Virbot@field: taking service robots to play soccer
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Abstract. This paper describes the efforts to use the VirBot architecture for controlling the operation of robotic biped soccer players. The ViRbot architecture is designed to deal with a wide range of problems for the service robots, including navigating, motion planning, global localization, speech recognition and task planning using a rule based system. This paper discusses what modifications must be done when using devices with limited resources and how decisions can be taken.

1 Introduction

The ViRbot system [1] has been tested in the Robocup@Home [2] category in the Robocup competition at Bremen, Germany in 2006 with the robot TX8 and in the same competition in Atlanta, USA in 2007 with the robot TPR8, getting the 3rd place. In the humanoid category we have participated in the Mexican Robotics Open 2007 with a demonstration of our system.

The ViRbot system divides the operation of a mobile robot in several subsystems:

Fig. 1. The ViRbot Architecture   Fig. 2. RoboNova I Biped Robot
Each subsystem has a specific function that contributes to the final operation of the robot. When trying to control an autonomous biped robot such as Robonova I [3] with limited processing capabilities, several adaptations must be done to the architecture in order to simplify the complexity of operation, localization and knowledge representation, see figure 2.

This paper discusses how the VirBot modules can be modified to allow biped robots to play soccer.

2 Module Description

This section explains the different ViRbot modules and how they can be modified.

2.1 Internal Sensors

In the case of Robonova biped robots, basically the internal sensors are only the gyroscope and tilt sensors. They both serve to control the balance of the robot and detect fallings. The biggest difference in biped robots is that there are no wheels and wheel encoders, so the localization problem becomes more difficult to address.

2.2 External Sensors

The only external sensor currently used in our robots is a CMU Cam 3 camera [4], since there are imposed restrictions when using sonar and IR sensors. This camera is equipped with a Philips LPC2106 processor, 64 Kb RAM, 128 Kb ROM, serial port and SD card slot. It can grab RGB and YCrCb images up to 352 by 288 pixels at rates of 26 FPS. We do not use any other contact, reflective, infrared or microphone sensor. For this reason the amount of information the robot can extract from the environment is limited to visual information.

2.3 Human-Robot Interface

As mentioned above, due to space and processing limitations in small biped robots, currently they are not equipped with microphones and speech recognition. In the future some speech recognition in Digital Signal Processors DSP’s will be implemented.

2.4 Robot Control Module

Like the TX8 and TParacho robots, the Robonova I is equipped with an on-board controlling card. In this case a Micom MR-C3000 with an ATmega 128 MPU with 32K flash memory. All the movements the robot does, like walking or kicking, are
programmed as single routines in the Robonova I board, using RoboBasic [5]. Once the program is downloaded and stored in the robot, the commands are fired through the robot’s standard RS-232 serial port connected directly to the CMUCam 3 serial port (our controlling device). The internal sensors are directly attached to the robot’s board, so it uses their reading to correct position and standing-up the robot when falling down.

2.5 Perception Module

Unlike the service robots, the visual processing and robot’s intelligence is done in the same board, in this case the CMUCam 3. Despite we have recently migrated successfully entirely our ViRbot system to the Pocket PC architecture, our tests on the robot demonstrated it is still too heavy to be carried out for the Robonova. We are currently using basic YCrCb [6] color segmentation on the camera to find the ball, enemies and goal areas.

2.6 Global Localization Module

Since there is no map representation and the environment is always changing, due to the self, others and ball movements, a vision based localization method is necessary. Currently MCL Monte Carlo Localization [7] is being implemented but it becomes more inaccurate because there are no map, laser and sonar readings, and the only fixed characteristics are the field lines, goal areas and two landmark poles. By the moment our localizing algorithm uses the goal areas color and the amount of viewable pixels of such color to determine its distance and current orientation. The movement estimation is obtained by previously measuring the movements the robot does after each command and getting its probability density. When turning, for example, each turn has a fixed amount and successive turns are accumulated. The same applies for walking and running. Obviously a good robot calibration becomes fundamental.

2.7 Cartographer

Since the only elements in the field are the robots and the ball, and the field is a fixed-size rectangle, the map consists on a probabilistic occupancy grid. The field is divided into regions of 5 per 5 centimeters. One byte per location indicates the probability (from zero to \(+128\)) of being occupied and the sign indicates if it is a partner or enemy robot. In total 5,400 bytes are needed for storing the assumptions about all the elements in the field, except the ball and the self position, those are carried separately. Since the CMUCam 3 incorporates a SD connector, currently is possible to decide where to store the information. By now the map is stored in a 1 GB SD secondary memory card.
2.8 Movement Planner

The map representation allows calculating the robot movements in a straightforward way. As implemented in the service robot, here the robot uses Potential Fields Theory [8]. Under this idea, the robot is considered as a particle under the influence of an artificial potential field $\nabla U$ whose local variations reflects the free space structure and it depends on the obstacles and the goal point that the robot needs to reach. The potential field function is defined as the sum of an attraction field that push the robot to the goal (the ball in this case) and a repulsive field that take it away from the obstacles (other robots). The probabilistic occupancy grid representation gives directly a good approximation to the force field:

![Fig. 3. Example of Potential Field](image)

The movement planning is done by iterations, in which an artificial attracting force is induced by the ball and repulsive forces are induced by the enemy robots. The sum of those forces will be the final direction the robot will follow, in the form of steps and turns.

2.8 Knowledge Representation

Unlike the ViRbot service robots, CLIPS Expert System [9] cannot be longer used to represent rules and to fire actions in these limited robots, and another way of managing actions must be used. Commonly, variable ranges are used to decide the robot actions, in the form of:

```python
if var1 > lower_val1 and var1 < upper_val1 and
   var2 > lower_val2 and var2 < upper_val2 and
   ... varN > lower_valN and varN < upper_valN then
   robot.action();
else
   if ...
```

Example of a Computer Program for performing actions based on data ranges

but when having many variables becomes difficult to establish such ranges in order to avoid letting some ranges out of decisions or, in the opposite case, giving some ranges more than one action. Also the priority management becomes difficult to modify. This is known as the Subsumption Model [10] and it’s used in ViRbot as the Arbiter.
In this case, we are implementing a Fuzzy Rule Decision System [11]. A fuzzy system consists of a number of Fuzzy Rules in the form of

\[ R^{(i)} : \text{IF } x_i \text{ is } F_i^1 \text{ and } \cdots \text{ and } x_n \text{ is } F_n^1 \text{ THEN } y_1 \text{ is } G_1^1, \cdots, y_m \text{ is } G_m^1 \]  

(1)

This is known as pure fuzzy form, where \( F_i^1, \cdots, F_n^1 \) represent fuzzy values of each variable and the output function \( G_j^1 \) is also a fuzzy variable. In this case we have a MIMO (Multiple Input Multiple Output) fuzzy rule system. We use this kind of system to activate the different behaviors like kick or step. For instance one fuzzy rule can be:

R: If ball_distance is near and kicking_direction is good then kick is good

When fuzzifying one variable, it’s possible to give a natural meaning to a value (or range of values) of a given variable. By natural we mean linguistic understandable meaning. For example we can define the terms near and far for the ball_distance variable as follows:

![Fig. 4. Example of Fuzzy Variables](image)

**2.9 Behavior Selection**

When evaluating a fuzzy rule, the fuzzy value \( \mu \) is evaluated for every fuzzy variable and the rule’s final \( \mu \) is calculated using fuzzy inference (in our case a simple product). Once all fuzzy rules have been evaluated, all the results are combined using a defuzzifier for obtaining the final output (in our case we use the maximum \( \mu \) to fire the behavior \( \mu \)). As seen above, this way of computing outputs is very similar to defining ranges, but outputs are basically combined and then the action with the biggest output value is selected. This method allows easy error detection and bad activation values. Also, fuzzy rules can be stored on secondary memory in order to be transferred to other robots only adjusting their belonging factors (\( \mu \) functions), and more new rules can be added with a well established method for combining them.

**2.10 Learning Module**

Currently no learning is implemented in our robots.
2.11 Future Works

For the rule representation we could use Takagi and Sugeno rule’s form [12]:

\[ R(i) : IF \; x_i \; is \; F_i^L ; \ldots \; and \; x_n \; is \; F_n^L \; THEN \; \gamma^i = f(\bar{x}) \]  

(2)

where \( \bar{x} \) is the input vector and \( f(\bar{x}) \) is not fuzzy.

Our goal is using Artificial Neural Networks [13], so \( f(\bar{x}) \) can be learned directly from a training set, allowing the robots to find new rules and to modify by themselves the behavior activation values and outputs, to be able to adapt to the match conditions.

3 Conclusions and Discussion

This paper proposes some basic modifications to the ViRbot architecture, allowing biped robots to play soccer. Also proposes a way of representing and managing knowledge and easily computing behavior responses with visual sensors. By now, the tests of our new systems are limited and we plan to fully test these methods in the 2008 Robocup Competition at Suzhou, China.

Depending on these results, we could prove again the efficiency of the ViRbot architecture on limited architectures and the efficiency of the fuzzy control system.
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