelbrot set,

$$
\mathcal{C}_{d}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{C}: \text { the filled Julia set } K\left(f_{c}\right) \text { is connected }\right\},
$$

and prove that the connectedness locus $\mathcal{C}_{d}$ of polynomials of type $E_{d}$ is connected:

Theorem 1. The Mandelbrot set $\mathcal{C}_{d}$ is connected.
Finally, by using the concept of filled Julia set for bicomplex numbers, we give a version of the connectedness locus for a bicomplex number and prove that our generalization of the Mandelbrot set for bicomplex numbers is connected:

Theorem 2. The generalized Mandelbrot set $\mathcal{C}_{d}^{2}$ is connected.

## 2. Polynomials of Type $E_{d}$

Let us recall (see [2]) the terminology and definitions in the monic family of higher degree polynomials. Consider the monic family of complex polynomials $f_{c}(z)=z(z+c)^{d}$, where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $d \geq 2$. Each $f_{c}$ has degree $d+1$ and has exactly two critical points: $-c$ with multiplicity $d-1$, and $c_{0}=\frac{-c}{d+1}$ with multiplicity 1. Moreover, $f_{c}(-c)=0$ and 0 is fixed. It is proved (see [2]) that polynomials with these features can always be expressed in the form $f_{c}$.

Definition 1 ([2]). A monic polynomial $f$ of degree $d \geq 2$ is of type $E_{d}$ if it satisfies the following properties:

1. $f$ has two critical points: $-c$ of multiplicity $d-1$ and $c_{0}$ of multiplicity 1.
2. $f$ has a fixed point at $z=0$.
3. $f(-c)=0$.

Proposition 1. Any monic polynomial $f(z)$ of degree $d+1$ which is of type $E_{d}$ is of the form

$$
f_{c}(z)=z(z+c)^{d} .
$$

Moreover, if $f_{c}$ and $f_{c^{\prime}}$ of type $E_{d}$ are affine conjugate with $d \geq 2$, then $c=c^{\prime}$.
The proof is straightforward and is omitted.
Notation. Denote $\mathbb{D}$ as the unit disc $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$.

## 3. Connectedness

As we know, the Mandelbrot set of quadratic polynomials is connected. In this section we are going to prove that the Mandelbrot set $\mathcal{C}_{d}$ of polynomials of type $E_{d}$ is connected. For this purpose, we need slight modifications of some well known results and methods.

If we apply the Böttcher theorem([3]) to the polynomial $f_{c}$, for a sufficiently large positive number $R_{c}$, we get a Böttcher function $\phi_{c}(z)$ on $D_{c}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}$ : $\left.|z| \geq R_{c}\right\}$, such that $\phi_{c}\left(f_{c}(z)\right)=\left(\phi_{c}(z)\right)^{d+1}$.

Let us recall (see [3]) that, in general, a Böttcher function $\phi_{c}(z)$ cannot be continued analytically to the whole of $A_{c}(\infty)$. However, since $A_{c}(\infty)=$ $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(f_{c}^{n}\right)^{-1}\left(D_{c}\right)$, we can extend the harmonic function $G_{c}(z)=\log \left|\phi_{c}(z)\right|$ to the whole of $A_{c}(\infty)$ by setting

$$
G_{c}(z)=\frac{1}{(d+1)^{n+1}} G_{c}\left(f_{c}^{n}(z)\right) \quad \text { if } \quad z \in\left(f_{c}^{n}\right)^{-1}\left(D_{c}\right)
$$

This extension is well defined and $G_{c}(z)$ is clearly harmonic on $A_{c}(\infty)$. Moreover, we set $G_{c}(z)=0$ for every $z \in K_{c}$. Then $G_{c}(z)$ is a continuous subharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}$, which is called the Green function associated to $K\left(f_{c}\right)$.

Proposition 2 (Sibony's Theorem). For every $A \geq 0$ there exists $\alpha=$ $\alpha(A) \geq 0$ such that the restriction of the Green function $G_{c}$ to the disk $|c| \leq A$ is $\alpha-$ Hölder .

Proof. We repeat the arguments given in the proof of Theorem 3.2, page 138 of [3], by making necessary modifications. Assume that $A \geq 10$. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}-K\left(f_{c}\right)$ and let $\delta(z)=\operatorname{dist}\left(z, K\left(f_{c}\right)\right)$. Let us take the closest point $z_{0} \in K_{c}$ to $z$, and let $S=\left\{z_{0}+t\left(z-z_{0}\right): \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$. Take $N=N(z)$ to satisfy $\left|f_{c}^{n}(w)\right|<A^{2}$ for all $w \in S$ and all $n<N$, while $\left|f_{c}^{N}\left(z_{1}\right)\right| \geq A^{2}$ for some $z_{1} \in S$. Using $f_{c}^{\prime}(z)=(z+c)^{d-1}((d+1) z+c)$ and the chain rule, we see that $\left|\left(f_{c}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(w)\right| \leq$ $\left(\left(A^{2}+A\right)^{d-1}\left((d+1) A^{2}+A\right)\right)^{n}$ for all $n<N$ and $w \in S$. Also, $\left|f_{c}^{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<2 A$ for all $n$, or else the iterates

$$
\left|f_{c}^{n+1}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \geq\left|f_{c}^{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\left(\left|f_{c}^{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|-|c|\right)^{d} \geq\left|f_{c}^{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| A^{d}>\left|f_{c}^{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|
$$

would tend to $\infty$. The mean value theorem then implies that

$$
\left|f_{c}^{N}\left(z_{1}\right)-f_{c}^{N}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \leq\left(\left(A^{2}+A\right)^{d-1}\left((d+1) A^{2}+A\right)\right)^{N} \delta\left(z_{1}\right) .
$$

Then we have

$$
\left|f_{c}^{N}\left(z_{1}\right)\right| \leq 2 A+\left(\left(A^{2}+A\right)^{d-1}\left((d+1) A^{2}+A\right)\right)^{N} \delta\left(z_{1}\right) .
$$

But $\left|f_{c}^{N}\left(z_{1}\right)\right| \geq A^{2}$, hence $\left(\left(A^{2}+A\right)^{d-1}\left((d+1) A^{2}+A\right)\right)^{N} \delta\left(z_{1}\right) \geq 1$, and $\left(\left(A^{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.A)^{d-1}\left((d+1) A^{2}+A\right)\right)^{N} \delta(z) \geq 1$.

For $\alpha=\frac{\log (d+1)}{\log \left(\left(A^{2}+A\right)^{d-1}\left((d+1) A^{2}+A\right)\right)}$ we have $\delta(z)^{\alpha}>(d+1)^{-N}$ so that

$$
G_{c}(z)=G_{c}\left(f_{c}^{N}(z)\right)(d+1)^{-N} \leq M \delta(z)^{\alpha},
$$

where $M$ depends only on $A$. Consider two points $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and suppose $\delta\left(z_{1}\right) \geq$ $\delta\left(z_{2}\right)$. We would like to prove

$$
\left|G_{c}\left(z_{1}\right)-G_{c}\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \leq C\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{\alpha}
$$

If $\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|>\frac{1}{2} \delta\left(z_{1}\right)$, this follows from the above estimate. If $\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta\left(z_{1}\right)$, we use the Harnack inequality for the positive harmonic function $G_{c}(z)$ in the disk $D\left(z_{1}, \delta\left(z_{1}\right)\right)$ to conclude that

$$
\left|G_{c}\left(z_{1}\right)-G_{c}\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \leq C_{0} M \delta\left(z_{1}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|}{\delta\left(z_{1}\right)} \leq C\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{\alpha} .
$$

Proposition 3. If $c_{n} \rightarrow c$, then the corresponding Green functions $G_{c_{n}}(z)$ converge uniformly on $\mathbb{C}$ to $G_{c}$. Thus $G_{c}(z)$ is jointly continuous in $c$ and $z$.

Proof. Proposition 2 guarantees the equicontinuity of the sequence $G_{c}(z)$ and the arguments of [3], page 139, can be reproduced.

Finally we have
Theorem 1. The Mandelbrot set $\mathcal{C}_{d}$ is connected.
Proof. As $\hat{\mathbb{C}}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is simply connected, it is enough to find a homeomorphism from $\hat{\mathbb{C}}-\mathcal{C}_{d}$ onto $\hat{\mathbb{C}}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. We will need to find a conformal map

$$
\Phi: \mathbb{C}-\mathcal{C}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}} .
$$

Let $\Omega=\left\{(z, c) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}: c \in \mathbb{C}-\mathcal{C}_{d}, G_{c}(z)>G_{c}\left(c_{0}\right)\right\}$. For every $n \geq 0$ the pre-critical points, as $(z, c) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ such that $f_{c}^{n}(z)=c_{0}$, and the pre-images of the origin, as $(z, c) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ such that $f_{c}^{n}(z)=0$, do not belong to $\Omega$. Hence the

Böttcher function $\phi(z, c):=\phi_{c}(z)$ is well defined and analytic on $\Omega$. It has the following representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(z, c) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(f_{c}^{n}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{(d+1)^{n}}}=z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(f_{c}^{n}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{(d+1)^{n}}}}{\left(f_{c}^{n-1}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{(d+1)^{n-1}}}} \\
& =z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{f_{c}^{n-1}(z)\left(f_{c}^{n-1}(z)+c\right)^{d}}{\left(f_{c}^{n-1}(z)\right)^{d+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{(d+1)^{n}}}=z \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{c}{f_{c}^{n}(z)}\right)^{\frac{d}{(d+1)^{n+1}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obviously have $\left(f_{c}\left(c_{0}\right), c\right) \in \Omega$. Now we define

$$
\Phi: \mathbb{C}-\mathcal{C}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}, \quad \Phi(c)=\phi\left(f_{c}\left(c_{0}\right), c\right),
$$

and prove that the function $\Phi$ is onto and conformal. By the representation

$$
\phi(z, c)=z \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{c}{f_{c}^{n}(z)}\right)^{\frac{d}{(d+1)^{n+1}}}
$$

the Böttcher function $\phi(z, c)$ is analytic of two variables in $\Omega$. It follows that $\Phi$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C}-\mathcal{C}_{d}$. Furthermore, for $c \in \mathbb{C}-\mathcal{C}_{d}$ we have

$$
\log |\Phi(c)|=\log \left|\phi_{c}\left(f_{c}\left(c_{0}\right)\right)\right|=G_{c}\left(f_{c}\left(c_{0}\right)\right)=(d+1) G_{c}\left(c_{0}\right)>0
$$

and $|\Phi(c)|>1$. On the other hand, by Proposition 3, the Green function $G_{c}$ is continuous. Since $G_{c} \mid \mathcal{C}_{d}=0$, we conclude that $G_{c}\left(f_{c}\left(c_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as c tends to the boundary of $\mathbb{C}-\mathcal{C}_{d}$. Consequently $|\Phi(c)| \rightarrow 1$ as c tends to the boundary of $\mathbb{C}-\mathcal{C}_{d}$. Near infinity we have $\phi_{c}\left(f_{c}\left(c_{0}\right)\right)=\left(\phi_{c}\left(c_{0}\right)\right)^{d+1} \sim \frac{-c}{d+1}$, which implies that $\Phi$ is injective near $\infty$, has a simple pole at $\infty$, and has no zero in $\mathbb{C}-\mathcal{C}_{d}$. The argument principle can apply: $\Phi$ assumes every value in $\mathbb{C}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ exactly once on $\mathbb{C}-\mathcal{C}_{d}$. Hence $\Phi$ maps $\hat{\mathbb{C}}-\mathcal{C}_{d}$ conformally onto $\hat{\mathbb{C}}-\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. In particular, $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}-\mathcal{C}_{d}$ is simply connected, which implies the assertion.

## 4. Bicomplex Numbers

We recall some of the basic results of the theory of bicomplex numbers. The bicomplex numbers are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{C}_{2}:=\left\{a+b i_{1}+c i_{2}+d j: i_{1}^{2}=i_{2}^{2}=-1, j^{2}=1\right. \\
&\left.i_{2} j=j i_{2}=-i_{1}, \quad i_{1} j=j i_{1}=-i_{2}, \quad i_{2} i_{1}=i_{1} i_{2}=j\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. The norm used on $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ will be the Euclidean norm (also denoted by $|\mid)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

We remark that we can write a bicomplex number as

$$
a+b i_{1}+c i_{2}+d j \quad \text { as } \quad\left(a+b i_{1}\right)+\left(c+d i_{1}\right) i_{2}=z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}
$$

where $z_{1} ; z_{2} \in \mathbb{C}_{1}:=\left\{x+y i_{1}: i_{1}^{2}=-1\right\}$. Thus, $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ can be viewed as the complexification of the usual complex numbers $\mathbb{C}_{1}$ and a bicomplex number can be regarded as an element of $\mathbb{C}_{2}$. Moreover, the norm of the bicomplex number is the same as the norm of the associated element $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ of $\mathbb{C}_{2}$. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ is a commutative unitary ring with the following characterization for noninvertible elements.

Proposition 4. Let $w=a+b i_{1}+c i_{2}+d j \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$. Then $w$ is noninvertible if and only if $(a=-d$ and $b=c)$ or $(a=d$ and $b=-c)$ if and only if $z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}=0$.

It is also important to know that every bicomplex number $z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}$ has the following unique idempotent representation:

$$
z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}=\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2}, \text { where } e_{1}=\frac{1+j}{2} \text { and } e_{2}=\frac{1-j}{2} .
$$

This representation is very useful because: addition, multiplication and division can be done term-by-term. Also, an element will be noninvertible if and only if $z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}=0$ or $z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}=0$. The next definition will be useful to construct a natural "disc" in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$.

Definition 2. We say that $X \subset \mathbb{C}_{2}$ is a $\mathbb{C}_{2}$-Cartesian set determined by $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ if $X=X_{1} \times{ }_{e} X_{2}:=\left\{z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2} \in \mathbb{C}_{2}: z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}=w_{1} e_{1}+w_{2} e_{2} ;\left(w_{1} ; w_{2}\right) \in\right.$ $\left.X_{1} \times X_{2}\right\}$.

It is shown that if $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are domains of $\mathbb{C}_{1}$, then $X_{1} \times_{e} X_{2}$ is also a domain of $\mathbb{C}_{2}$. Then, a manner to construct a natural "disc" in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ is to take the $\mathbb{C}_{2}$-Cartesian product of two discs in $\mathbb{C}_{1}$. Hence, we define the natural "disc" of $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(0 ; r):=B^{1}(0 ; r) \times_{e} B^{1}(0 ; r) \\
=\left\{z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}: z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}=w_{1} e_{1}+w_{2} e_{2} ;\left|w_{1}\right|<r,\left|w_{2}\right|<r\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $B^{n}(0 ; r)$ is an open ball of $\mathbb{C}_{1}^{n} \equiv \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with radius $r$.

## 5. The Generalized Bicomplex Mandelbrot Set of Polynomials of Type $E_{d}$

In this section, we want to give a version of the Mandelbrot set of polynomials of type $E_{d}$ for bicomplex numbers.

Now, to give a version of the Mandelbrot set of polynomials of type $E_{d}$ for bicomplex numbers we have only to reproduce the algorithm of Definition 2 for bicomplex numbers. This is the next definition.

Definition 3. Let $f_{c}(w)=w(w+c)^{d}$ where $w ; c \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$ and $f_{c}^{n}(w):=\left(f_{c}^{(n-1)} \circ\right.$ $\left.f_{c}\right)(w)$. Then the generalized Mandelbrot set for bicomplex numbers is defined as follows: $\mathcal{C}_{d}^{2}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{C}_{2}: f_{c}^{n}\left(c_{0}\right)\right.$ is bounded for every $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.

The next lemma is a characterization of $\mathcal{C}_{d}^{2}$ using only $\mathcal{C}_{d}$. This lemma will be useful to prove that $\mathcal{C}_{d}^{2}$ is also a connected set.

Proposition 5. $\mathcal{C}_{d}^{2}=\mathcal{C}_{d} \times{ }_{e} \mathcal{C}_{d}$.
Proof. First, we prove that $\mathcal{C}_{d}^{2} \subset \mathcal{C}_{d} \times_{e} \mathcal{C}_{d}$. Let $c_{2} \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$ such that $f_{c}^{n}\left(c_{0}\right)$ is bounded for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $f_{c}(w)=w(w+c)^{d}=\left[\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right)\left(\left(z_{1}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.z_{2} i_{1}\right)+\left(c_{1}-c_{2} i_{1}\right)\right)^{d}\right] e_{1}+\left[\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right)\left(\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right)+\left(c_{1}+c_{2} i_{1}\right)\right)^{d}\right] e_{2}$, where $w=$ $\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2}$ and $c=\left(c_{1}-c_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\left(c_{1}+c_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2}$. Then $f_{c}^{n}(w)=$ $f_{\left(c_{1}-c_{2} i_{1}\right)}^{n}\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+f_{\left(c_{1}+c_{2} i_{1}\right)}^{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2}$.

By hypothesis, $f_{c}^{n}\left(c_{0}\right)=f_{\left(c_{1}-c_{2} i_{1}\right)}^{n}\left(c_{0}\right) e_{1}+f_{\left(c_{1}+c_{2} i_{1}\right)}^{n}\left(c_{0}\right) e_{2}$ is bounded for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, $f_{\left(c_{1}-c_{2} i_{1}\right)}^{n}\left(c_{0}\right)$ and $f_{\left(c_{1}+c_{2} i_{1}\right)}^{n}\left(c_{0}\right)$ are also bounded for $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $c_{1}-c_{2} i_{1}, c_{1}+c_{2} i_{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{d}$ and $c=\left(c_{1}-c_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\left(c_{1}+c_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2} \in \mathcal{C}_{d} \times{ }_{e} \mathcal{C}_{d}$.

Conversely, if we take $c \in \mathcal{C}_{d} \times{ }_{e} \mathcal{C}_{d}$, we have $c=\left(c_{1}-c_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\left(c_{1}+c_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2}$ with $c_{1}-c_{2} i_{1}, c_{1}+c_{2} i_{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{d}$. Hence $f_{\left(c_{1}-c_{2} i_{1}\right)}^{n}\left(c_{0}\right)$ and $f_{\left(c_{1}+c_{2} i_{1}\right)}^{n}\left(c_{0}\right)$ are also bounded for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f_{c}^{n}\left(c_{0}\right)$ is bounded for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is $c \in \mathcal{C}_{d}^{2}$.

Theorem 2. The generalized Mandelbrot set $\mathcal{C}_{d}^{2}$ of polynomials of type $E_{d}$ is connected.
Proof. Define a mapping $e$ as follows:

$$
e: \mathbb{C}_{1} \times \mathbb{C}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{1} \times_{e} \mathbb{C}_{1}, \quad\left(w_{1} ; w_{2}\right) \mapsto w_{1} e_{1}+w_{2} e_{2}
$$

The mapping $e$ is clearly a homeomorphism. Then, if $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are connected subsets of $\mathbb{C}_{1}$, we have that $e\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right)=X_{1} \times_{e} X_{2}$ is also connected. Now, by Proposition $5, \mathcal{C}_{d}^{2}=\mathcal{C}_{d} \times{ }_{e} \mathcal{C}_{d}$. Moreover, by Theorem $1, \mathcal{C}_{d}$ is connected. It follows, if we let $X_{1}=X_{2}=\mathcal{C}_{d}$, that $\mathcal{C}_{d}^{2}$ is connected.
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